

Article **Identification of the Synoptic Causes of Torrential Rainfall Events in the Canary Islands (1950–2020)**

Pablo Máyer Suárez ¹ and Ángel Luque Söllheim 2,[*](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1422-979X)

- ¹ Group of Geography, Environment and Geographic Information Technologies, The Oceanography and Global Change Institute (IOCAG), University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria (ULPGC), 35003 Las Palmas, Spain; pablo.mayer@ulpgc.es
- ² Marine Physics and Applied Remote Sensing Group, Institute of Environmental Studies and Natural Resources (i-UNAT), ULPGC, 35017 Las Palmas, Spain
- ***** Correspondence: angel.luquesolheim@ulpgc.es; Tel.: +34-928454510

Abstract: This work identifies and analyses, from a synoptic point of view, episodes of torrential rainfall (equal to or greater than 200 mm in a single day) that occurred in the Canary Islands between 1950 and 2020. For this purpose, all daily rainfall series available in different databases were used, with a final selection, after applying various filters for the detection of errors, of 88 days on which 200 mm was exceeded. Subsequently, the isobaric configurations at the surface and at 500 hPa were analysed by applying the following two classification methods: the automatic one of Jenkinson and Collinson (1977) and the subjective one of Jorge Olcina (1994). Most of the selected days (63.4%) corresponded to high-altitude isolated depressions (known by their initials in Spanish as DANAs), as well as troughs showing the advection of polar air of different origins (36.5%). According to the Jenkinson and Collinson classification, half of the days were classified as cyclonic or hybrid cyclonic and 37.5% as pure advective or directional (37.5%), with five days classified as undetermined. On only one day, 23 November 1954, was a tropical disturbance observed, with cloud fronts moving from the south of the Canary Islands along the west coast of Africa.

Citation: Suárez, P.M.; Söllheim, Á.L. Identification of the Synoptic Causes of Torrential Rainfall Events in the Canary Islands (1950–2020). *Atmosphere* **2024**, *15*, 1537. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos15121537) doi.org/10.3390/atmos15121537

Academic Editors: Anthony R. Lupo, Andreas Matzarakis, Daniele Contini, Francesca Costabile, Prashant Kumar and Xuejun Liu

Received: 25 November 2024 Revised: 15 December 2024 Accepted: 20 December 2024 Published: 22 December 2024

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

Keywords: torrential rainfall episodes; Canary Islands; synoptic weather maps; Jenkinson and Collison classification

1. Introduction

Damage caused by torrential rainfall events associated with severe storms around the world has increased significantly in recent decades. In 2023, for example, insured losses in the US associated with severe storms reached a new record high of USD 64 billion [\[1\]](#page-20-0). Likewise, in the same year, many European countries suffered severe damage associated with heavy rainfall, with Italy suffering the greatest flood losses in the country's history in July. In Spain, the most significant meteorological episode in 2023, in terms of the number of fatalities, was the high-altitude isolated depression (DANA by its initials in Spanish) that affected the Iberian Peninsula between 2 and 4 September, causing heavy rainfall that was at its most intense and abundant in the east and centre of the peninsula, leaving eight people dead [\[2\]](#page-20-1). However, 2024 is proving to be a truly fateful year in terms of catastrophes associated with torrential rainfall events. These include hurricanes Helen and Milton, which hit the SE of the USA hard. The former, which took place between 24 and 29 September, saw 242 fatalities and caused more than EUR 38.5 billion of losses, while the latter struck between 5 and 10 October, causing 32 fatalities and losses of over EUR30 billion. Outside this area, in the western Mediterranean, the DANA of 29 October 2024 affected the Spanish Levante region. This was a mesoscale convective system with maximum rainfall values of around 500 mm/24 h in various locations across the autonomous community of Valencia, with the consequent overflowing of rivers and wadis. At the time of writing, the provisional death count is 224 persons, with a further 20 persons unaccounted for. The

cost of damages has not yet been quantified, but is expected to be many millions of euros. As the SIGMA (2024) report points out, as with other risks, increasing exposure to such events due to economic and demographic growth and urbanisation is the main driving force behind the resultant rising economic losses and fatality numbers.

In the case of the Canary Islands (Spain), the study area considered in the present paper, the Insurance Compensation Consortium paid out between 1996 and 2016 the equivalent of EUR 270.36 million (estimated values as of 2018) to the victims of almost 45,934 claims of the following three types: floods, sea storms, and atypical cyclonic storms (with wind gusts exceeding 120 km/h). The following three years alone account for 72% of this amount: 2002, due to an episode of torrential rain which affected the city of Santa Cruz de Tenerife and caused eight mortalities, 2005, due to the tropical storm Delta (in this case, the damage is associated with high winds), and 2010, when there were three episodes of heavy rainfall in February which affected, in particular, several towns on the island of Tenerife [\[3\]](#page-20-2).

Torrential rainfall events, and the floods and geological processes such as landslides that they cause, have resulted in multiple human and economic losses in the Canary Islands throughout their history. Numerous studies have tackled this type of phenomenon, undertaking analyses that contemplate both the hazard factor, considering the characteristics of the precipitation [\[4–](#page-20-3)[7\]](#page-20-4), and the risk factor [\[8–](#page-20-5)[13\]](#page-20-6). Since the 1950s, many works have been published on the types of weather occurring in the Canary Islands based on analyses of weather maps and the values of various climate-related parameters recorded at the different weather stations in the archipelago [\[14–](#page-20-7)[16\]](#page-20-8). Specific studies have also been conducted on certain types of weather which affect the islands, such as Saharan air intrusions [\[17,](#page-20-9)[18\]](#page-20-10), cold depressions [\[19\]](#page-20-11), or tropical disturbances [\[20,](#page-20-12)[21\]](#page-20-13). However, very few studies have specifically dealt with heavy precipitation events and their relationship with the state of the atmosphere that generates them, while those that have been published are either internal reports of AEMET, the State Meteorological Agency of Spain [\[22\]](#page-20-14), or consider specific factors affecting part of the archipelago [\[23\]](#page-20-15) or a single island [\[24\]](#page-20-16).

2. Aims

This work focuses on the identification and characterisation of torrential rainfall events and their relationship with the state of the atmosphere in the Canary Islands. Thus, the objectives of this work are as follows: (i) to identify the episodes of torrential rain that occurred in this archipelago between 1950 and 2020. As also established by different authors for various areas of the Iberian Peninsula and the Balearic Islands [\[25–](#page-21-0)[28\]](#page-21-1), the value of 200 mm in 24 h is chosen as the threshold for the selection of days of intense rainfall which are capable of generating important erosive processes, as well as floods, landslides, and rockfalls; (ii) to characterise these episodes from a spatial and temporal point of view; and (iii) to catalogue these days according to the objective classification of Jenkinson and Collison [\[29\]](#page-21-2) and the subjective classification of Olcina [\[30\]](#page-21-3).

