CrossMark

Adherence to the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns and breast cancer risk: MCC-Spain study

Adela Castelló[a,b,c,*], Elena Boldo[a,b,c], Beatriz Pérez-Gómez[a,b,c], Virginia Lope[a,b,c], Jone M. Altzibar[b,d], Vicente Martín[e], Gemma Castaño-Vinyals[b,f,g,h], Marcela Guevara[i], Trinidad Dierssen-Sotos[b,j], Adonina Tardón[b,k], Víctor Moreno[b,l,m], Montserrat Puig-Vives[n], Cristóbal Llorens-Ivorra[o,p], Juan Alguacil[q], Inés Gómez-Acebo[j], Jesús Castilla[i], Esther Gràcia-Lavedán[b,f,g], Verónica Dávila-Batista[e], Manolis Kogevinas[b,f,g,h], Nuria Aragonés[a,b,c], Pilar Amiano[b,r,s], Marina Pollán[a,b,c]

[a] Cancer Epidemiology Unit, National Centre for Epidemiology, Carlos III Institute of Health, Avenida Monforte de Lemos 5, 28029, Madrid, Spain
[b] Consortium for Biomedical Research in Epidemiology & Public Health (CIBERESP), Carlos III Institute of Health, Avenida Monforte de Lemos 5, 28029, Madrid, Spain
[c] Cancer Epidemiology Research Group, Oncology and Hematology Area, IIS Puerta de Hierro (IDIPHIM), Manuel de Falla 1, 28222, Madrid, Spain
[d] Breast Cancer Screening Program, Osakidetza-Health Basque Region Service, Avenida Navarra, 4, 20013, San Sebastián, Spain
[e] Grupo de Investigación en Interacciones Gen-Ambiente y Salud, Universidad de León, Campus Vegazana, s/n, 24071, León, Spain
[f] ISGlobal, Centre for Research in Environmental Epidemiology (CREAL), Carrer del Doctor Aiguader 88, 08003, Barcelona, Spain
[g] Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Carrer del Doctor Aiguader 88, 08003, Barcelona, Spain
[h] IMIM (Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute), Carrer del Doctor Aiguader 88, 08003, Barcelona, Spain
[i] Early Detection Section, Public Health Institute of Navarra, Calle Leyre 15, 31003, Pamplona, Spain
[j] Universidad de Cantabria – IDIVAL, Avenida Cardenal Herrera Oria s/n, 39011 Santander, Spain
[k] Instituto Universitario de Oncología, Universidad de Oviedo, Facultad de Medicina, Planta 7, Campus de El Cristo B, 33006, Oviedo, Spain
[l] IDIBELL-Catalan Institute of Oncology, Gran Via km 2.7, 08907, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Spain
[m] Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Barcelona, Campus de Bellvitge, Pavelló de Govern, Feixa Llarga s/n, 08907, L'Hospitalet del Llobregat, Spain
[n] Epidemiology Unit and Girona Cancer Registry, Oncology Coordination Plan, Department of Health, Autonomous Government of Catalonia, Catalan Institute of Oncology, Girona Biomedical Research Institute (IdiBGi), Carrer del Sol 15, 17004 Girona, Spain
[o] Centro de Salud Pública de Dénia, Consellería de Sanidad Universal y Salud Pública, Plaza Jaime I, 5, 03700, Denia, Spain
[p] Fundación para el Fomento de la Investigación Sanitaria y Biomédica de la Comunidad Valenciana (FISABIO), Avda. Cataluña, 21, 46020, Valencia, Spain
[q] Centro de Investigación en Salud y Medio Ambiente (CYSMA), Universidad de Huelva, Campus Universitario de El Carmen, 21071, Huelva, Spain
[r] Public Health Department of Gipuzkoa, Government of the Basque Country, Avenida Navarra, 4, 20013, San Sebastian, Spain
[s] Biodonostia Research Institute, Paseo Dr Beguiristain s/n, 20014, San Sebastian, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Objective: To externally validate the previously identified effect on breast cancer risk of the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns.

