

Allergologia et immunopathologia

Sociedad Española de Inmunología Clínica, Alergología y Asma Pediátrica

www.elsevier.es/ai



RESEARCH LETTERS

Short-term improvement in health-related quality of life in adult rhinitis/asthma patients treated with Acaroid[®]

To the Editor,

Determination of efficacy of allergic vaccines, in the context of clinical trial, is mainly based on the objective quantification of medication administered and symptoms evolution with little relevance of variables perceived by patients. IgEmediated respiratory diseases have an important social and psychological repercussion for patients as well as economic consequences. In this sense, it seems important to record and analyse Patient-Reported Outcomes (PROs) which refers to any report coming from patients about a health condition and its treatment.¹ PROs are gaining importance in clinical research because of their relevance in the overall treatment efficacy assessment.¹

Among PROs, Health Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) has been extensively evaluated in rhinitis and asthma.¹ Several validated tools have been developed to assess variations in HRQoL in rhinitis and asthma, including both the Rhinoconjunctivitis² and Asthma³ Quality of Life Questionnaires (RQLQ, AQLQ) developed by Junniper, highlighted by their widespread use.

High-dose allergoid immunotherapy (Acaroid[®]) has demonstrated its clinical effectiveness and safety in several clinical trials,^{4,5} for treatment of allergic disease mediated by IgE aetiologically related to house dust mites. The aim of this study was to assess improvements in HRQoL of patients after the first two months of treatment with Acaroid[®].

The tested product Acaroid[®] (Allergopharma KG, Reinbek, Germany) is an aluminium hydroxide-adsorbed depot allergoid preparation of standardised (in therapeutic units, TU) high concentrations of powdered diafiltered dust mite allergens modified with formaldehyde and glutaraldehyde. There are two different concentrations, A strength (1000 TU/mL), and B strength (10,000 TU/mL). Allergens quantified in the last step prior to allergoidisation are 11.66 μ g/mL Der p 1, and 10 μ g/mL Der p 2 in the 100% Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus formulation, and 20 μ g/mL Der f 1 and 15 μ g/mL Der f 2 in the 100% Dermatophagoides farinae formulation.

A retrospective observational study including patients with allergic rhinitis and/or bronchial asthma was performed at the Allergy Service of Doctor Negrin Universitary Hospital (Canary Islands, Spain) between October 2009 and August 2011. Both questionnaires were administered before the beginning of the immunotherapy and eight weeks later. The inclusion criteria were: adult patients between 18 and 65 years old, diagnoses with rhinitis and/or bronchial asthma IgE-mediated by house dust mites and were likely to receive immunotherapy with Acaroid[®]. All patients accepted participation in the study by signing the informed consent form.

The sRQLQ has 28 questions distributed in seven different domains: activity limitation, sleep problems, nose symptoms, eye symptoms, non-nose/eye symptoms, practical problems and emotional function. Patients respond to each question on a 7-point scale (0 = not impaired at all-6 = severely impaired). The sAQLQ includes 32 questions in four domains: symptoms, activity limitation, emotional function and environmental stimuli. Patients are asked to respond to all questions on a 7-point scale (7 = not impaired at all-1 = severely impaired). HRQoL was assessed at the beginning of the immunotherapy and eight weeks later. The Spanish validated versions for RQLQ and AQLQ were used in the study.⁶ Patients with allergic rhinitis and bronchial asthma fulfilled both questionnaires.

For both questionnaires, the overall score is the mean of all 28 or 32 responses (sRQLQ and sAQLQ, respectively), and the individual domain scores are the means of the items in those domains. The statistical significance of the differences between HRQoL scores at weeks 0 and 8 was determined using Student's *t*-test (paired samples, two tails, P < 0.05). Previously, the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test and the Bartlett test were used to check both the normality and homoscedasticity of the samples.

A total of 48 patients were requested to participate in this study, acceptance percentage was 100%. Baseline characteristics, degree of disease severity according to ARIA (for rhinoconjunctivitis) and GINA (for asthma) and immunotherapy administered are described in Table 1. All patients were sensitised to house dust mites, 92% of rhinoconjunctivitis patients and 93% of bronchial asthma patients were monosensitised, respectively. The rest of patients were polisensitised, however this sensitisation may not impact on clinical symptoms. 44 (92%) of 48 patients with rhinitis and 19 (63%) of 30 asthmatic patients fulfilled the questionnaires at weeks 0 and 8, respectively. Results reported below refer to this number of patients.