3. Study Area

The Canary Islands are made up of a group of islands and islets occupying a surface area of 7474 km² (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0). To understand the uniqueness of their climate, and in particular their rainfall regime, several aspects must be considered, some related to regional atmospheric conditions and others to geographical factors. With regard to atmospheric conditions, the islands are, for most of the year, under the dominance of a subtropical high-pressure system (the Azores anticyclone), whose main characteristic is the existence of a thermal inversion that varies in height (between 600 m in summer and 1700 m in winter), thickness (the difference between its base and peak can vary from just 50 m to more than 1000 m), and intensity, with the temperature difference between the base and peak sometimes being only a few tenths of a degree and, at other times, exceeding 13 °C [\[18\]](#page-20-10). This atmospheric structure favours the presence of a stratocumulus cloud layer (known locally as the 'mar de nubes' or sea of clouds) that is frequently trapped on the northern slopes of the islands, generating drizzle that often constitutes up to 5% of their annual

rainfall. The standout geographical factors are a latitudinal position of 28◦ N, the proximity of the large warm surface of the African continent, the impact of the cold marine current of the Canary Islands, and, above all, the altitude and orientation of the slopes, which generate very significant topoclimatic contrasts [\[31\]](#page-21-4).

Figure 1. Location of the Canary Islands in the eastern Mid-Atlantic and identification of grid points **Figure 1.** Location of the Canary Islands in the eastern Mid-Atlantic and identification of grid points used in the Jenkinson and Collison method. used in the Jenkinson and Collison method.

The average annual rainfall in the Canary Islands ranges from 1300 mm in the northeast of La Palma to 100 mm in the south of Gran Canaria and Tenerife, as well as in large parts of Fuerteventura and Lanzarote [\[32\]](#page-21-5). These widely differing thresholds can be attributed, firstly, to each island's position with respect to the incoming Atlantic cloud fronts and, secondly, to the effects of each island's altitude and relief orientation. In consequence, there is a wide range of environments, from very humid in some mid-altitude locations in the north and northeast of the highest islands or the peaks of La Gomera and El Hierro to very dry—desert-like—in the easternmost islands and, in general, on the southern coasts of all the islands. In all cases, the seasonal rainfall pattern is clearly concentrated from mid-October to early spring. The strong inter-annual irregularity of rainfall is also noteworthy, and it is by no means unusual for a dry year to be followed by a rainy or very rainy year and for periods of severe drought, as is currently being experienced, to alternate with rainy

A daily analysis of precipitation shows that one of the most characteristic features of rainfall in the Canary Islands is its high irregularity and concentration. The moderately high values of the daily precipitation concentration index (CI) [\[33\]](#page-21-6) show that a very significant percentage of the total annual precipitation is accumulated in just a few days of rainfall [\[7\]](#page-20-4). On the most mountainous islands (those to the west, together with Gran Canaria), clear differences are found between the southern slopes, where the highest CI value of around 0.70 is recorded, and the northern slopes, where the CI is more moderate and varies between 0.63 and 0.66. In this case, 25% of the rainiest days account for approximately 75% of all rainfall. Thus, in the northern mid-altitude areas, rainfall is spread over numerous rainy days, which constitute relatively insignificant amounts in relation to the annual total and, in most cases, is essentially drizzle caused by the trapping of the so-called 'sea of clouds' at this altitude. Heavy downpours also occur on these slopes, caused by Atlantic cold fronts which are most likely to sweep through the Canary Islands during late autumn and winter, discharging significant amounts of water in spells of several consecutive rainy days, which considerably increase the annual totals. Meanwhile, in the eastern and southern mid-altitude areas, the CI values are high, always above 0.68. There is another factor of significant danger on these slopes—the torrential nature of the rainfall [\[7\]](#page-20-4).

It is, therefore, the intention of this present work to offer a more in-depth analysis of this aspect of the torrential nature of such rainfall by identifying and characterising the type of episode capable of causing serious damage to the Canary society and the islands' ecosystems. The initial data, the methodology used, and the results and their discussion are presented below, with the main conclusions presented at the end.

4. Data and Methodology

periods [\[31\]](#page-21-4).

We worked with two rainfall databases. The first of these includes the 944 daily rainfall series available from AEMET, distributed unevenly across the different islands with disparate periods. Some series have only a few months of data, while others have complete data for more than 100 years, such as those provided by the observatories of Izaña, at 2371 m above sea level, or Santa Cruz de Tenerife, at 35 m above sea level, both on the island of Tenerife. Unfortunately, on the western islands, there are very few series with data between 1950 and 1970, whereas on the easternmost islands, the number of series available is considerably higher. The second database includes the 417 available series held by different Island Water Boards, which are administrative bodies pertaining to the Island Councils of Gran Canaria, Lanzarote, and Fuerteventura. Most of these series begin in 1950 and continue uninterrupted to the present day. It should be noted that 35% of these series are shared with AEMET, as the observers sent the collected data to both the state and the island-based bodies.

Figure [2](#page-4-0) shows the total number of rainfall observation points in the Canary Islands between 1950 and 2020. As can be seen, until the 1970s, on islands such as La Palma, El Hierro, and La Gomera, the number of observatories was generally low, which implies the possibility that some episodes of heavy rainfall may not have been recorded, although their occurrence may have been reported in historical documents or can be deduced through dendrogeomorphological records [34]. From 1970 onwards, and especially in the following two decades, AEMET began to install multiple rainfall observation points, especially on the westernmost islands. However, many of these fell into disuse, and in the 2000s, the number of observatories was drastically reduced on islands such as La Palma, La Gomera,
 and El Hierro.

Figure 2. Number of rainfall series available for the Canary Islands between 1950 and 2020. (a) La Palma, El Hierro, La Gomera, Fuerteventura, and Lanzarote. (**b**) Tenerife and Gran Canaria. Palma, El Hierro, La Gomera, Fuerteventura, and Lanzarote. (**b**) Tenerife and Gran Canaria.

