Size-density strategy displayed by *Diadema africanum* linked with the stability of urchin-barrens in the Canary Islands
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*The sea urchin Diadema africanum is considered a key herbivore in sublittoral ecosystems of the Canary Islands. Spatial and temporal variability in population structure was carried out at Gran Canaria. We performed a morphometric and population density analysis during 2005, 2006 and 2007 at four sites in zones of Gran Canaria. The study considered a vertical gradient (5, 10 and 20 m depth) during both seasons, the cold season (February and March) and the warm season (October and November). The sea urchin *D. africanum* in Gran Canaria exhibited an overall density of 7.59 ± 2.92 urchin m⁻². A two-way ANOVA evidenced spatial differences in mean abundance of the species, while seasonality was not relevant. The vertical analysis of the abundance of *D. africanum* showed differences, the smaller sizes appeared at greater depths. The Aristotle’s lantern width decreased in a vertical gradient, being remarkable between 10 and 20 m. Findings of uniformity in size over time, a stable range of high densities and the lack of a relationship between the size of the sea urchins and the season reveals that the density–size strategy displayed by *D. africanum* which explains in turns the high stability of the urchin barrens, which, once developed, remain as areas of permanent desertification in subtidal depths throughout the Canary Archipelago.*
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**INTRODUCTION**

Echinoderms have been called a ‘boom–bust’ phylum because of the large fluctuations of some species (Uthicke et al., 2009). There are many factors involved in the regulation of the structure of urchin population; where predation, recruitment, pollution, diseases, large-scale oceanographic events, food supplies, niche variability are some of them (Hyman, 1955; Dayton et al., 1984; Hughes, 1994; Sala & Zabala, 1996; Sala & Ballesteros, 1997; 2007; Lessios, 2013; García-Sanz et al., 2014). Hence, the ecological processes triggering these population explosions cannot be easily determined (Lessios et al. (2001); Hernández et al., 2008; Lessios, 2013). Expansion and crashes are more common than was usually thought. Overpopulation of sea urchins may generate extensive areas devoid of macroalgae (Lessios, 2013) called ‘urchin barren’.

The presence of barrens has been extensively documented in the coastal zones of temperate environments and in subtropical and tropical regions (Sammarco, 1982; McClanahan & Curtis, 1991; McClanahan, 1994; Alves et al., 2001; Brito et al., 2004; Tuya et al., 2005; Clemente et al., 2010; Lessios, 2013; García-Sanz et al., 2014). The development of barrens has been reported on rocky substrates of the Macaronesian islands, without associated anthropogenic factors (Lawrence, 1975). However, higher densities of the sea urchins have been linked with overfishing and areas protected from high wave action (Bortone et al., 1991; McClanahan et al., 1996; Tuya et al., 2004a, 2005, 2007). Barrens are considered undesirable due to the negative impact on fisheries productivity (Clemente et al., 2010). Several studies have evaluated the role of *Diadema africanum* in subtidal coastal ecosystems, because it has become the most voracious consumer of the sublittoral vegetation in the Canary Archipelago with densities up to 12 urchin m⁻² (Alves et al., 2003; Brito et al., 2004; Hernández et al., 2008; Clemente et al., 2010).

The long-spined sea urchin *Diadema africanum* (Rodríguez et al., 2013) is considered one of the most well known echinoid because of its important role as a benthic grazer in the Eastern Atlantic (Randall et al., 1964; Tuya et al., 2005; Lessios, 2013). The spatial distribution of *Diadema* has been linked to a variety of factors, including predation (Dayton et al., 1984; Sala & Ballesteros, 1997), settlement and recruitment (Young & Chia, 1982), availability of trophic resources (Menge, 1992) and competitive interactions (Hagen & Mann, 1992). Changes in density may influence changes in the dimensions of some morphological structures of sea urchins, particularly the Aristotle’s lantern (Garrido, 2003). This pentagonal structure forms an efficient scraping tool to acquire food, and its analysis provides important information about food limitation or plastic resource allocation (Ebert, 1980; Levitan, 1991).
Therefore, the main objectives of this study were to: (1) determine the current population density of *D. africanum*; and (2) compare differences in the Aristotle’s lantern across different space, time and vertical conditions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

Surveys were conducted during 2005, 2006 and 2007 in four different areas on the island of Gran Canaria in the Canary Islands (Figure 1). The sites were La Catedral (LC: 28°10′57″N 15°24′11″W), Sardina del Norte (SAR: 28°08′56″N 15°42′02″W), Risco Verde (RV: 27°51′24″N 15°23′9″W) and Puerto Rico (PR: 27°47′47″N 15°43′59″W). Gran Canaria Island is nearly a round island with different environmental characteristics at the four sampling sites. LC and SAR are located far from urban areas, and are under the influence of north-east winds. Both sites have deep waters and are characterized by extensive rocky substrates. RV is a shallow water area on the east side of the island, protected from the wind, has a sandy substrate below 15 m and is adjacent to an urban centre. The fourth site, PR, is located to the south-west and is shallow, with a mixed substrate of rocks, gravel and sand below 10 m depth.