Study design: MCC-Spain is a multicase-control study that collected epidemiological information on 1181 incident cases of female breast cancer and 1682 healthy controls from 10 Spanish provinces. Three dietary patterns derived in another Spanish case-control study were analysed in the MCC-Spain study. These patterns were termed Western (high intakes of fatty and sugary products and red and processed meat), Prudent (high intakes of low-fat dairy products, vegetables, fruits, whole grains and juices) and Mediterranean (high intake of fish, vegetables, legumes, boiled potatoes, fruits, olives, and vegetable oil, and a low intake of juices). Their association

with breast cancer was assessed using logistic regression models with random province-specific intercepts considering an interaction with menopausal status. Risk according to tumour subtypes – based on oestrogen (ER), progesterone (PR) and human epidermal growth factor 2 (HER2) receptors (ER+/PR+ & HER2−; HER2+; ER−/PR− & HER2−) – was evaluated with multinomial regression models.

Main outcome measures: Breast cancer and histological subtype.

Results: Our results confirm most of the associations found in the previous case-control study. A high adherence to the Western dietary pattern seems to increase breast cancer risk in both premenopausal women ($OR_{4^{th}vs.1^{st}quartile}$ (95% CI):1.68 (1.02;2.79); $OR_{1SD-increase}$ (95% CI):1.19 (1.02;1.40)) and postmenopausal women ($OR_{4^{th}vs.1^{st}quartile}$(95% CI):1.48(1.07;2.05); $OR_{1SD-increase}$(95% CI): 1.14 (1.01;1.29)). While high adherence to the Prudent pattern did not show any effect on breast cancer, the Mediterranean dietary pattern seemed to be protective, but only among postmenopausal women ($OR_{4^{th}vs.1^{st}quartile}$ (95% CI): 0.72 (95% CI 0.53;0.98); *p*-int = 0.075). There were no significant differences by tumour subtype.

Conclusion: Dietary recommendations based on a departure from the Western dietary pattern in favour of the Mediterranean diet could reduce breast cancer risk in the general population.

1. Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the most common type of cancer among women worldwide and one of the main causes of female mortality in medium- and high-income countries [1,2]. In the last decades, the absolute number of new cases due to BC has increased [2], emphasizing the need to prioritize prevention as an indispensable tool to reduce the burden of this disease.

According to the scientific evidence, diet, physical activity and weight contribute around 30–35% to the burden of cancer, providing major opportunities for prevention [3]. Recent reviews on research gaps and priorities in BC prevention highlight the need to implement sustainable changes in lifestyle based on these three factors [4]. However, apart from the deleterious effect of overweight and obesity, the only dietary element for which there is strong scientific evidence in relation to BC risk is alcohol, while data on other factors are more heterogeneous [5,6]. Most studies exploring the effect of diet on BC risk have focused on evaluating the influence of individual foods and nutrients, but some authors argue that overall dietary patterns may better capture variability in the population's food intake, while taking into account possible interactions between individual foods and nutrients [7,8].

A recent study that evaluated the association of dietary patterns with BC risk in a group of Spanish women – the EpiGEICAM study – identified three dietary patterns [9], termed Western (associated with increased risk of BC), Prudent (not associated with BC) and Mediterranean (protective against BC). The great variability of diets across all the regions included in EpiGEICAM and the high prevalence of the Mediterranean diet in the Spanish population allowed the identification, over the same population, of two different patterns (Prudent and Mediterranean) commonly confounded in the literature. However, the frequently ignored differences between these two patterns might determine in part in their association with disease risk. Therefore we believe that external validation of the EpiGEICAM results is of great scientific interest.

The objective of the present study is to externally validate the effect of the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns on BC risk found in the EpiGEICAM study [9], in an independent sample, globally, by menopausal status and by tumour subtype.

