Biophysical 3D modeling approach of the Canary Current Ecosystem: a ROMS-PISCES coupling study

Yeray Santana Falcón

Supervised by Evan Mason and Javier Arístegui Ruiz

jueves 17 de mayo de 12



Methodology

Results & Discussion

Future work

Eastern Boundary Currents account for the most productive coastal environments



Carr, 2002



Methodology

Results & Discussion



Methodology

Results & Discussion

Future work

- Eastern Boundary Currents account for the most productive coastal environments
- Canary Current Ecosystem (CCE) has strong geographical diversity
- CCE oligotrophic?
 - Impact of mesoscale EDDIES

Arístegui et al., 2004





Methodology

Results & Discussion

- Interannual variability of the CCE
 - Late-winter bloom
 - * Summer
 - Autumn *



Neuer et al., 2007



Methodology

Results & Discussion

- ROMS-PISCES combined for the first time for CCE
- Chlorophyll as the indicator of the model performance
- Qualitatively well reproduced chlorophyll patterns
- Quantitatively underestimation of chlorophyll concentrations



Methodology

Results & Discussion

Future work

- Prognostic variables are:
 - Surface elevation

* IRD (Institut de Recerche per le Développement) version of ROMS (Regional Oceanographic Modeling System)

Barotropic and baroclinic horizontal velocities

Temperature, salinity and density











Methodology

Results & Discussion

Future work

- Five limiting nutrients for phytoplankton growth
- Four living compartments; 2 phytoplankton and 2 zooplankton
- Three non-living compartments; DOC and two sizes of POC
- DIC, Alkalinity, dissolved O₂

Ecosystem Studies (PISCES)



Modeled chlorophyll

Introduction

Methodology

Results & Discussion

- * Predicted by external concentrations of the limiting nutrients
- Using photo-adaptive model of Geider et al. (1998)
- Depends on chlorophyll-tocarbon ratio



Geider et al., 1998



SeaWiFS chlorophyll

Introduction

Methodology

Results & Discussion

- In situ data *
 - * COCA
 - Barton et al. (1998)
 - * Arístegui and Montero (2005)
 - * Neuer et al. (2007)
 - Alonso-González et al. (2009)



SeaWiFS chlorophyll

Introduction

Methodology

Results & Discussion

Future work

In situ data *

* COCA

- Barton et al. (1998)
- * Arístegui and Montero (2005)
- * Neuer et al. (2007)
- Alonso-González et al. (2009)



- SeaWiFS chlorophyll
- Introduction

Methodology

Results & Discussion

- In situ data *
 - * COCA
 - * Barton et al. (1998)
 - * Arístegui and Montero (2005)
 - * Neuer et al. (2007)
 - Alonso-González et al. (2009)







SeaWiFS chlorophyll

Introduction

Methodology

Results & Discussion

- In situ data *
 - COCA *
 - * Barton et al. (1998)
 - * Arístegui and Montero (2005)
 - * Neuer et al. (2007)
 - Alonso-González et al. (2009) *









SeaWiFS chlorophyll

Introduction

Methodology

Results & Discussion

- In situ data *
 - * COCA
 - Barton et al. (1998)
 - * Arístegui and Montero (2005)
 - * Neuer et al. (2007)
 - Alonso-González et al. (2009)





SeaWiFS chlorophyll

Introduction

Methodology

Results & Discussion

- In situ data
 - * COCA
 - * Barton et al. (1998)
 - * Arístegui and Montero (2005)
 - * Neuer et al. (2007)
 - Alonso-González et al. (2009)





Methodology

Results & Discussion

Future work

Chlorophyll splits into two compartments

* NCHL

* DCHL

Modelled Chlorophyll (mg/m3)



Methodology

Results & Discussion

Modeled vs SeaWiFS chlorophyll

Future work

Modelled Chlorophyll (mg/m3)





Overestimates Chlorophyll



Introduction

Methodology

Results & Discussion

Future work

Atmospheric Aerosol from Saharan Desert



Gregg and Casey, 2004

Methodology

Results & Discussion

Future work

- Submesoscale-non-resolving model
- Enhancement of vertical injection of nutrients
- Implications over primary productivity
- Eddy/wind interaction

ng model njection of



Lévy et al., 2001



Methodology

Results & Discussion

Highest-values of 0.5-0.6 mg/ m3

DCM around 60-70 m



Future work

	Model	Barton	Neuer	Arístegui and Montero	COCA
DCM depth	60-70m	≈100m	75-100m	80-100	≈100m
Maxim um values	0.5-0.6mg /m3	0.15 mg/ m3	0.6-0.7mg/ m3	≈0.8 mg/ m3	0.35-0.45 mg/m3



Modelled Chlorophyll (mg/m3)



Autumn Chl







Methodology

Results & Discussion

- Underestimation at surface
- DCM shallower
- Around 20% higher than COCA data
- Somewhat smaller than Arístegui and Montero (2005)
- Higher than Barton (1998)
- Slightly higher than Neuer (2007)



Semi-labile DOC distribution

POC (slow- and fast-sinking particles)



Introduction Methodology

Results & Discussion

Modelled Organic Carbon (µmol C)

POC profiles



Introduction Methodology **Results &** Discussion Future work

Modelled Organic Carbon (µmol C)

Alonso-González et al., 2009



POC profiles

Introduction

Methodology

Results & Discussion

Future work

- 2 periods of dominance
- Non modeled in our simulation
- Something to pay attention



Alonso-González et al. 2010



Methodology

Results & Discussion

Future work

- eddie/wind interactions?
- Canary Current Ecosystem realm

Physical and biological simulations would be in phase

* Role of the mesoscale on enhancing primary production: Are submesoscale processes responsible of the injection of nutrients in the photic layer? Are mesoscale eddies? Are

* Increase resolution and adapt the coupling model to the