We observed statistically significant differences in the sRQLQ and sAQLQ overall scores after eight weeks of

Table 1Baseline and clinical characteristics of patientsincluded in the study.

	Ν	Percentage	
Age (Mean, SD)	30.3	(SD 9.5)	
Gender (Female)	37	77%	
Diagnosis			
Rhinitis-Rhinoconjunctivitis	48	100%	
ARIA Classification:			
Persistent-mild	25	52%	
Persistent-moderate	22	46%	
Intermittent-mild	1	2%	
Asthma	30	63%	
GINA classification:			
GINA I	30	100%	
Immunotherapy			
100% of D.pteronyssinus	38	79 %	
Mixture of D. pteronyssinus	10	21%	
and D. farinae			

immunotherapy with Acaroid[®]. A decrease in sRQLQ overall score of 0.45 points was observed, which represents an improvement of HRQL of 19% (*P*-value <0.05). When the analysis is performed by domains, these differences were also significant for three of the seven domains assessed: sleep problems, practical problems and nose symptoms.

For asthmatic patients, an increase of 0.41 sAQLQ overall score was observed, representing an improvement in HRQL of 8% (*P*-value <0.05). The improved quality of life was also observed in all domains in the sAQLQ with statistical significance for symptoms and emotional function domains. All these results are summarised in Table 1.

Treatment of rhinoconjunctivitis/bronchial asthma IgEmediated by house dust-mites with Acaroid[®] shows an important and statistically significant improvement in patients' HRQoL after eight weeks of immunotherapy (Table 2).

Powell et al.⁷ not only reported significant improvements in HRQoL of patients treated with a depot extract of phleum but also concluded that this improvement was higher in patients receiving higher doses of grass majority allergen ($20 \mu g$ phl p 5/doses). In this sense, Alvarez Cuesta et al.⁸ reported significant improvements using a modified therapeutic extract (allergoid).

Despite the small number of bronchial asthma patients included, we consider an important contribution of our study the improvements in HRQoL observed in these patients. In a previous study Ameal et al.⁹ reported improvements in HRQoL for asthma patients after seven weeks of immunotherapy, although this difference was not statistically significant until the end of the study (19 weeks).

Based on our findings and considering previous analyses published, we believe that the results reported in our study could be related with high concentrations of the major allergens of *Dermatophagoides* that are administered in each dose of Acaroid[®].

One limitation could be the retrospective and observational design; nevertheless we consider that the assessment of the therapeutic product under real practice conditions could be clinically relevant. Other limitations of our study rely on the small sample size and the short period of followup. Nevertheless, it is necessary to highlight the clinical relevance of our findings. Patients perceived effects of the immunotherapy quickly and significantly. Patients' satisfaction with their therapy is directly related with perceived effectiveness, which could also improve patients' adherence to treatment. The significant improvement in HRQoL reported by asthmatic patients is also relevant despite their asthma level (100% GINA I).

In conclusion, this is the first study reporting significant improvements in HRQoL after a short period of immunotherapy, both the overall score and by domains score. Despite the