The data were subjected to a filtering process to identify any errors with respect to a filtering process to identify any errors with respect the days on which the precipitation was recorded. It was common, especially in the 1950s 1950s and 1960s, for some observers to record the precipitation for the day they made the measurement and not the preceding day, as should have been the case. Thus, the maximum precipitation in 24 h would be reported for the day after the day it actually fell. Likewise, firstly, all values greater than 200 mm/day in which there was an accumulation of precipitation from several days were eliminated. Secondly, data of more than 200 mm/24 h that were not consistent with those from nearby stations were also eliminated. This was conducted through a comparison with data from nearby stations. When this was not possible, verification was carried out with stations located on the same orientation or slope. to the days on which the precipitation was recorded. It was common, especially in the

but the days with more than 200 min or ramian in 24 n mad been selected, then
monthly and seasonal distribution was analysed, as well as their characterisation, to Once the days with more than 200 mm of rainfall in 24 h had been selected, their differentiate the episodes according to their local, insular, or regional (several islands) nature. This serves to help determine the existence or otherwise of a relationship between these episodes and the types of weather that generate them. Once the days with more than 200 mm of rainfall in 24 h had been selected, their

Sea-level pressure data were then obtained for each of the dates mentioned for a 16-point grid around the Canary Islands and the eastern mid-Atlantic area corresponding to parallels of 25°, 30°, 35°, and $40°$ N with meridians of $10°$, 15°, 20°, and 25° W (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0). These data were obtained from the NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis project at a spatial resolution of 2.5◦ and fields every 6 h, at the standard times 00:00, 06:00, 12:00, and 18:00 UTC [\[35\]](#page-21-8).

With this information as a starting point, a weather type classification was then made using an objective classification scheme, based on circulation indices, as initially developed for the British Isles by Jenkinson and Collison [\[29\]](#page-21-2). The classification employed to estimate weather types is based on a set of indices or variables calculated using the sea-level pressure values and associated with the direction and vorticity of the geostrophic flow (westerly flow, southerly flow, total flow, westerly shear vorticity, southerly shear vorticity, and total shear vorticity). Combining these variables, the following 27 different weather types are established: 1 pure anticyclonic (A), 1 pure cyclonic (C), 8 advective or directional types (N, NE, E, SE, S, SW, W, and NW), 8 cyclonic hybrids of directional types (CN, CNE, CE, CSE, CS, CSW, CW, and CNW), 8 anticyclonic hybrids of directional types (AN, ANE, AE, ASE, AS, ASW, AW, and ANW), and 1 undetermined (U). This classification has been applied to multiple regions with various objectives, including Europe [\[36](#page-21-9)[–40\]](#page-21-10), the Iberian Peninsula [\[41–](#page-21-11)[43\]](#page-21-12), and the Canary archipelago, in the latter case to identify episodes of intense rainfall in the tourist zones of Gran Canaria and Tenerife [\[12\]](#page-20-17).

A subjective classification was also carried out based on the work of Olcina [\[30\]](#page-21-3), who analysed the pressure configurations in the middle and upper layers of the troposphere and established his own catalogue. However, beforehand, he adopted a classification of air masses according to their continental or maritime nature and their origin or source. In this way, he drew up a catalogue that classifies atmospheric situations according to the air mass involved in the energy transfer processes between high and low latitudes. Olcina's catalogue distinguishes the following: (i) high-pressure ridges associated with the expansion of subtropical air masses, related to which are the northern displacements of subtropical air masses; (ii) troughs with an axis located in a different position from that of the Iberian peninsula, associated with the arrival of polar and arctic air masses, and within which cold depressions can develop; and (iii) zonal circulations, with a quasi-parallel component, which transfer maritime air masses of different origins (polar and subtropical), subject to intense denaturation processes.

The initial data for the analysis of the state of the atmosphere came from the daily weather bulletins published by AEMET. Also employed was the mapping elaborated by the NOAA (USA), which can be consulted on the Wetterzentrale webiste [\(www.wetterzentrale.](www.wetterzentrale.de) [de,](www.wetterzentrale.de) last accessed on 1 June 2024) in the maps archive section. These are maps which combine the surface and 500 hPa situation and are useful for the manual classification of synoptic situations between 1979 and 2020. Surface and 500 hPa maps from the NOAA Library historical archive, available for the period of 1879–1971, were also consulted [\(https://library.noaa.gov/weather-climate/synoptic-map,](https://library.noaa.gov/weather-climate/synoptic-map) last accessed on 1 June 2024). In certain cases, where it was difficult to determine the origin of the atmospheric situation, other maps such as those of the Bulletin Quotidien D'Études (Météo France) and the Täglicher Wetterbericht (Wetteezentrale) were requested.

5. Results

Figure [3a](#page-7-0),b show the locations of the observatories that recorded rainfall equal to or greater than 200 mm in 24 h, while the list of episodes is given in Appendix [A,](#page-17-0) together with the types of weather according to the proposed classifications and the surface pressure values of point 9 of the grid (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0). It should be noted that 125 days were initially identified as exceeding the 200 mm/day value, but after applying the filters indicated in the methodology, the final number was 88, with the dates of three others which had been incorrectly assigned shifted by one day.

Of the 88 selected days with rainfall intensities above 200 mm/24 h, 77.3% were in the range between 200.1 and 300.0 mm, 18.2% were between 300.1 and 400.0 mm, 3.4% were between 400.1 and 500.0 mm, and 500.0 mm was exceeded on only one occasion (1.1%), specifically on 24 February 1988, when a total of 590.0 mm was recorded at the San Andrés rainfall station in the northeast of the island of El Hierro.

Figure 3. *Cont*.

Figure 3. Location of the weather stations which registered days with > 200 mm of rainfall. The table **Figure 3.** Location of the weather stations which registered days with >200 mm of rainfall. The table below shows the number of the station on the map (Station), the geolocation (longitude and latitude), the code used by the AEMET, as well as the numbering used by the Gran Canaria Council Water the code used by the AEMET, as well as the numbering used by the Gran Canaria Council Water
Board (Code), the name of the place (Place name), and the altitude of the station (Alt). (**a**) for La La Palma, El Hierro, and La Gomera islands and (**b**) for Tenerife and Gran Canaria islands. Palma, El Hierro, and La Gomera islands and (**b**) for Tenerife and Gran Canaria islands.

5.1. Spatial, Monthly, and Seasonal Distribution of Torrential Rainfalls

The 88 selected precipitation days correspond to 64 rainfall events. A rainfall episode is identified as one in which, consecutively, days with precipitation are recorded where the threshold is exceeded, including, if applicable, a day without precipitation—or with a lower value—between two selected days. For only one of the episodes, from November 8 to 12, 1950, can two different atmospheric situations causing a downpour be distinguished, which is why they were differentiated as different episodes. A total of 70% of the episodes were single-day episodes, 24% were two-day episodes, 3% were three-day episodes, and a further 3% were four-day episodes.

These episodes were generally located on one island (73.0%) and even in very localised sectors, associated with situations of convergence on the leeward side of certain mountainous enclaves. The threshold was exceeded on two islands in 13 episodes (20.6%) and on three or more islands on only 4 occasions (6.4%).

