

# Can zooplankton secondary production models predict growth in the marine mysid *Leptomysis lingvura* (G.O. Sars, 1866)?



## Marrero, A., Martínez, I., Herrera, A., Tames-Espinosa, M., Muntaner, G., Packard, T.T., and Gómez, M.

Marine Ecophisiology Group (EOMAR). ECOAQUA Institute. Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria. Campus universitario de Tafira. 35017 Las Palmas de Gran Canaria (Spain) alejandro.marrero104@alu.ulpgc.es



### Introduction

Mysids are found, in high abundance in *Cymodocea nodosa* seagrass meadows around the Canary Islands, comprising at least 65% of all organisms that inhabit in this ecosystem (Herrera et al., 2014). If their growth could be predicted their productivity and its impact on this ecosystem could be calculated. *Leptomysis lingvura* is one of the three, most-abundant, mysid species found and was





chosen for our experiments because it grows well in the laboratory (Herrera, 2013; Herrera et al., 2011).

## Objectives

- Determine the length and dry-mass relationship for *L. lingvura* (Fig.1).
- Study if the growth rate and secondary production are influenced by the food concentration. (Fig.2).
- Study if *L. lingvura* growth can be modelled from temperature and biomass alone with three secondary production models (Huntley and Lopez, 1992; Hirst and Sheader, 1997; Hirst and Lampitt, 1998).
- Evaluate the proposed models to see if they fit reality (Fig.3).







Food concentration (Artemia nauplii/mysid) 90	Huntley and Lopez (1992)		Hirst and Sheader (1997)		Hirst and Lampitt (1998)	
	r <sup>2</sup> =0.001	p-value= $13.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$	r <sup>2</sup> =0.786	p-value= $6.10 \cdot 10^{-4}$	r <sup>2</sup> =0.801	p-value=1.22 · 10
240	$r^2=0.124$	p-value= $9.77 \cdot 10^{-4}$	r <sup>2</sup> =0.551	p-value= $9.77 \cdot 10^{-4}$	r <sup>2</sup> =0.595	p-value=1.95 · 10

#### References

**Huntley and Lopez** 

(1992)

 $(day^{-1} \pm \sigma)$ 

 $0.354 \pm 0.009$ 

 $0.354 \pm 0.009$ 

Measured rate in

day<sup>-1</sup>±standard

deviation

(day<sup>-1</sup>±s)

 $0.198 \pm 0.134$ 

 $0.252 \pm 0.055$ 

**Overestimated secondary production** 

1. Herrera, A. 2013. Identification, Abundance and Rearing of Mysids from Gran Canaria: Application to Laboratory and Oceanographic Respiratory Metabolism Studies. Ph. D. thesis. Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria.

Hirst and Sheader

(1997)

(day<sup>-1</sup>±s)

 $0.142 \pm 0.025$ 

 $(0.157 \neq 0.045)$ 

Underestimated secondary production

**Hirst and Lampitt** 

(1998)

 $(day^{-1}\pm\sigma)$ 

 $(0.116 \pm 0.023)$ 

 $(0.130 \pm 0.037)$ 

Herrera, A., Gómez, M., Molina, L., Otero, F., and Packard, T.T. 2011. Rearing techniques and nutritional quality of two mysids from Gran Canaria (Spain).Aquaculture Research.42, 677-683.
Herrera, A., Landeira, J.M., Tuya, F., Packard, T., Espino, F., and Gómez, M. 2014. Seasonal variability of suprabenthic crustaceans associated with *Cymodocea nodosa* seagrass meadows off Gran Canaria (eastern Atlantic). Continental Shelf Research. 88, 1-10.
Hirst, A.G., and Lampitt, R.S. 1998. Towards a global model of *in situ* weight-specific growth in marine planktonic copepods. Marine Biology. 132, 247-257.
Hirst, A.G., and Sheader, M. 1997. Are *in situ* weight-specific growth rates body-size independent in marine planktonic copepods? A re-analysis of the global syntheses and a new empirical model. Marine Ecology Progress Series. 154, 155-165.
Huntley, M.E. and Lopez, M.D.G. 1992. Temperature-dependent production of marine copepods: a global synthesis. The American Naturalist. 140, 201-242.
Mauchline, J. and Murano, M. 1977. World list of the Mysidacea, Crustacea. Journal of the Tokio University of fisheries. 4, 39-88.

#### Conclusions

- The growth of the marine mysid, *L. lingvura* is influenced by its food concentration. There were significant differences between daily growth on 90
- Artemia nauplii per mysid and 240 Artemia nauplii per mysid.
- For this mysid species, we find the length-dry mass relationship. It was:  $Dry-mass = 0.6557 \cdot length+3.1408, r^2 = 0.975$
- None of the three secondary production models were able to accurately predict measured growth and secondary production of *L. lingvura*. The Huntley and Lopez (1992) model overestimated secondary production, while the Hirst and Sheader (1997) and Hirst and Lampitt (1998) underestimated it.
- The growth of *L. lingvura* cannot be modelled from temperature and biomass alone.



**Food concentration** 

(Artemia

nauplii/mysid)

90

240

Acknowledgements Project BIOMBA (CTM2012-32729/MAR), Government of Spain and special thanks to Dr. Angelo Santana.