LC and SAR are colonized by sea urchins and exhibit no erect algae, but there are some encrusting red algae; whereas RV and PR are characterized by erect algae, predominantly of the genera *Padina*, *Dictyota* and *Stypocaulon* spp. (see Cabanillas-Terán, 2009 for more details). Censuses were conducted at three different depth ranges according to the specific topography of each site. Ten metres was the common depth for all sites (Table 1). Samples were taken in two seasons: warm (October–November) and cold (March–April), according to the time when the water exhibits its maximum (23–24°C) and minimum (17–18°C) peaks of temperature (Hernández et al., 2011).

**Survey methodology and population structure**

Mean density was estimated using a belt-transect methodology (Vanderklift & Kendrick, 2004). At each site, eight random transects of 5 m² were placed parallel to the shoreline and separated 10 m from each other. All crevices and small holes were carefully inspected to avoid missing any individuals. Censuses were performed in 2005, 2006 and 2007 at each of the sampling sites in both the cold and warm seasons at different depths (Table 1). A total of 792 *Diadema africanum* were collected randomly across all sites for morphometric analysis during cold and warm seasons of 2005, 2006 and the cold season of 2007 (25 individuals by site by depth). Individuals were collected randomly, brought to the laboratory, labelled and frozen for later analyses. Once in the laboratory, the Aristotle’s lantern diameters were measured (caliper error: 0.05 mm). Depth range in Table 1 was established according to the presence of *D. africanum* at these depths. We divided individuals in four size-classes following Tuya et al. (2004a, b): class 1: 1.5–3.5 cm; class 2: 3.5–5.4 cm; class 3: 5.5–7.4 cm; and class 4: 7.5–10 cm.

**Statistical analysis**

The Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Levene tests were run to test normality and homogeneity of variance for all biometric parameters (Zar, 2010). We ran a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to test spatial and temporal differences in mean abundance and (10 m) mean size. This model incorporates time as the fixed and site as the random factor. In addition, we ran different one-way ANOVAs to determine potential differences in depth at each site of mean density, but also differences in depth and among sites for the Aristotle’s lantern width. Multiple post-hoc Tukey’s HSD analyses were run when ANOVAs were significant to identify specific subsets. Furthermore, we ran the parametric Pearson correlation among mean density of *D. africanum* and depth. All statistical
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Table 1. Sampling depths for the four sites in Gran Canaria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>Depth 1</th>
<th>Depth 2</th>
<th>Depth 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risco Verde</td>
<td>5 m</td>
<td>10 m</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>5 m</td>
<td>10 m</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Catedral</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10 m</td>
<td>20 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sardina del Norte</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10 m</td>
<td>20 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 1. Study area and sampling sites in Gran Canaria: Sardina (SAR); PR (Puerto Rico); Risco Verde (RV); La Catedral (LC).
analyses were performed in the free-license statistical software R-3.0.1 with a $P$ value of 0.05 (R Core Team, 2013).

**RESULTS**

The sea urchin *D. africanum* exhibited an overall density of $7.59 \pm 2.92$ (mean $\pm$ standard deviation) urchin m$^{-2}$ at Gran Canaria. The overall abundance varied from $2.09 \pm 1.42$ urchin m$^{-2}$ (PR) to $10.12 \pm 3.17$ urchin m$^{-2}$ (SAR). Seasonal highest density of *D. africanum* was recorded at RV during the cold season of 2005 at 5 m (12.98 $\pm$ 4.47 urchin m$^{-2}$), while PR displayed the lowest density during the cold season in the same depth and year (0.75 $\pm$ 0.45 urchin m$^{-2}$) (Figure 2). A two-way ANOVA found spatial differences in mean abundance of the species, while seasonality was not relevant (Table 2). The post-hoc analysis determined that the most important differences in abundances occurred between RV with respect to LC/PR ($P < 0.001$), and between PR/SAR ($P < 0.001$). On the other hand, the abundance across a vertical gradient (depth) exhibited higher densities at 20 m in LC and at RV at 5 m (Figure 2). Differences in depth were found within PR (ANOVA, $F = 38.69$, df = 1, $P < 0.001$) and SAR ($F = 13.20$, df = 1, $P < 0.001$), while LC ($F = 2.01$, df = 1, $P = 0.159$) and RV ($F = 0.0008$, df = 1, $P < 0.997$) did not exhibit a great vertical changes in abundance. Furthermore, we did not find a strong relationship between depth and mean density of *D. africanum* ($r = 0.247$, $N = 384$, $P < 0.01$).

Overall mean size of *D. africanum* during the study was $5.06 \pm 1.01$ cm. The maximum average mean size was recorded in RV ($5.53 \pm 0.92$ cm), followed by PR, LC and lastly SAR, with $4.29 \pm 0.68$ cm. The spatial variability showed that RV and PR were the two sites with greater mean sizes at some intervals, whereas SAR and LC remained below 6.0 cm (Figure 3). The classes two and three together represented 94% of the whole sample, and class 2 alone represented more than 65% of the individuals collected during the study.