This type of episode was never recorded on the easternmost islands (Lanzarote, Fuerteventura, and La Graciosa), while the western islands, more exposed to the arrival of fronts and disturbances, had the highest number of episodes, as follows: La Palma with 28 episodes is followed by Tenerife with 24, Gran Canaria with 17, El Hierro with 11, and La Gomera with 6. Clearly, in this case, the lack of an extensive rainfall observation network, especially between 1950 and 1970, made it impossible to record torrential rainfall episodes, especially on La Palma (between 1957 and 1977, there were no records of episodes), La Gomera, and El Hierro. Proof of this, in the case of the island of La Palma, is the dendrochronological record in specimens of Canary Island pine (Pinus canariensis) of episodes of torrential floods in the Caldera de Taburiente, most notably in 1962 [\[34\]](#page-21-7).

With respect to the monthly distribution of the 64 rainfall events (Table [1\)](#page-8-0), they were most likely to occur during winter (52.4%) and autumn (33.3%), with a lower frequency in spring (14.3%). It should be noted that it is during these months that the islands receive the greatest influx of tourists, which should, therefore, be considered a risk factor [\[12\]](#page-20-17). Analysis of the monthly distribution reveals that February (20.6%) and November (19.0) are the months with the highest probability of this type of episode occurring. With the exception of April, with only one episode (1.6%), the other months have a similar relative frequency (between 12.7% and 15.9%).

Table 1. Monthly and seasonal distribution of rainfall events of >200 mm/24 h in the Canary Islands (1950–2020).

5.2. Types of Weather Based on Surface Analysis and Sea-Level Pressure Values

After applying the algorithms of the objective classification of Jenkinson and Collison (Table [2\)](#page-9-0), it can be deduced that most of the rainy days corresponded to pure advective or directional situations (37.5%), especially from the east (21.6%). This contrasts with what would be expected, namely the predominance of pure cyclonic (22.7%) or hybrid cyclonic (27.3%) situations. A total of 5.7% corresponded to pure anticyclonic situations, one day was classified as hybrid anticyclonic (1.1%), and five days (5.7%) as undetermined.

Table 2. Absolute and relative frequency of weather types according to the objective method of Jenkinson and Collison applied to days with >200 mm of rainfall in the Canary Islands.

As far as sea-level pressure values are concerned, point 9 of the grid used in the Jenkinson and Collison classification (30◦ N/15◦ W) was taken as reference. At this point, the mean pressure for all selected days was 1013 hPa, the normal surface level pressure. However, on 56.3% of the days, the sea-level pressure was higher than normal, which highlights the need to use the topographies of the middle layers of the atmosphere (Table [3\)](#page-9-1). The minimum pressure recorded was 997 hPa on 28 November 2014.

Table 3. Sea-level pressure values for days with >200 mm of rainfall in the Canary Islands (1950–2024).

If we compare these values with the situations defined by Olcina [\[30\]](#page-21-3) and shown in the following section, on days with high-altitude isolated depressions (DANAs) coinciding with low surface dynamics, the mean pressure was 1010 hPa, a slightly higher value than advections from the west with DANAs (1009 hPa). These values are considerably lower than the situations typified as troughs (1015 hPa) and eastern advections with DANAs (1019 hPa).

5.3. Types of Weather Considering Topography at 500 hPa

After analysing the 500 hPa weather maps, it can be deduced that, in the Canary Islands, the development of episodes of heavy rain is determined by configurations in which the expansion of cold air masses can be detected, which draw troughs or cold depressions with different locations in the Canary Islands region. These situations respond to energy transfer processes, which condition circulations of subtropical air towards high latitudes and of cold air masses (polar or arctic) towards mid-latitudes and even subtropical latitudes. This dynamic occurs when the speed of the upper tropospheric flow decreases, generating troughs and ridges that favour the aforementioned energy exchanges between high and low latitudes [\[30\]](#page-21-3). When this state of atmospheric circulation occurs between

30◦/10◦ W longitude and 25◦/40◦ N latitude, with well-defined depressions and troughs over the islands, one of the conditions favourable for precipitation of varying intensities is established. In this sense, the most numerous episodes are those with closed isobaric configurations, high-altitude isolated cold depressions (DANAs), which can also be differentiated according to their origin, especially when they are accompanied by surface squalls.

5.3.1. Polar and Maritime Arctic Air Troughs

Of the 64 rainfall events, 23 of them draw, in the 500 and 300 hPa topographies, welldefined troughs, with north–south or northeast–southwest axes over the European west coast, whose southern edge reaches the Canary Islands (Table [4\)](#page-10-0). It is not uncommon to observe on maps of the middle layers of the atmosphere how the isohypses end up closing, and for a cold high-altitude depression to be isolated to the west of Portugal or in the vicinity of the Gulf of Cadiz and north of the Canary Islands (Figure [4a](#page-11-0)). At the surface, the following two types of situations can be identified: a low pressure with cold fronts that leave abundant precipitation or the presence of a high pressure on the surface, with the Azores anticyclone in its usual position, in what Huetz de Lemps [\[15\]](#page-20-18) identified as polar air advections by the eastern flank of the Azores anticyclone (Figure [4b](#page-11-0)). Finally, there are episodes of retrograding troughs [\[44\]](#page-21-13), in which strong undulations in the jet stream are detected, favouring the advection of continental polar air over the western Mediterranean and North Africa as far as the Canary Islands (Figure [4c](#page-11-0)). One of the worst episodes in the 20th century in the Canary Islands, from a social point of view (34 fatalities on the island of La Palma), was related to this type of atmospheric situation and occurred on 15–16 January 1957.

Table 4. Episodes of rainfall of >200 mm/24 h in which troughs are observed in the middle layers of the atmosphere (1950–2020).

1 February 1950	3 March 1959	27 February 1988	11 November 2000
11–12 November 1950	28-29 December 1962	12 October 1992	12 March 2001
18 November 1952	27 February 1986	21 October 1992	26 March 2001
23–24 October 1955	19 October 1987	28 October 1993	17 February 2005
15-16 January 1957	23 October 1987	22 December 1993	2 December 2013
10 March 1957	25–26 November 1987	29 January 1996	

The greater frequency of the presence of troughs at the middle levels of the atmosphere in the months of October (26.1%), November, February, and March (17.4%) indicates a circulation in the upper layers characterised by strong undulations in the jet stream, which transfers masses of cold air to the Canary Islands, to which the weakening of the Azores anticyclone or its withdrawal towards the west can be added (Table [5\)](#page-10-1). This situation, together with the effect of the relief, favours vertical cloud development (cumulus and cumulonimbus) and the concentration of rainfall mainly on the northern slopes of the islands.

Table 5. Monthly and seasonal distribution of episodes of rainfall >200 mm/24 h in which polar and maritime arctic air troughs are observed on the 500 hPa maps (1950–2020).

Figure 4. Representative examples of three trough patterns: (a) episode of 27 February 1996, trough of maritime polar air with low surface pressure north of the Canary Islands; (b) episode of 12 March 2001 idem with high pressure in the Azores region; and (**c**) episode of 27 February 1988, retrograding trough of continental polar air with the Azores anticyclone in its usual position. Source: <www.wetterzentrale.de> (accessed on 1 September 2024).