HRQL scores week 0	HRQL scores week 8	Absolute differences	P-value ^a	Relative diff
Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Means [95% CI]		Means
2.27 (1.20)	1.84 (1.22)	0.43 [0.06; 0.80]	0.0282 ^a	18.9%
4.21 (1.60)	3.68 (1.30)	0.53 [-0.10; 1.17]	0.1307	12.6%
1.46 (1.49)	1.37 (1.52)	0.08 [-0.29; 0.46]	0.7951	6.2%
1.93 (1.60)	1.56 (1.29)	0.32 [-0.14; 0.77]	0.2554	19.2%
2.69 (1.48)	2.07 (1.53)	0.62 [0.08; 1.16]	0.0422 ^a	23.0%
2.11 (1.44)	1.69 (1.34)	0.42 [-0.03; 0.86]	0.0861	16.0%
2.96 (1.68)	2.37 (1.72)	0.59 [0.06; 1.13]	0.0398 ^a	19.4%
1.79 (1.38)	1.19 (1.38)	0.56 [0.17; 0.95]	0.0100 ^a	33.5%
5.23 (0.93)	5.64 (0.80)	0.41 [0.08; 0.74]	0.0257ª	7.9 %
5.01 (0.81)	5.26 (0.78)	0.26 [-0.05; 0.57]	0.1166	5.2%
5.63 (1.44)	6.04 (1.26)	0.41 [0.07; 0.75]	0.0288 ^a	7.3%
5.40 (1.03)	5.98 (0.73)	0.58 [0.20; 0.96]	0.0073 ^a	10.8%
4.77 (1.27)	5.09 (1.26)	0.32 [-0.29;0.94]	0.3131	6.8%
	Mean (SD) 2.27 (1.20) 4.21 (1.60) 1.46 (1.49) 1.93 (1.60) 2.69 (1.48) 2.11 (1.44) 2.96 (1.68) 1.79 (1.38) 5.23 (0.93) 5.01 (0.81) 5.63 (1.44) 5.40 (1.03)	Mean (SD) Mean (SD) 2.27 (1.20) 1.84 (1.22) 4.21 (1.60) 3.68 (1.30) 1.46 (1.49) 1.37 (1.52) 1.93 (1.60) 1.56 (1.29) 2.69 (1.48) 2.07 (1.53) 2.11 (1.44) 1.69 (1.34) 2.96 (1.68) 2.37 (1.72) 1.79 (1.38) 1.19 (1.38) 5.23 (0.93) 5.64 (0.80) 5.01 (0.81) 5.26 (0.78) 5.63 (1.44) 6.04 (1.26) 5.40 (1.03) 5.98 (0.73)	Mean (SD) Mean (SD) Means [95% CI] 2.27 (1.20) 1.84 (1.22) 0.43 [0.06; 0.80] 4.21 (1.60) 3.68 (1.30) 0.53 [-0.10; 1.17] 1.46 (1.49) 1.37 (1.52) 0.08 [-0.29; 0.46] 1.93 (1.60) 1.56 (1.29) 0.32 [-0.14; 0.77] 2.69 (1.48) 2.07 (1.53) 0.62 [0.08; 1.16] 2.11 (1.44) 1.69 (1.34) 0.42 [-0.03; 0.86] 2.96 (1.68) 2.37 (1.72) 0.59 [0.06; 1.13] 1.79 (1.38) 1.19 (1.38) 0.56 [0.17; 0.95] 5.23 (0.93) 5.64 (0.80) 0.41 [0.08; 0.74] 5.01 (0.81) 5.26 (0.78) 0.26 [-0.05; 0.57] 5.63 (1.44) 6.04 (1.26) 0.41 [0.07; 0.75] 5.40 (1.03) 5.98 (0.73) 0.58 [0.20; 0.96]	Mean (SD)Mean (SD)Means [95% CI]2.27 (1.20)1.84 (1.22)0.43 [0.06; 0.80]0.0282°4.21 (1.60)3.68 (1.30)0.53 [-0.10 ; 1.17]0.13071.46 (1.49)1.37 (1.52)0.08 [-0.29 ; 0.46]0.79511.93 (1.60)1.56 (1.29)0.32 [-0.14 ; 0.77]0.25542.69 (1.48)2.07 (1.53)0.62 [0.08; 1.16]0.0422°2.11 (1.44)1.69 (1.34)0.42 [-0.03 ; 0.86]0.08612.96 (1.68)2.37 (1.72)0.59 [0.06; 1.13]0.0398°1.79 (1.38)1.19 (1.38)0.56 [0.17; 0.95]0.0100°5.23 (0.93)5.64 (0.80)0.41 [0.08; 0.74]0.0257°5.01 (0.81)5.26 (0.78)0.26 [-0.05 ; 0.57]0.11665.63 (1.44)6.04 (1.26)0.41 [0.07; 0.75]0.0288°5.40 (1.03)5.98 (0.73)0.58 [0.20; 0.96]0.0073°

Table 2 Overall and by domains scores at weeks 0 and 8 for allergic rhinitis and bronchial asthma quality of life questionnaires.

short period of follow-up, the administration of Acaroid[®] in patients with IgE-mediated rhinoconjunctivitis and asthma has shown statistically significant improvements in patient's health-related quality of life. Confirmation of these results should be expected considering a higher sample size and a longer period of follow-up.

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that the procedures followed were in accordance with the regulations of the responsible Clinical Research Ethics Committee and in accordance with those of the World Medical Association and the Helsinki Declaration.

