

# s the GDH/R<sub>NH4</sub>+ ratio in the mesozooplankton constant through different oceanic systems?

## Fernández-Urruzola I., Packard T. and Gómez M.

Institute of Oceanography and Global Change, Biological Oceanography Group, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Canary Islands, Spain. <u>*E-mail address:*</u> ifernandez@becarios.ulpgc.es





### INTRODUCTION Classical tenets of Nitrogen Cycle



- (I) Nitrogen availability is **frequently limited** in ocean ecosystems.
- (II) Phytoplankton can use inorganic fixed-nitrogen compounds (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>), as well as some organic compounds (urea, free amino acids).
- (III) Other pathways of N cycling (Denitrification, Anammox and DNRA) can occur in OMZ and sediments.

(Dugdale and Goering, 1967; Zehr and Ward, 2002; Brandes *et al.*, 2007; Francis *et al.*, 2007; Yool *et al.*, 2007).

Zehr and Kudela (2011)



### INTRODUCTION Importance of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in marine systems



- (I) NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> is an intermeditate product in decomposition of organic matter, which constitutes the most reduced form of N.
- (II)  $NH_4^+$  sustains a global average of 80 % of the authotroph's requeriments (Harrison, 1992), with the mesozooplankton responsible for 12 23 % (Hernández-León, 2008).



Zehr and Kudela (2011)



#### INTRODUCTION

#### How to determine NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> excretion in zooplankton?





### WATER BOTTLE-INCUBATIONS



Direct measurement.

Low data acquisition rate.

X

Complicated by organism manipulation, overcrowding and starvation.

(Mulling et al., 1975; Ikeda and Skjoldal, 1980; Bidigare, 1983)

#### **ENZYMATIC ASSAYS (GDH)**

High data acquisition rate.

Measurement of potential NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> excretion.

Variability in the GDH/RNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ratio.

(Bidigare and King, 1981)



## Why Glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH, EC 1.4.1.3) ?



Modified from Yuen and Chiew (2010)

(I) GDH is found in high levels in planktonic crustaceans (Regnault, 1987).

 $\Rightarrow$  Its role in amino acids catabolism agues for its control over a great proportion of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> excretion.



(II) Good correlation with  $NH_4^+$  excretion in several marine zooplankters.



Table I. Correlation coefficients between GDH and  $RNH_4^+$  calculated in different works.

SAMPLE	r <sup>2</sup>	REFERENCE
P. flexuosus (n=7)	0.92	Bidigare and King (1981)
Macrozooplankton (n=10)	0.98	Park <i>et al.</i> (1986)
<i>L. lingvura</i> (n=41)	0.87	Fernández-Urruzola <i>et al.</i> (2011)

But... Is that relationship constant in all the situations?

Park et al. (1986)



Some factors could affect the relationship between GDH activity and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> excretion!!



- Physiology and biochemistry should share scaling exponent (Berges et al., 1993).

- Changes in nutritional state lead to increase the variability (Park, 1986; Hernández-León and Torres, 1997; Fernández-Urruzola *et al.*, 2011).



#### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Location and Sampling





#### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Experimental design





TEADDed III Mik/teanvalueses for Rhunanato GOD Hactivity is fitnet has optianthism sizes put do optiang kitem different cruises.

CRUISE	T (≌ C)	$NH_4^+Excretion$ (µmol $NH_4^+\cdoth^{\cdot1}\cdotmg$ protein)	GDH Activity ( $\mu$ mol NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> · h <sup>-1</sup> · mg protein)	GDH/RNH₄ <sup>+</sup>
Camvalex I (n = 15)	20	0.46 ± 0.21 ≈ <b>0.48</b>	2.13 ± 1.07 ≈ <b>2.21</b>	5.43 ± 3.70
Malaspina LEGS 3 - 4 (n = 29)	19	0.30 ± 0.19 ≈ <b>0.33</b>	1.90 ± 0.92 ≈ <b>2.09</b>	9.57 ± 9.42
Malaspina LEG 7 (n = 61)	21	0.39 ± 0.32	2.39 + 0.94	13.26 ± 15.67
Succession (n =15)	11	0.23 ± 0.20	1.93 ± 1.33 ≈ <b>3.52</b>	22.10 ± 18.59

 $\Rightarrow$  **Temperature** influences on both metabolism and biochemistry: *correction via*  $Q_{10}$  *factor needed* !!

Sample	GDH/RNH₄ <sup>+</sup>	Reference
L. lingvura <mark>(</mark> n = 16)	10.41 ± 4.84	Fernández-Urruzola <i>et al</i> ., 2011
Mixed zooplankton	12.57 ± 11.11	Hernández-León and Torres, 1997
Mixed zooplankton (n=8)	23.4 ± 4.0	King <i>et al</i> ., 1997
Mixed zooplankton (n=10)	18.8 ± 6.72	Park <i>et al</i> ., 1987
Mixed zooplankton (n=5)	18.7 ± 4.3	Bidigare and King, 1982
C. finmarchicus (n=10)	16.8 ± 2.6	Bidigare and King, 1981
Mixed zooplankton (n=5)	18.7 ± 8.5	Bidigare, 1981

**Table IV**. GDH/RNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> Values from different works.

# GDH/RNH4<sup>+</sup> values one order of magnitude higher when completely starved.

Park (1986), Fernández-Urruzola et al. (2011)

### Do these metabolic rates really follow the Kleiber's Law?



#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** Effect of biomass on GDH/RNH<sub>4</sub>+ ratio



The effect of biomass acts in a similar way in both enzimatic and physiolocal rates. Thus, it is not seem to be the main factor on the  $GDH/RNH_4^+$  ratio variability.