5.3.2. High-Altitude Isolated Depressions (DANAs)

In 40 episodes (Table [6\)](#page-12-0), closed circulations were observed in or near the Canary Islands region. These were determined by the existence of cold depressions defined in the 500 and 300 hPa maps between latitudes of 25[°]–40[°] N and longitudes of 15[°]–25[°] W. These depressions had different origins and different trajectories, but they were all linked to the begins to describe undulations, drawing troughs and ridges. A more pronounced decrease Egans to deserve dimensions, drawing dougles and ridges: Transfer pronounced decrease external air pocket is created [\[30\]](#page-21-3).
cold air pocket is created [30]. loss of jet stream velocity. When the velocity of the jet stream is between 150 and 70 km/h, it

This type of situation, when it affects the Canary Islands, produces the heaviest hourly rainfall. In addition, the presence, in many cases, of oceanic disturbances in the vicinity of the archipelago generates strong winds, normally from the third quadrant, and strong of these DANA episodes was during winter (60.0%), in February (22.5%), January (20.0%), and December (17.5%), followed by autumn (27.5%), in November (20.0%) and October waves that tend to cause significant damage. As can be seen in Table [7,](#page-12-1) the highest frequency (7.5%), and spring (12.5%), in March (10%) and April (2.5%).

From the analysis of the synoptic situations of these episodes, two main types of f tropospheric circulation can be distinguished. The first (i) can be defined as omega situations, which are characterised by a large expansion of maritime arctic or maritime polar

air towards southern latitudes. On the 500 hPa maps, a deep trough with a different latitudinal position (usually west of the $5°$ W meridian) appears in the Atlantic. This deep trough produces, at the same time, the advection of subtropical air towards high latitudes. This expansion of cold air generates a strong cyclogenesis in or near the Azores region (Figure [5a](#page-13-0)). The second type (ii) can be defined as blocking situations, which are outlined by a general circulation in which the zonal flow is interrupted in one or several sectors of the hemisphere by a strong and persistent meridional flow [\[45\]](#page-21-14). This model is characterised by an anticyclone in mid and high latitudes that obstructs the normal eastward movement of the squalls, and by abnormally deep depressions in low latitudes on the ascending or descending blocking branch [\[46\]](#page-21-15). In the Canary Islands, the effect of these situations varies, as they depend on the location of the blocking high pressures. When located in the centre and on the west coast of Europe, the depressions that form in the North Atlantic are unable to move eastwards, so they move towards southern latitudes (Figure [5b](#page-13-0)).

Table 6. Episodes of rainfall of >200 mm/24 h with isolated depressions in the middle layers of the atmosphere (1950–2020).

Table 7. Monthly and seasonal distribution of episodes of rainfall > 200 mm/24 h with isolated depressions on the 500 hPa maps (1950–2020).

Figure 5. *Cont*.

Figure 5. Representative examples of the formation of DANAs during days with >200 mm of rainfall **Figure 5.** Representative examples of the formation of DANAs during days with >200 mm of rainfall close the Canary Islands. (a,b) Episodes of 15 and 17 March 1993, respectively. (c,d) Episodes of 12 and 13 December 1995, respectively. Sourc[e: www.wetterzentrale.](www.wetterzentrale.de)de (accessed on 1 September 2024).

with different trajectories. Most frequently (27 episodes), they move in a north-south, northwest–southeast, or northeast–southwest direction (Figure [6\)](#page-13-1). On the surface, the blocking situation exerted by a high pressure on oceanic disturbances formed in the Atlantic causes them to descend towards more southerly latitudes

Figure 6. Trajectories of disturbances formed in the North Atlantic from the first and fourth quadrants: initial position (blue circle) and position on the day when >200 mm of rainfall was recorded (red circle).

In eight cases (Figure [7\)](#page-14-0), well-defined west–east trajectories were observed. It should be noted that storms with serious social and economic consequences for the Canary Islands are related to this type of trajectory, especially those that end up oriented to the northwest of the archipelago. However, in exceptional cases, such as the February 1989 episode, an east–west trajectory is observed.

Figure 7. West–east trajectories of disturbances formed in the North Atlantic with indication of their **Figure 7.** West–east trajectories of disturbances formed in the North Atlantic with indication of their origin (blue circle) and position on the day with >200 mm of rainfall (red circle). origin (blue circle) and position on the day with >200 mm of rainfall (red circle).

5.4. Tropical Disturbances Finally, there are five episodes with isolated depressions at high levels and high pressures at the surface, as well as four episodes with no clear-cut situation (barometric swamp).

the case of the episode of 23 November 1954. This situation is not clearly defined in the *5.4. Tropical Disturbances*

Exceptionally, the Canary Islands are affected by tropical disturbances [\[20,](#page-20-12)21], as in the case of the episode of 23 November 1954. This situation is not clearly defined in the surface weather maps consulted, except in those of the Bulletin Quotidien D'Études. Figure 8 weather maps consulted, except in those of the Bulletin Quotidien D'Etudes. Figure 8
shows a system of fronts associated with a disturbance moving northwards from the south of the Canary Islands and the west coast of Africa, an instability that is favoured by the existence of a trough in the middle layers of the atmosphere.

Figure 8. Synoptic surface (left) and 500 hPa maps (right). (a,b) Maps from 22/11/1954. (c,d) Maps from 23 November 1954. Source of the surface maps, (**a**,**c**), Bulletin Quotidien D'Études. Source for from 23 November 1954. Source of the surface maps, (**a**,**c**), Bulletin Quotidien D'Études. Source for <https://library.noaa.gov/weather-climate/synoptic-map> accessed on 2 October 2024). $\frac{1}{2}$ the 500 hPa maps, (**b**,**d**), historical archives of the NOAA Library (available for the period 1879–1971,

6. Discussion of the Results and Conclusions

Firstly, it should be noted that the identification of heavy rainfall episodes in the Canary Islands is dependent on the existence or otherwise of rain gauges distributed nonogeneously unoughout the territory over the period or analysis. For many or the days
on which rainfall exceeded 200 mm/24 h, it was normal for that rainfall to be located on a en when namaly exceeded 250 mm, 211, 200, 20 may occur the namal of the situation of instability
single island (73%) and even in very localised sectors, related to the situation of instability in the middle layers together with convergence processes on the leeward side of certain mountainous reliefs. Therefore, it is highly likely that the number of days selected was somewhat lower than the actual number of days on which such events occurred. An example of this type of situation is the distribution of precipitation that affected the most northeastern sector of the island of Tenerife on 31 March 2002 (Figure 9), one of the worst episodes in terms of material damage and number of fatalities (eight in total) in the current $\lim_{n \to \infty} [2\pi/4]$ homogeneously throughout the territory over the period of analysis. For many of the days century [\[9–](#page-20-19)[47\]](#page-21-16).

