



Should children with type 1 diabetes really receive statin treatment using the same criteria as for children with familial hypercholesterolaemia?

Rosa M. Sánchez-Hernández¹ · Ana M. Wagner¹

Received: 17 January 2024 / Accepted: 26 January 2024 / Published online: 26 February 2024
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2024

Keywords Cardiovascular risk · Lipids · Statins · Type 1 diabetes

Abbreviation

FH Familial hypercholesterolaemia

To the Editor: We recently read the article by Corpeleijn et al [1] suggesting the early initiation of (lifetime) statin treatment in children with type 1 diabetes aged >10 years, based on the success story of statin use in children with familial hypercholesterolaemia (FH) and the authors' experience with this population.

We must disagree: although there is extensive interplay between lipid and glucose metabolism [2], the two populations of children with FH and children with type 1 diabetes are not comparable.

FH is a monogenic co-dominant disorder caused by the presence of pathogenic variants in the *LDLR* gene or, less frequently, in the *APOB* or *PCSK9* gene. Because of this genetic defect, LDL-cholesterol concentrations are high from birth and this, over many years, confers an increased risk of premature CVD [3]. In fact, without treatment, individuals with FH may develop CHD after the age of 20 years. Indeed, there is evidence that lipid-lowering treatment in children with FH is associated with a reduction in intima-media thickness and fewer cardiovascular events [3]. For this reason, drug treatment is recommended from 8–10 years of age [1].

On the other hand, the cause of type 1 diabetes is insulin deficiency and, although type 1 diabetes is associated with

increased cardiovascular risk, glucose control is an important driver of this and intensive insulin therapy has been shown to reduce the incidence of cardiovascular events [4]. Furthermore, people with type 1 diabetes and high HbA_{1c} levels have deleterious lipid modifications, but most of these changes are reverted with improved HbA_{1c} levels [5, 6]. Indeed, the lipid profile of people with type 1 diabetes and blood glucose levels within the target range is similar to or even better than that in people without diabetes [5].

In recent years, new therapeutic tools for use in type 1 diabetes, such as continuous glucose monitoring and automatic insulin delivery through hybrid closed loop systems, have led to children achieving historically low HbA_{1c} values of around 6.6% [7]. This will, with high probability, have a positive impact on their future cardiovascular event risk [8]. Thus, most of the focus for improving cardiovascular risk in children with type 1 diabetes should probably be on glucose optimisation from disease onset, rather than pursuing pharmacological interventions with less associated population-specific evidence.

Funding The authors are supported by grants from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII) (PI20/00846, INT21/00032 [RMS-H]), with the participation of the European Union through European Regional Development Funds ('A way to make Europe'), the Fundación Canaria del Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Canarias (FCIISC) (PIFIISC20/16) and the Fundación Mapfre Guanarteme (Beca Investigación 2020).

Authors' relationships and activities RMS-H has received speaker honoraria from Ferrer and Sanofi and research funding from Sanofi and Amgen. AMW declares that there are no relationships or activities that might bias, or be perceived to bias, their work

Contribution statement AMW designed the outline of the letter. Both authors wrote the text and approved the final version.

✉ Ana M. Wagner
ana.wagner@ulpgc.es

¹ Endocrinology and Nutrition Department, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario Insular Materno-Infantil, Instituto de Investigaciones Biomédicas y Sanitarias (IUIBS), Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain

References

1. Corpeleijn WE, de Waal WJ, Schipper HS et al (2024) (2024) Dyslipidaemia as a target for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease prevention in children with type 1 diabetes: lessons learned from familial hypercholesterolaemia. *Diabetologia* 67:19–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-023-06041-z>
2. González-Lleó AM, Sánchez-Hernández RM, Boronat M, Wägner AM (2022) Diabetes and familial hypercholesterolemia: interplay between lipid and glucose metabolism. *Nutrients* 14(7):1503. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14071503>
3. Wiegman A, Gidding SS, Watts GF et al (2015) Familial hypercholesterolaemia in children and adolescents: gaining decades of life by optimizing detection and treatment. *Eur Heart J* 36(36):2425–2437. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehv157>
4. Nathan DM (2021) Realising the long-term promise of insulin therapy: the DCCT/EDIC study. *Diabetologia* 64(5):1049–1058. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-021-05397-4>
5. Pérez A, Wägner AM, Carreras G et al (2000) Prevalence and phenotypic distribution of dyslipidemia in type 1 diabetes mellitus: effect of glycemic control. *Arch Intern Med* 160(18):2756–2762. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.160.18.2756>
6. Sánchez-Quesada JL, Benítez S, Pérez A, Wagner AM et al (2005) The inflammatory properties of electronegative low-density lipoprotein from type 1 diabetic patients are related to increased platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase activity. *Diabetologia* 48(10):2162–2169. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-005-1899-8>
7. Santova A, Plachy L, Neuman V et al (2023) Are all HCL systems the same? long term outcomes of three HCL systems in children with type 1 diabetes: real-life registry-based study. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 14:1283181. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2023.1283181>
8. Pauley ME, Tommerdahl KL, Snell-Bergeon JK, Forlenza GP (2022) Continuous glucose monitor, insulin pump, and automated insulin delivery therapies for type 1 diabetes: an update on potential for cardiovascular benefits. *Curr Cardiol Rep* 24(12):2043–2056. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11886-022-01799-x>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.