2. Methods

MCC-Spain (http://www.mccspain.org/) is a population-based multicase-control study conducted between 2008 and 2013 in 12 Spanish provinces to identify environmental, personal and genetic factors related to five common cancers, including BC [10]. The selection of cases and controls has been previously described in detail [10,11]. Briefly, a single set of population controls were frequency matched by age and sex with the overall distribution of all cancer cases. Controls were selected from the lists of residents assigned to different primary health-care centres that belonged to the same catchment area of the hospitals where the cases were recruited. Controls were contacted by phone, and those who agreed to participate attended a personal interview. BC cases were recruited in 20 hospitals from 10 of the participating provinces (Barcelona, Madrid, Navarra, Guipúzcoa, León, Asturias, Huelva, Cantabria, Valencia and Girona). Cases were identified as soon as possible after diagnosis, through active search that included periodic visits to the collaborating hospital departments. Histologically confirmed incident cases of BC (ICD10: C50, D05.1, D05.7) with no prior history of the disease and diagnosed within the recruitment period were included. Participants able to answer the questionnaire, who had lived in the study area for at least 6 months before the diagnosis and who were 20–85 years old were invited to participate. MCC-Spain recruited 1738 incident BC cases and 1910 healthy women. Sample size was exclusively based on the funding available for the study. However, with the current sample size we are able detect a significant OR of 1.27 for increases of one quartile in adherence to the Western pattern and a significant OR of 0.77 for increases of one quartile in adherence to the Mediterranean pattern with a statistical power over 80%. The response rate was 69% among BC cases and 54% among female controls.

A structured computerized epidemiological questionnaire was administered by trained personnel in a face-to-face interview to collect information on socio-demographic factors, lifestyle and personal/family medical history. Missing values on key variables were completed through subsequent telephone contact. Height and weight at different ages were self-reported and data for the year before diagnosis (cases)/ interview (controls) were used to compute body mass index (BMI) before BC diagnosis. At the end of the interview participants received the Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) in paper form, to be completed at home and returned by mail. This questionnaire, with 154 items, was a modified version of an instrument previously validated in Spain [12] to include regional products and refers to eating habits during the preceding year. Some questions about general dietary habits were included in the questionnaire and used to adjust the responses to the FFQ following the methodology described in Calvert et al. [13]. Implausible energy intakes (< 750 or ≥ 4500 kcal) were excluded. Postmenopausal status was defined as absence of menstruation in the past 12 months.

Cases were subclassified on the basis of local pathology reports [14] as: (1) Oestrogen receptor (ER) positive (+) and/or progesterone receptor (PR) positive tumours with luminal human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) negative (−); (2) HER2+ tumours irrespective of ER or PR results; (3) triple-negative (TN) tumours, that is, ER-, PR- and HER2-. The ER, PR and HER2 positivity were defined according to ASCO/CAP guidelines [15].

Here, three dietary patterns identified in a previous case-control study are examined [9]: a) a Western pattern positively associated with BC risk and characterized by high intakes of high-fat dairy products, processed meat, refined grains, sweets, caloric drinks, convenience food and sauces, and low intakes of low-fat dairy products and whole grains;

b) a Prudent pattern that showed no relationship with BC risk and consisted of high intakes of low-fat dairy products, vegetables, fruits, whole grains and juices; and c) a Mediterranean pattern that proved to be protective and was characterized by high intakes of fish, vegetables, legumes, boiled potatoes, fruits, olives and vegetable oil, and a low intake of juices. These patterns were identified in the EpiGEICAM study applying principal components analysis (PCA) without rotation of the variance-covariance matrix over 26 inter-correlated food groups. PCA reports a set of weights (pattern loadings) associated with each food group that represents the correlation between food consumption and the pattern scores and can be used to reproduce such patterns in other samples, as explained in detail elsewhere [16,17]. Briefly, 146 of the 154 items of the MCC-Spain FFQ (excluding non-caloric and alcoholic beverages) were grouped into the same 26 food groups published in the original article [9] (Table 1). Adherence of the MCC-Spain women to the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns was quantified as a score calculated as a linear combination of the loadings for each food group and pattern published in the EpiGEICAM study (L_W, L_P and L_M from Table 1) [9] and the food group consumption reported by the participants in the current study, as follows:

$$P_{ki} = \sum_{j=1,\ldots 26} L_{kj} \cdot C_{ji}$$

Where,

P = Pattern Scores of participants from the MCC-Spain study.
L = Pattern loadings from the EpiGEICAM study.
C = Centred food group consumption.
k = Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns.
i = 1, 2713 women from the MCC-Spain study (1124 cases and 1589 controls)
j = 1, 26 food groups
Adjusted associations between adherence to each dietary pattern

and BC risk (outcome: 0 = control, 1 = BC case) were evaluated using binary logistic regression models with random province-specific intercepts. As fixed-effects terms, the following were considered as confounders: menopausal status; age (years); education; body mass index (BMI, kg/m^2); age at first delivery (years); family history of breast cancer; physical activity (metabolic equivalents (METs)) during the 10 years before diagnosis/interview; smoking status; caloric intake (kcal/day); and alcohol intake (ethanol grs/day). Both categorical (grouping the adherence scores into quartiles according to the distribution among controls) and continuous (1-SD increase) associations of these dietary scores with BC were examined in separate models. The association between adherence to the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns and BC risk among pre- and postmenopausal women was estimated in the models with the inclusion of the corresponding interaction terms; the p-values of the interaction served to test heterogeneity of effects according to menopausal status.

Multinomial logistic regression was used to evaluate the association of adherence to the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns with each of the aforementioned intrinsic BC subtypes (outcome: 0 = control, 1 = BC cases with ER/PR+ tumours; 2 = BC cases with HER2+ tumours; 3 = BC cases with TN tumours). These models were adjusted by menopausal status, age, education, BMI, age at first delivery, family history of breast cancer, physical activity (METs), smoking status, caloric intake, alcohol intake and province. Physical activity included recreational and household activities performed during the last 10 years, translated into metabolic equivalent values (METs), according to the Ainsworth's Compendium of Physical Activities [18].

Finally, assuming a causal relationship between adherence to each of the patterns and BC risk for all analyses, the population attributable fraction (PAF%) was calculated using Levi's formula [19] to estimate the proportion of breast cancer cases in this population that

Table 1
Composition of food groups based on the Food Frequency Questionnaire of the MCC-Spain study and component loadings for each pattern identified in the previous study [9].