episodes (91.8%) were recorded in the winter months, with February and November being those with the highest frequency (20.3%), followed by autumn (33.4%) and spring (14.1%) . On the other hand, more than half of the episodes (51.6%) were recorded in the winter

Figure 9. Spatial distribution of rainfall in the northeast of the island of Tenerife (a) and graph of hourly rainfall intensity (**b**) on 31 March 2002. Source: the map (**a**) was obtained by interpolating hourly rainfall intensity (**b**) on 31 March 2002. Source: the map (**a**) was obtained by interpolating daily precipitation data (IDW, with the 8 closest series points). The graph (**b**) was obtained from daily precipitation data (IDW, with the 8 closest series points). The graph (**b**) was obtained from Bustos et al. (2003) [47]. Bustos et al. (2003) [\[47\]](#page-21-16).

one of the main hactors that determines the formation of these episodes of heavy
rain in the Canary Islands is the existence of a marked instability in the middle layers of the atmosphere, with two basic configurations. Firstly, isolated depressions in the upper levels of the atmosphere known as DANAs (63.4%), whose origin is linked to two major types of tropospheric circulation, cause omega-shaped configurations, with deep troughs with different latitudinal positions (it is not uncommon to observe on maps of the middle layers of the atmosphere how the isohypses end up closing, generating a DANA). This type of configuration also includes retrograding processes $[42]$. On the other hand, there are blocking situations characterised by an anticyclone in mid and high latitudes that obstruct the normal eastward movement of the squalls. In the North Atlantic, this instability in the individual layers of the middle layers of the The most frequent are from the first and fourth quadrants (77.5%), while those following west–east paths are more exceptional (22.5%). Only in five episodes were anticyclonic situations detected on the surface, and in another four, no closed circulations (barometric swamp) were identified. **In the same State Atlantic, the North Atlantic, the State Atlantic, the State Atlantic, the North Atlantic, the State Atlantic, the North Atlantic, the State Atlantic, the State Atlantic, the State** One of the main factors that determines the formation of these episodes of heavy middle layers generates a marked cyclogenesis, with disturbances following different paths.

Secondly, troughs occur (36.5%), with preferential axes in the first and fourth quadrants, although there are also advections of continental polar air over the Iberian Peninsula and North Africa (retrograding troughs). On the surface, the advection of polar air over the eastern flank of the Azores anticyclone (high pressure on the surface) can be distinguished from disturbances that form on the western coast of the Iberian Peninsula and north of the ϵ Canary Islands.

In terms of monthly distribution, DANAs are most frequent in February (22.5%), January, and November (both with 20.0%), while troughs are most frequent in October (26.1%) , February, March, and November (17.4%).

According to the Jenkinson and Collison classification, half of the days exceeding 200 mm/24 h were classified as cyclonic or cyclonic hybrids, with 84% of them coinciding in the middle layers with DANAs, while in 14% of the cases, open circulations were identified. The days classified as advective or pure directional types (37.5%) coincided in 58.8% of the cases with DANAs and 41.2% with troughs. All days classified as pure anticyclonic and

cases with DANAs and 41.2% with troughs. All days classified as pure anticyclonic and (26.1%), February, February, February, March, and November (17.4%). open circulations. Finally, 4 of the 5 days classified as undetermined showed troughs. hybrid anticyclonic (6.8%), except for one (where a DANA is observed), were identified as

As a final conclusion, the diachronic analysis of rainfall events of >200 mm/24 h in the Canary Islands needs to consider both the isobaric configurations at the surface and the topography of the middle layers of the atmosphere. In addition, it is necessary to consider the convective processes downwind of the mountainous reliefs that generate downpours, which are often difficult to predict.

Author Contributions: Conceptualisation, P.M.S.; methodology, P.M.S. and Á.L.S.; software, Á.L.S.; validation, P.M.S. and Á.L.S.; formal analysis, P.M.S. and Á.L.S.; investigation, P.M.S.; resources, P.M.S. and Á.L.S.; data curation, P.M.S. and Á.L.S.; writing—original draft preparation, P.M.S.; writing review and editing, P.M.S. and Á.L.S.; visualization, P.M.S. and Á.L.S.; supervision, P.M.S. and Á.L.S.; project administration, P.M.S.; funding acquisition, P.M.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was financed by the PLANCLIMAC2 project, 1/MAC/2/2.4/0006. This project was funded at 85% by Interreg VI Madeira-Azores-Canarias (MAC) 2021–2027 Territorial Cooperation Program by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). The other 15% by the regional and national funds of the participating territories. This includes contributions from the regional governments of Madeira (Portugal), Azores (Portugal), and the Canary Islands (Spain).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data used for this study were obtained from public governmental sources. The mean sea-level pressure data employed in the Jenkinson and Collison classification [\[29\]](#page-21-2) were acquired from the NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis project [\(https://psl.noaa.gov/data/gridded/data.](https://psl.noaa.gov/data/gridded/data.ncep.reanalysis.html) [ncep.reanalysis.html](https://psl.noaa.gov/data/gridded/data.ncep.reanalysis.html) accessed on 2 October 2024). For the subjective classification by Olcina [\[30\]](#page-21-3), surface and 500 hPa maps were retrieved via the Wetterzentrale [\(https://www.wetterzentrale.de/es/](https://www.wetterzentrale.de/es/reanalysis.php?model=cfsr&var=1&map=1) [reanalysis.php?model=cfsr&var=1&map=1](https://www.wetterzentrale.de/es/reanalysis.php?model=cfsr&var=1&map=1) accessed on 2 October 2024) and NOAA [\(https://library.](https://library.noaa.gov/weather-climate/synoptic-map) [noaa.gov/weather-climate/synoptic-map](https://library.noaa.gov/weather-climate/synoptic-map) accessed on 2 October 2024) websites. Meteorological station data in the islands were provided by the Spanish State Meteorological Agency, AEMET [\(https://www.aemet.es/en/serviciosclimaticos](https://www.aemet.es/en/serviciosclimaticos) accessed on 2 October 2024), and their redistribution requires prior authorization from this institution. The products generated in this research, including files with the results of the Jenkinson and Collison [\[29\]](#page-21-2) and Olcina [\[30\]](#page-21-3) classifications, as well as the trajectories of the analysed depression centres, are available upon request from the authors.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Appendix A

Days with >200 mm of rainfall in the Canary Islands (1950–2020). The last column indicates the number/s of the corresponding weather station/s which is/are geolocated in Figure [3.](#page-7-0)