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that they have followed the protocols of their work centre on the publication of patient data and that all the patients included in the study have received sufficient information and have given their informed consent in writing to participate in that study.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors have obtained the informed consent of the patients and/or subjects mentioned in the article. The author for correspondence is in possession of this document.

Acknowledgement

This research was funded by the Allergy Department of the Hospital Universitario Dr. Negrín of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain.

References

 Braido F, Bousquet PJ, Brzoza Z, Canonica GW, Compalati E, Fiocchi A, et al. Specific recommendations for PROs and HRQoL assessment in allergic rhinitis and/or asthma: a GA²LEN taskforce position paper.

- Juniper EF, Thompson AK, Ferrie PJ, Roberts JN. Validation of a standardised version of the Rhinoconjunctivitis Quality of Life Questionnaire. J Allergy Clin Immuno. 1999;104:364–9.
- Juniper EF, Buist AS, Cox FM, Ferrie PJ, King DR. Validation of a standardised version of the Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire. Chest. 1999;115:1265–70.
- Dokic D, Schnitker J, Narkus A, Cromwell O, Frank E. Clinical effects of specific immunotherapy: a two-year double-blind, placebo-controlled study with a one year follow-up. Prilozi. 2005;26:113-29.
- Zielen S, Kardos P, Madonini E. Steroid-sparing effects with allergen-specific immunotherapy in children with asthma: a randomized controlled trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010;126:942–9.
- Soler R, De la Hoz B, Badia X, Mercadal J, Lozano R, Benavides A, et al. Validación de la versión española del cuestionario de calidad de vida para pacientes con rinoconjuntivitis. Rev Clin Esp. 2004;204:131–8.
- Powell RJ, Frew AJ, Corrigan CJ, Durham SR. Effect of grass pollen immunotherapy with Alutard SQ on quality of life in seasonal allergic rhinoconjunctivitis. Allergy. 2007;62: 1335–8.
- Alvarez-Cuesta E, Aragoneses-Gilsanz E, Martin-Garcia C, Berges-Gimeno P, Gonzalez-Mancebo E, Cuesta-Herranz J. Immunotherapy with depigmented glutaraldehyde-polymerized extracts: changes in quality of life. Clin Exp Allergy. 2005;35:572–8.
- Ameal A, Vega-Chicote JM, Fernandez S, Miranda A, Carmona MJ, Rondon MC, et al. Double-blind and placebo-controlled study to assess efficacy and safety of a modified allergen extract of Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus in allergic asthma. Allergy. 2005;60:1178–83.

D. Cruz Niesvaara, J.A. Cumplido Bonny,

H.R. Hernández Suárez, L. Almeida Quintana, T. Carrillo Díaz*

Allergy Department, Gran Canaria Universitary Hospital Dr. Negrín, Las Palmas de Gran canaria, Canary Islands, Spain

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* tcardia@gobiernodecanarias.org (T. Carrillo Díaz). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aller.2012.10.002

Serum IgE discriminates allergy from sensitisation better than skin testing

To the Editor,

Respiratory allergies, such as allergic rhinitis and asthma, are a frequent disorder affecting up to 40% of the general population. Allergic disorders are characterised by abnormal production of allergen-specific immunoglobulin-E (IgE). IgE may be easily evaluated at serum level. Thus, serum specific IgE may be considered a biomarker for identifying the allergic phenotype.

Sensitisation is the immune phenomenon defined by the production of allergen-specific IgE. Sensitisation may be demonstrated by positive skin prick test (SPT) or serum IgE measurement. However, sensitisation does not correspond to allergy. In fact, the diagnosis of allergic disorders is based on the demonstration of a cause-effect relationship: such as the occurrence of symptom consequent to the inhalation of the allergen causing sensitisation. In this regard, positive SPT is a necessary, but not sufficient, condition for diagnosing allergy. The diagnostic pathway should consider the history of the individual patient. Therefore, managing allergic patients well is mandatory to optimise medical resources.

Skin prick tests are considered positive when the cutaneous reaction is equal (graded as +++) or more (++++) than that provoked by histamine. Consequently, there are only two grades of cutaneous positivity: +++ or ++++. On the contrary, serum IgE assessment allows a wider scale ranging from 0.35 to >100 kU/L. Therefore, the hypothesis is that serum IgE measurement might be more useful than