#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** Effect of biomass on GDH/RNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ratio



Table V. Scaling exponents for NH<sub>4</sub>+ excretion rates summarized from the literature.

Organisms	Mass variable	NH4 <sup>+</sup> Scaling exponent	Reference
Ocean zooplankton	Dry weight	1.00	Blazka <i>et al</i> ., 1982
Ocean zooplankton	Dry weight	1.00	Vidal and Whitledge, 1982
Crangon crangon	Dry weight	0.79	Regnault and Batrel, 1987
Ocean zooplankton	Carbon	0.84	lkeda 1988
Ocean zooplankton	Nitrogen	0.65 - 0.80	Ikeda and Skjoldal, 1989
Mixed zooplankton	Carbon	0.92	Schmeider, 1990

(I) Enzyme activities greater than physiologycal rates.

#### **Potential measurement!**

- (II) NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> excretion data more scattered.Methodological artifacts?
- (III) Isometric relationship with biomass of both scaling exponents. (b = 1, p < 0.05)

Values in agreement with other works.

The effect of biomass acts in a similar way in both enzimatic and physiolocal rates. Thus, it is not seem to be the main factor on the GDH/RNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ratio variability.



#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** GDH activity to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> excretion relationship



GDH activity µmol-mgprot<sup>1</sup>h



- Rapid fall of nitrogen release after depletion of the food source (Mayzaud, 1976; Ikeda and Skjodal, 1980).
- GDH does not vary significantly with environmental changes, proving its constitutive nature.



Ferná htterzetaretzola (20al.) (2011)



- Rapid fall of nitrogen release after depletion of the food source (Mayzaud, 1976; Ikeda and Skjodal, 1980).
- GDH does not vary significantly with environmental changes, proving its constitutive nature.

Sta	rvation (h)	Apparent Km (mM)	GDH/RℕH₄⁺	<b>O<sub>2</sub> consumption</b> ( $\mu$ mol O <sub>2</sub> · h <sup>-1</sup> · mg protein <sup>-1</sup> )	Ro₂/RNH₄⁺
	4	4.69 ± 0.69	11.23 ± 4.46	$0.61 \pm 0.09$	4.57 ± 1.90
	10	12.1 ± 5.9	9.74 ± 2.98	$1.29 \pm 0.32$	6.66 ± 1.65
	18	8.55 ± 4.04	11.14 ± 5.93	$0.5 \pm 0.15$	5.51 ± 2.47
	26	19.44 ± 10.8	30.87 ± 25.28	$0.6 \pm 0.11$	11.51 ± 1.73
	42	4.67 ± 0.79	16.28 ± 1.55	$0.2 \pm 0.18$	2.13 ± 0.29
	54	5.04 ± 0.27	64.72 ± 51.3	$0.22 \pm 0.22$	7.07 ± 3.25
	68	2.84	102.41	0.24	12.9
	72	4.89 ± 3.45	67.89 ± 29.5	0.55 ± 0.05	15.49 ± 8.6
	76	5.95 ± 2.48	39.1 ± 5.09	0.74 ± 0.43	14.12 ± 2.96
	82	6.25 ± 2.51	89.1 ± 34.58	0.59 ± 0.36	47.2 ± 15.5

**Table VI.** Effect of starvation on the Michaelis constant for glutamate, and on the GDH/ $RNH_{4^+}$  and  $RO_2/RNH_{4^+}$  ratios.

Fernández-Urruzola et al. (2011)



- Rapid fall of nitrogen release after depletion of the food source (Mayzaud, 1976; Ikeda and Skjodal, 1980).
- GDH does not vary significantly with environmental changes, proving its constitutive nature.





#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Intracellular substrate levels as a key factor

# The intracellular substrates might be the answer !!





Roy and Packard (1998) found a decrease in intracellular substrates concentrations with food source limitation. As a concequence, the actual enzimatic rates would also decrease.

Aguiar-González et al. (2012)



An enzyme kinetic-based model should predict the *in vivo*  $RNH_4^+$  on natural samples of zooplankton from different productivity areas.





- (I) GDH/RNH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> is not constant in all the marine ecosystems sampled so far. However, the ratios argue that the zooplankton communities are in a healthy physiological state.
- (II) Starvation causes  $NH_4^+$  excretion and GDH activity to diverge more than does biomass.
- (III) Intracellular substrate levels should explain the variability between the physiological and enzimatic rates. As a result, a kinetic-based model would predict *in vivo* NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> excretion rates better than other theories based in biomass, such as the MTE.



This research is framed in the EXZOME project (CTM 2008 – 01616/MAR), which is funded by the extinct Spanish Science and Education Ministry. I. Fernandez-Urruzola receives finantial support from the Formation and Perfection of the Researcher Personal Program from the Basque Government.

I also thank to MALASPINA 2010 (CSD-20080077) and SUCCESSION projects for inviting me to participate in their cruises.





# s the GDH/R<sub>NH4</sub>+ ratio in the mesozooplankton constant through different oceanic systems?

## Fernández-Urruzola I., Packard T. and Gómez M.

Institute of Oceanography and Global Change, Biological Oceanography Group, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Canary Islands, Spain. <u>*E-mail address:*</u> ifernandez@becarios.ulpgc.es