References

- 1. Banerjee, C.; Bevere, L.; Garbers, H.; Grollimund, B.; Lechner, R.; Weigel, A. Natural catastrophes in 2023: Gearing up for today's and tomorrow's weather risks. In *SIGMA*; Swiss Re Institute: Zurich, Switzerland, 2024; Volume 1.
- 2. Anuario Estadístico del Ministerio del Interior. España. 2024. Available online: [https://www.interior.gob.es/web/servicios-al](https://www.interior.gob.es/web/servicios-al-ciudadano/documentacion-y-publicaciones/anuarios-y-estadisticas)[ciudadano/documentacion-y-publicaciones/anuarios-y-estadisticas](https://www.interior.gob.es/web/servicios-al-ciudadano/documentacion-y-publicaciones/anuarios-y-estadisticas) (accessed on 20 October 2024).
- 3. López, A.; Dorta, P.; Díaz, J. Consecuencias de los eventos meteorológicos de rango extraordinario en Canarias: Temporales de viento, inundaciones y fenómenos costeros. In *El Clima: Aire, Agua, Tierra y Fuego*; Montávez, J.P., Gómez, J.J., López, J.M., Palacios, L., Turco, M., Jerez, S., Lorente, R., Jiménez, P., Ruiz, M., Eds.; Asociación Española de Climatología: Madrid, Spain, 2018; pp. 749–759.
- 4. Marzol, M.V.; Yanes, A.; Romero-Ruiz, C.; De Azevedo, E.; Prada, S. Los riesgos de las lluvias torrenciales en las islas de la Macaronesia (Azores, Madeira, Canarias y Cabo Verde). In *Clima, Sociedad y Medio Ambiente*; Cuadrat, J.M., Saz, M.A., Vicente, S.M., Lanjeri, S., De Luis, M., González-Hidalgo, J.C., Eds.; Asociación Española de Climatología: Madrid, Spain, 2006; pp. 443–452.
- 5. Tarife, R.; Méndez, S.; Hernández Barrera, S.; Gámiz-Fortis, S.R.; Castro-Díez, Y.; Esteban-Parra, M.J. Análisis de los extremos pluviométricos en las islas Canarias y su relación con el índice NAO. In *Cambio Climático: Extremos e Impactos*; Rodríguez, C., Ceballos, A., González, N., Morán, E., Hernández, A., Eds.; Asociación Española de Climatología: Madrid, Spain, 2012; pp. 659–668.
- 6. Máyer, P.; Marzol, M.V. La concentración y la agresividad de la lluvia en las islas de la Macaronesia. In *Respuestas de la Geografía Ibérica a la Crisis Actual*; Royé, D., Aldrey, J.A., Pazos, M., Piñeira, M., Valcárcel, M., Eds.; Asociación de Geógrafos Españoles: Madrid, Spain, 2012; pp. 896–906.
- 7. Máyer, P.; Marzol, M.V. La concentración pluviométrica diaria y las secuencias lluviosas en Canarias: Dos factores de peligrosidad. *Bol. AGE* **2014**, *65*, 231–247.
- 8. Máyer, P. Desarrollo urbano e inundaciones en la ciudad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria (1869–2000). *Investig. Geogr.* **2002**, *28*, 145–159.
- 9. Marzol, M.V. Lluvias e inundaciones en la ciudad de Santa Cruz de Tenerife. In *El Agua y el Clima*; Guijarro, J.A., Grimalt, M., Laita, M., Alonso, S., Eds.; Asociación Española de Climatología: Madrid, Spain, 2002; pp. 36–42.
- 10. Arranz, M.; Riesgos catastróficos en las islas canarias. Una visión geográfica. *An. Geogr.* **2006**, *26*, 167–194.
- 11. Dorta, P. Catálogo de riesgos climáticos, amenaza y vulnerabilidad. *Geographicalia* **2007**, *51*, 133–160.
- 12. López Díez, A.; Máyer, P.; Díaz Pacheco, J.; Dorta, P. Rainfall and Flooding in Coastal Tourist Areas of the Canary Islands (Spain). *Atmosphere* **2019**, *10*, 809. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos10120809)
- 13. Dorta, P.; López Díez, A.; Díaz Pacheco, J.; Máyer, P.; Romero, C. Turismo y amenazas de origen natural en la Macaronesia. Análisis comparado. *Cuad. Tur.* **2020**, *45*, 61–92. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.6018/turismo.426041)
- 14. Font, I. *El Tiempo Atmosférico en las Islas Canarias*; Serie A (26); Servicio Meteorológico Nacional: Madrid, Spain, 1956.
- 15. Huetz de Lemps, A. *Le Climat de Iles Canaries*; S.E.D.E.S.: Paris, France, 1969.
- 16. Marzol, M.V. El clima: Rasgos generales. In *Geografía de Canarias, Tomo I*; Ediciones Interinsular Canaria: Santa Cruz de Teneriffe, Spain, 1993; pp. 101–116.
- 17. Font, I. Las invasiones de aire caliente africano en el Archipiélago Canario. *Rev. Geofís.* **1950**, *56*, 334–349.
- 18. Dorta, P. Las inversiones térmicas en Canarias. *Investig. Geogr.* **1996**, *15*, 109–124. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.14198/INGEO1996.15.01)
- 19. Font, I. Efectos de las depresiones frías en el tiempo de las Islas Canarias. *Rev. Geofís.* **1955**, *56*, 347–362.
- 20. Font, I. Perturbaciones tropicales del tiempo atmosférico de la región de Canarias y Sáhara Español. *Rev. Geofís.* **1955**, *54*, 139–152.
- 21. Dorta, P.; Domínguez Hernández, A.; Díaz Pacheco, J.; López Díez, A.; Martín Raya, N. Tropical disturbances in the southeastern North Atlantic. State of the art and future prospects. *Investig. Geogr.* **2023**, 33–50. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.14198/INGEO.22559)
- 22. Martín, F.; Alejo, C.J.; Bustos, J.J.; Calvo, F.J.; San Ambrosio, I.; Sánchez-Laulhé, J.M.; Santos, D. *Estudio de la Tormenta Tropical Delta y Su Transición Extratropical: Efectos Meteorológicos en Canarias*; Publicaciones y Estudios de la AEMET: Madrid, Spain, 2005.
- 23. Máyer, P.; Romero, L. Causas meteorológicas y distribución espacial de las lluvias intensas en las Canarias orientales (1951–2000). In *Clima, Sociedad y Medio Ambiente*; Cuadrat, J.M., Saz, M.A., Vicente, S.M., Lanjeri, S., De Luis, M., González-Hidalgo, J.C., Eds.; Asociación Española de Climatología: Madrid, Spain, 2006; pp. 453–462.
- 24. Máyer, P. Episodios de lluvia intensa en Gran Canaria: Causas meteorológicas y distribución espacial de las precipitaciones. In *Primer Encuentro Sobre Meteorología y Atmósfera de Canarias*; Centro de Publicaciones Secretaría General Técnica Ministerio de Medio Ambiente: Madrid, Spain, 2003; pp. 86–88.