Differences in depth of mean sizes showed that in PR and RV mean sizes increase between 5 and 10 m, whereas in LC and SAR sizes diminished between 10 and 20 m (Figure 3). The two-way ANOVA performed only at 10 m found significant spatial and temporal mean sizes (Table 2). All sites exhibited differences ($P < 0.001$) among the others in a post-hoc analysis, whereas temporal differences were not remarkable except between the warm seasons of 2005 and 2006 ($P = 0.095$), and between the cold seasons of 2006 and 2007 ($P = 0.997$).

The morphometric analysis of the Aristotle’s lantern structure found that the width of the structure decreased in a
vertical gradient, notably between 10 and 20 m \((F = 12.307, \ d = 2, \ P < 0.001)\). A post hoc comparison found a lack of difference only between 5 and 10 m \((P = 0.245)\) (Figure 3A). On the other hand, the width of the lantern was greater at PR and RV and lower in LC and SAR (Figure 3B). A one-way ANOVA found spatial differences \((F = 8.43, \ d = 3, \ P < 0.001)\), whereas post hoc comparisons did not find differences between either RV and PR or LC and SAR \((P > 0.05)\).

**DISCUSSION**

The general stability displayed at all sites in abundance confirms the high seasonal stability of *D. africanum* population on Gran Canaria. Temporal stability in abundance of this invertebrate agrees with previous studies conducted throughout the Canary Archipelago (Brito et al., 1984; Bortone et al., 1991; Tuya et al., 2006, 2007; Hernández et al., 2007; Clemente et al., 2010; Hernández et al., 2011). The existence of a depth gradient was notable at least for PR and SAR. This result agrees with other studies conducted in Canary Islands (Tuya et al., 2004b; Hernández et al., 2005, 2007). The hydrodynamic action in intertidal and subtidal environments affects the distribution patterns of marine organisms (Roberts et al., 2006).

The existence of higher densities of *D. africanum* in deeper areas in PR and SAR could be supported by the negative relationship between depth and wave effect (Roberts et al., 2006). The sea urchin *D. africanum* is weakly resistant to unidirectional hydrodynamic forces, because its morphology does not allow a large adhesive surface area to attach to the bottom (Tuya et al., 2007). Higher hydrodynamics in shallow areas also may limit *D. africanum* recruitment, according to Tuya et al. (2007), which affects population growth. Nevertheless, the vertical distribution of *D. africanum* in the Macaronesia Archipelago showed that the species was more abundant in shallow water areas (Entrambasaguas et al., 2008), as occurs with *Diadema antillarum* in the Caribbean (Hendler et al., 1995).

The vertical Aristotle’s lantern results (Figure 4) agreed with other studies that indicate a limited growth of *D. africanum* at greater depths (Levitan, 1988; Garrido, 2003; Hernández et al., 2005, 2007). However, we only found this behaviour in LC, whereas SAR displayed an inverse relationship and PR and RV did not exhibit a clear pattern (Figure 3). The reduction in width of this structure may be a result of food restrictions due to a decrease in vegetation cover and/or lower primary production limited by light intensity (Alves et al., 2001; Lessios et al., 2001a, b). In addition, Levitan (1991) found that *Diadema* might adjust its body size as a function of available resources, as an adaptive strategy to protect against the harmful effects of increased density.

A limiting factor shaping abundance and size of *D. africanum* are food resources, and in barrens this factor is determinant. Urchin barrens have greater development in deeper zones due to continuous grazing activity, whereas in shallower waters, grazing remains restricted during night-time (Tuya et al., 2004c). It has been demonstrated for sea urchins of temperate zones that recruitment may be favoured under high density conditions, due to higher larval settlement success (Balch et al., 1998; Tuya et al., 2006). However, when densities reached 10 urchin m\(^{-2}\) or higher, sea urchins may collapse benthic production (Tuya et al., 2004b). The existence of a correlation between size and urchin barrens development found here is consistent with other studies (Black et al., 1982; Ebert, 1983; McClanahan (1991); Levitan & Sewell, 1998; Garrido, 2003). For instance, we found that barrens with less algal cover have higher densities but smaller urchins.
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**Fig. 3.** Seasonal mean size distribution of *Diadema africanum* at four sites in Gran Canaria. The X axis represents time with cold-2005 (c-05), warm-2006 (w-06), etc. Depths are represented by square (5 m), circle (10 m), and triangle (20 m). Bars represent 95% of confidence interval of the mean. There are some missing data because not all sites were measured in all seasons. Lack of information (SAR c-05, PR w-06 and c-07 at 10 m) represents missing data.
Further studies on the trophic ecology would provide a better understanding of how *D. africanum* can persist over long periods with a high reproductive success and seasonal stability, even at the limit of the carrying capacity of the environment. Despite extensive studies on the biological role of this species, there are still some questions as to how this species is dominant and is the key genera on both sides of the Atlantic.

To conclude, population density of *D. africanum* exhibited high temporal stability in Gran Canaria, while changing across a vertical gradient and between sites. The existence of higher densities and smaller sizes in deeper areas explains how the density–size strategy displayed by *Diadema* sp. (Levitan, 1989, 1991) accounts for the high stability of the urchin barrens, once developed, remaining as areas of permanent desertification at subtidal depths throughout the Canary Archipelago.
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