See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/221340547

Bootstrapped fall-swing CMOS driver for low supply voltage operation

Conference Paper · January 2006

DOI: 10.1109/DATE.2006.243767 · Source: DBLP

citations 16	reads 77
3 authors, including:	
Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria 55 PUBLICATIONS 193 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	Juan A Montiel-Nelson Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria 178 PUBLICATIONS 463 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE
Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projec	cts:

I am working about level shifters using 65nm CMOS technology. View project

Bootstrapped Full–Swing CMOS Driver for Low Supply Voltage Operation

José C. García, Juan A. Montiel–Nelson Institute for Applied Microelectronics, Dept. of Electronic Eng. and Automation, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, E–35017 Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain. {jcgarcia, montiel}@iuma.ulpgc.es

Abstract

This paper reports a high speed and low power consumption direct–indirect bootstrapped full–swing CMOS inverter driver circuit (bfi–driver). The simulation results, based on $0.13\mu m$ triple well CMOS technology, show that, when operated at 1V, bfi–driver is 94% faster and consumes 22% less power compared to a counterpart direct bootstrap circuit [1].

1. Introduction

Driving large capacitive loads limits the performance of CMOS circuits at low voltages. Use of standard high speed BiCMOS drivers in not viable in low voltage portable electronic systems. In this paper, a combined direct and indirect bootstrapped inverter driver circuit in triple well CMOS technology is proposed and analyzed. The features of *bfi-driver* is compared to a counterpart direct bootstrapped full-swing circuit. The chosen counterpart circuit of Fig. 1 is a fully CMOS re–implementation of BiCMOS driver scheme in [1] where NMOS transistors M8 and M9 are the replacement for the original NPN bipolar transistors.

1.1. Previous Work

Full–swing BiCMOS/BiNMOS logic circuits using bootstrapping in the pull–up section for low supply voltage down to 1V were proposed in [1] to outperform other driver circuits when fanout or the load capacitance is high. A bootstrapped circuit for CMOS and BiCMOS dynamic logic gates to enhance the speed performance was reported in [2]. The bootstrapping techniques proposed in [2] and [3] have been shown to give high performance at low supply voltages. Saeid Nooshabadi School of Electrical Engineering and Telecom. University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2051 AU. saeid@unsw.edu.au



Figure 1. Circuit structure of bootstrapped CMOS in [1].

2. Driver Circuit Structure

Fig. 2 presents the circuit structure for *bfi–driver*. In this driver circuit, we use two bootstrap capacitors Cb1 and Cb2, and only one inverter X0. M0 and M3 are used to charge the bootstrap capacitors Cb1 and Cb2, respectively. M1 and M4 are the bootstrap transistors. M2 forms the pull–down network, while M5 forms the pull–up network. The parameters in Table 1 were used to design and simulate both driver circuits. Cb, Cb1 and Cb2 are realized using NMOS transistors MCb, MCb1 and MCb2, respectively, of Table 1. The channel length of all transistors is $0.13\mu m$.

3. Circuit Operation

In the proposed circuit structure of Fig. 2, when Vin is high, the node 1 is set to low via the inverter X0, and M0 is turned on. As a result, the bootstrapping capacitor Cb1 is charged to Vdd (node 2 at Vdd and node 1 at ground po-



tential). M1, M4 and M5 are all turned off. M3 transistor is turned on causing Cb2 to charge (node Vin at Vdd and node 4 at ground potential). At the same time, M2 is driven by Vin and *bfi-driver* is pulled down, the output Vout is set to low. When Vin goes low, the node 1 is set to high, M0, M2, and M3 are turned off. M5 is turned on to pull-up the output. Simultaneously, M4 turns on allowing the gate of M1 to be strongly driven low due to the bootstrapping effect of Cb2. Meanwhile, the opposite bootstrapping effect of Cb1 directly couples to the output node Vout further assisting in the pull-up, and improving the switching speed.

4. Comparative Evaluation

Both driver circuits were implemented using UMC triple well $0.13\mu m \ 1.2V/3.3V$ CMOS process. Active areas for the proposed circuit and the circuit in [1] are $35.86\mu m^2$ and $44.17\mu m^2$, respectively. The circuits were simulated at 500MHz input frequency with 100ps rise and fall times, at supply voltages of 1V and 1.2V, and output capacitive load in the range of 10 to 100fF. Simulation results show that at the power supply of 1V our *bfi-driver* is 94% faster (19*ps* delay at 100fF load), and its power consumption (1.28mW) is 22% lower than the driver in [1]. Fig. 3 illustrates Figures of Merit (delay power product) versus load capacitance for two drivers. As seen the Figure of Merit for *bfi-driver* is 23.6–37.5 times smaller.

5. Conclusions

This paper presented a new high performance low power direct-indirect CMOS bootstrapped driver design (*bfi-driver*). Under a condition of 1V voltage power supply and a capacitive loading of 100 fF, the de-

lay and the power consumption associated with bfi-driver are 19ps and 1.28mW, respectively.

Driver in [1]		bfi–driver			
Transistor(s)	Туре	Width	Transistor(s)	Туре	Width
		(μm)			(μm)
PX1, PX3, M1	PMOS	0.28	PX0	PMOS	5
NX1	NMOS	5	NX0	NMOS	0.28
PX2	PMOS	15	MO	PMOS	14
NX2	NMOS	0.28	M1	PMOS	10
NX3	NMOS	0.5	M2	NMOS	19
M0,MCb	NMOS	50	MЗ	NMOS	0.28
M2	PMOS	1	M4	NMOS	80
M3	PMOS	2	MCb1	NMOS	60
M4	PMOS	0.28	MCb2	NMOS	100
M5	PMOS	50	_	_	_
M6	PMOS	5	—		
M7	NMOS	35	—		
M8	NMOS	1	—		
M9	NMOS	60			_

Triple well $0.13 \mu m \; 1.2 V/3.3 V$ process technology from UMC.

Table 1. Channel widths for driver transistors.



References

- A. Bellaouar, M. I. Elmasry and S. H. K. Embabi, "Bootstrapped full–swing BiCMOS/BiNMOS logic circuits for 1.2– 3.3V supply voltage regime," *IEEE J. Solid–State Circuits*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 629–636, June 1995.
- [2] J. H. Lou, and J. B. Kuo, "1.5V CMOS and BiCMOS Bootstrapped Dynamic Logic Circuits Suitable for Low–Voltage VLSI," VLSI Technology, Systems, and Aplications, pp. 279– 282, June 1997.
- [3] J. C. García, J. A. Montiel–Nelson, J. Sosa and H. Navarro, "A direct bootstrapped CMOS large capacitive–load driver circuit," *Design, Automation and Test in Europe Conference and Exhibition*, vol. 1, pp. 680–681, Feb. 2004.