- 25. Meseguer-Ruiz, O.; López-Bustins, J.A.; Arbiol, L.; Martin-Vide, J.; Miró, J.; Estrela, M.J. Episodios de precipitación torrencial en el este y sureste ibéricos y su relación con la variabilidad intra-anual de la Oscilación del Mediterráneo Occidental (WeMO) entre 1950 y 2016. In *El Clima: Aire, Agua, Tierra y Fuego*; Montávez-Gómez, J.P., Gómez-Navarro, J.J., López-Romero, J.M., Palacios-Peña, L., Turco, M., Jerez-Rodríguez, S., Lorente, R., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Eds.; Asociación Española de Climatología: Murcia, Spain, 2018; pp. 53–63.
- 26. Llop-Garau, J.; Alomar-Garau, G. Clasificación sinóptica automática de Jenkinson y Collison para los días de precipitación mayor o igual a 200 mm en la isla de Mallorca. *Territoris* **2012**, *8*, 143–152.
- 27. Martin-Vide, J.; Moreno-García, M.C.; López-Bustins, J.A. Synoptic causes of torrential rainfall in South-eastern Spain (1941–2017). *Cuad. Investig. Geogr.* **2021**, *47*, 143–162. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.18172/cig.4696)
- 28. Gázquez, A.; Perpinyà i Romeu, M.; Llasat, M.C.; Peña, J.C. Episodios pluviométricos superiores a los 200 mm en 24 horas en Catalunya. Catalogación y configuraciones meteorológicas asociadas. In *El Clima Entre el Mar y la Montaña*; García Codron, J.C., Diego Liaño, C., Fernández de Arróyabe Hernáez, P., Garmendia Pedraja, D., Rasilla Álvarez, D., Eds.; Asociación Española de Climatología: Madrid, Spain, 2004; pp. 303–312.
- 29. Jenkinson, A.F.; Collison, P. *An Initial Climatology of Gales Over the North Sea*; Synoptic Climatology Branch Memorandum; Meteorological Office: Bracknell, UK, 1977.
- 30. Olcina, J. *Riesgos Climáticos en la Península Ibérica*; Penthalón: Madrid, Spain, 1994.
- 31. Marzol, M.V.; Máyer, P. Algunas reflexiones acerca del clima de las islas Canarias. *Nimbus* **2014**, *29–30*, 399–416.
- 32. Luque, A.L.; Máyer, P.; García-Hernández, F. The digital climate atlas of the Canary Islands: A tool to improve knowledge of climate and temperature and precipitation trends in the Atlantic islands. *Clim. Serv.* **2024**, *34*, 100487. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2024.100487)
- 33. Martín-Vide, J. Spatial distribution of a daily precipitation concentration index in peninsular Spain. *Int. J. Climatol.* **2004**, *24*, 959–971. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1030)
- 34. Génova Fuster, M.; Máyer Suárez, P.; Ballesteros Cánovas, J.; Rubiales, J.M.; Saz, M.A.; Díez Herrero, A. Multidisciplinary study of flash floods in the Caldera de Taburiente National Park (Canary Islands, Spain). *Catena* **2015**, *131*, 22–34. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2015.03.007)
- 35. Kalnay, E.; Kanamitsu, M.; Kistler, R.; Collins, W.; Deaven, D.; Gandin, L.; Iredell, M.; Saha, S.; White, G.; Woollen, J.; et al. The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* **1996**, *77*, 437–472. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1996)077%3C0437:TNYRP%3E2.0.CO;2)
- 36. Chen, D. A monthly circulation climatology for Sweden and its application to a winter temperature case study. *Int. J. Climatol.* **2000**, *20*, 1067–1076. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0088(200008)20:10%3C1067::AID-JOC528%3E3.0.CO;2-Q)
- 37. Tang, L.; Chen, D.; Karlsson, P.-E.; Gu, Y.; Ou, T. Synoptic circulation and its influence on spring and summer surface ozone concentrations in southern Sweden. *Boreal Environ. Res.* **2009**, *14*, 889–902.
- 38. Linderson, M. Objective classification of atmospheric circulation over southern Scandinavia. *Int. J. Climatol.* **2001**, *21*, 155–169. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.604)
- 39. Post, P.; Truija, V.; Tuulik, J. Circulation weather types and their influence on temperature and precipitation in Estonia. *Boreal Environ. Res.* **2002**, *7*, 281–289.
- 40. Spellman, G. The application of an objective weather-typing system to the Iberian Peninsula. *Weather* **2000**, *55*, 375–385. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1477-8696.2000.tb04023.x)
- 41. Martín Vide, J. Aplicación de la clasificación sinóptica automática de Jenkinson y Collison a días de precipitación torrencial en el este de España. In *La información Climática Como Herramienta de Gestión Ambiental*; Cuadrat, J.M., Vicente, S.M., Saz, M.A., Eds.; Universidad de Zaragoza: Zaragoza, Spain, 2002; pp. 123–127.
- 42. Grimalt, M.; Tomás, M.; Alomar, G. Determination of the Jenkinson and Collinson's weather types for the western Mediterranean basin over the 1948–2009 period. Temporal analysis. *Atmósfera* **2013**, *26*, 75–94. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0187-6236(13)71063-4)
- 43. Trigo, R.; DaCamara, C. Circulation weather types and their influence on the precipitation regime in Portugal. *Int. J. Climatol.* **2000**, *20*, 1559–1581. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0088(20001115)20:13%3C1559::AID-JOC555%3E3.0.CO;2-5)
- 44. Olcina, J. Fenómenos de retrogresión estivales en el ámbito mediterráneo: Desarrollos ciclogenéticos, sistemas convectivos de mesoscala y lluvias intensas. El episodio de 27 de septiembre a 7 de octubre de 1986. *Investig. Geogr.* **1992**, *1992*, 79–102.
- 45. Stringer, E.T. *Foundations of Climatology*; W. H. Freeman: San Francisco, CA, USA, 1972.
- 46. Quereda, J.; Montón, E. Temporales de Levante en la fachada mediterránea española: ¿sucesos imprevisibles? *Investig. Geogr.* **1997**, *18*, 5–18. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.14198/INGEO1997.18.08)
- 47. Bustos, J.J.; Rus, C.; Marrero, C. La tormenta del 31 de marzo de 2002: Estudio fenomenológico y pluviométrico. In *Primer Encuentro Sobre Meteorología y Atmósfera de Canarias*; Centro de Publicaciones Secretaría General Técnica Ministerio de Medio Ambiente: Madrid, Spain, 2003; pp. 92–94.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.