

PRELIMINARY RESULTS ON THE NUTRITIONAL VALUE FOR POULTRY ULVA LACTUCA PRODUCED IN BIOFILTERING TANKS

Ventura, M. R., Castanon, J. I. R., ¹Jiménez, M. R., ¹Garcia-Reina, G.

Dept. Animal Production and ¹Institute of Applied Algology, University of Las
Box 550, Canary Island, Spain.

INTRODUCTION

The use of microalgae (including cyanobacteria) in poultry nutrition has been extensively studied in comparison with seaweeds (reviewed by Indergaard & 1991). The main differences between micro and macroalgae are the high protein content (more than 50%) and low ash (less than 10%) content of microalgae ; otherwise, crude fiber content (about 5%) is similar in both kind of algae.

The objective of this study was the study of the basic nutritional parameters of biomass cultivated in running through enriched nitrogen seawater, and the effect of different seaweed supplements on the true (TME) and apparent metabolizable energy (AME) and growth trials of chick and cockerel.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Weekly harvest of *Ulva rigida* cultivated, at 2 and 4 g/l initial density, in 750 l tanks with a daily continuous flow (exchange rates of 4 volumes per day) of seawater containing NH_4^+ from *Sparus aurata* waste waters, and ammonium efficiency removal was washed with fresh water and sun-dried to 15% relative humidity, before being ground, analyzed and used as feed supplement.

Basic nutritional values, true and apparent metabolizable energy of N-enriched biomass were determined. Two standard diets (A and B) with different seaweed inclusion percentages (10, 20 and 50%) were comparatively studied in chicks and cockerels.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Basic nutritional parameters analysed in the powder-grinded biomass are given in Table 1.

Table 1 : Basic nutritional values of N-enriched biomass of *Ulva rigida* (g.kg⁻¹ DM).

Nitrogen	28	CA	5
Oil	14	P	1
Crude Fiber	40	Cl	39
NDF	35	Na	9
ADF	30	K	24
Ash	190	Mg	15
Gross energy	1.1 Mj.Kg.DM		

(NDF= Neutro Detergent Fiber; ADF= Acid Detergent Fiber)

The TME of *Ulva rigida* as the sole diet or as 10, 20 or 50% supplement to two standard diets or glucose, are given in Table 2. The TME of *Ulva rigida* as the exclusive diet was 5.7 Mj.Kg DM for chicks and 4.3 Mj.Kg⁻¹ DM for cockerels. In general (except for chicks with diet A), the addition of *Ulva* meal (up to 20%) to chick and cockerels standard diets did not modify the TME values (Table 2). Significant differences between chicks and cockerels with standard B diet may be related to the addition of seaweed, but only at 20% supplementation.

Table 2.- True Metabolizable Energy Values (Mj.Kg⁻¹ DM) of N-enriched *Ulva rigida* and *U. rigida* supplements to standard diets, on chick and cockerels (n= 40 per treatment).

	<u>Chick</u>	<u>Cockerels</u>	
Seaweed	5.7± 0.31	4.3± 0.37	*
<u>Standard A diet :</u>			
0% seaweed	15.3± 0.35	14.4± 0.23	NS
50% seaweed	13.8± 0.33	14.6± 0.47	NS
	*	NS	
<u>Standard B diet :</u>			
0% seaweed	13.6± 0.16	13.1± 0.10	NS
10% seaweed	13.8± 0.31	12.6± 0.27	NS
20% seaweed	13.9± 0.16	12.3± 0.26	***
	NS	NS	
<u>Glucose (MJ/kg air dried) :</u>			
0% seaweed	(Gross energy) 15.7		
10% seaweed	15.7± 0.20	15.5± 0.06	NS
20% seaweed	15.3± 0.30	15.3± 0.19	NS
	NS	NS	

(* = significant; NS = not significant)

Growth trial was performed with chicks (N=40 per treatment) fed during 10 days with standard diet and 10, 20 or 30% *Ulva* meal supplements. Results are given in Table 3. The AME values did not exceed 3.2 Mj.Kg⁻¹ DM, being too low for poultry diets. The inclusion of *Ulva* decreased the metabolizable energy (ME) of diets (ME = 10.6 - 0.08 × %inclusion, R² = 0.73). However, addition of *Ulva* did not modify the metabolizable energy of the standard diet, indicating the absence of antinutritional factors by *Ulva* supplements.

Although, the decrease of weight gains is clearly related with the increase in *Ulva* supplements (non quantified), a negative relation with *Ulva* supplementation and the amount of feed consumed by chicks should be considered. The lower acceptance of seaweed supplemented diets is probably due to organoleptic factors. Adaptation to seaweed meals has been described in longer-living animals, but are impossible to perform in poultry.

Table 3.- Weight gain (g) and apparent metabolizable energy (AME) (Mj.Kg⁻¹ DW) of chicks feed on standard and *Ulva* -supplemented diets after 10 days, (N=40).

	<u>Weight gain</u>	<u>AME</u>
Standard diet	102 g	10.5 Mj/Kg
Standar + 10% seaweed	90 g	10.0
Standar + 20% seaweed	85 g	8.3
Standar + 30% seaweed	77 g	8.3

CONCLUSIONS

Diets containing 10% or higher supplements of crude meal of N-enriched *Ulva rigida* are not convenient for chicks and cockerels. Valorization of seaweed supplemented feed for monogastric animals based only on the values of total metabolizable energy can lead to wrong conclusions.

The effect of additives (enzymes, yeast, etc.) to improve the nutritional value of *Ulva*-supplemented feed, as well as digestibility trials with ruminants, should be evaluatec in order to assess the suitability of *Ulva* as feed supplement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This preliminary study was supported in part by Ministerio de Educacion y Ciencia CICYT (MAR 91-1273).

REFERENCE

Indergaard, M., J. Minsaas, 1991. Animal and human nutrition. In : Seaweed resources in Europe. Uses an potential. M. Guiry, G. Blunden (Edts), Wiley & Sons, 21-64 pp.