Food group	Food[a]	L_W[b]	L_P[b]	L_M[b]
High-fat dairy	Whole-fat milk, condensed milk, whole-fat yogurt, semi-cured, cured, or creamy cheese, blue cheese, custard, milk shake, ice-cream, double cream.	0.60	−0.11	0.20
Low-fat dairy	Semi-skimmed and skimmed milk, soy milk, skimmed yogurt, curd, cottage or fresh white cheese.	−0.49	0.60	−0.01
Eggs	Eggs.	0.19	0.08	0.16
White meat	Chicken, rabbit and duck.	0.08	0.17	0.18
Red meat	Pork, beef, lamb, liver (beef, pork or chicken), entrails, hamburgers (pork or beef) and meatballs (pork or beef).	0.27	0.09	0.22
Processed meat	Sausages, serrano ham and other cold meat, bacon, pâté, foie-gras.	0.36	0.10	0.26
White fish	Fresh or frozen white fish (hake, sea bass, sea bream), ½-salted fish and ½-smoked fish.	0.01	0.24	0.34
Oily fish	Fresh or frozen blue fish (tuna, swordfish, sardines, anchovies, salmon), canned fish, ½-salted fish and ½-smoked fish.	0.05	0.24	0.44
Seafood/shellfish	Clams, mussels, oysters, squid, cuttlefish, octopus, prawn, crab, shrimp and similar products.	0.17	0.27	0.35
Leafy vegetables	Spinach, chard, lettuce and other leafy vegetables.	−0.11	0.34	0.40
Fruiting vegetables	Tomato, eggplant, zucchini, cucumber, pepper, artichoke and avocado.	0.00	0.36	0.45
Root vegetables	Carrot, pumpkin and radish.	0.05	0.35	0.44
Other vegetables	Cooked cabbage, cauliflower or broccoli, onion, green beans, asparagus, mushrooms, corn, garlic, gazpacho, vegetable soup and other vegetables.	−0.04	0.40	0.42
Legumes	Peas, lentils, chickpeas, beans and broad beans.	0.21	0.15	0.34
Potatoes	Roasted or boiled potatoes and sweet potatoes.	0.17	0.25	0.40
Fruits	Orange, grapefruit, mandarin, banana, apple, pear, grapes, kiwi, strawberries, cherries, peach, figs, melon or watermelon, prunes, mango and papaya and other fresh or dried fruits.	−0.07	0.31	0.31
Nuts	Almonds, peanuts, pine nuts, hazelnut	0.18	0.22	0.29
Refined grains	White-flour bread, rice, pasta	0.37	0.15	0.23
Whole grains	Whole-grain bread and breakfast cereals	−0.43	0.47	−0.06
Olives and vegetable oil	Olives, added olive oil to salads, bread and dishes, other vegetable oils (sunflower, corn, and soybean).	0.12	0.19	0.34
Other edible fats	Margarine, butter and lard.	0.22	0.02	0.11
Sweets	Chocolate and other sweets, cocoa powder, plain cookies, chocolate cookies, pastries (croissant, donut, cake, pie or similar)	0.35	0.18	0.05
Sugary	Jam, honey, sugar and fruit in sugar syrup.	0.24	0.05	0.00
Juices	Tomato juice, freshly squeezed orange juice, juice (other than freshly squeezed)	0.25	0.67	−0.39
Caloric drinks	Sugar-sweetened soft drinks and nut milk.	0.74	0.21	−0.25
Convenience food and sauces	Croquette, fish sticks, dumplings, kebab, fried potatoes, crisps, pizza, instant soup, mayonnaise, tomato sauce, hot sauce, ketchup and other sauces.	0.47	0.12	0.24

[a] Log-transformed centred intake in grams.
[b] Component loadings for the W: Western; P: Prudent; M: Mediterranean dietary patterns.

hypothetically would not have occurred if all participants were in the most beneficial quartile of adherence to dietary patterns (first quartile for Western and fourth quartile for Prudent and Mediterranean patterns). Confidence intervals for PAFs were computed using bootstrap with 1000 iterations.

Analyses were performed using STATA/MP (version 14.1, 2015, StataCorp LP) and statistical significance was set at 2-sided p < 0.05.

The protocol of MCC-Spain was approved by each of the Ethics Committees of the participating institutions. The specific study reported here was approved by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III Ethics Committee (reference CEI PI 44_2012). All participants were informed about the study objectives and gave written informed consent.

3. Results

Initially, data on 1910 controls and 1738 cases of BC were recruited; 1589 controls and 1437 cases returned the diet questionnaire. Cases that provided dietary information later than 6 months after diagnosis were excluded (n = 313). Therefore, 1124 cases and 1589 controls aged 24–85 years were included in this study. The multivariable analyses were carried out over 1063 cases and 1469 controls because data on either BMI, physical activity, age at first delivery or smoking status was missing for 61 cases and 120 controls.

BC cases were more adherent to the Western pattern and reported higher energy intake than controls, while no differences in the adherence scores for the Prudent and Mediterranean patterns were observed in univariate analyses. BC cases were also slightly younger, had a higher proportion of premenopausal women and showed a higher prevalence of ever smokers, a lower proportion of women with no formal education and a higher proportion of women with a family history of BC (Table 2).

The results from the multivariable analyses summarized in Table 3 show that a greater adherence to the Western pattern might be associated with higher odds of BC, with the OR for the top versus the bottom quartile being 1.53 (95% CI 1.15;2.02), with a clear dose-response trend. This association was slightly stronger in premenopausal women ($OR_{fourth vs. first quartile}$ (95% CI): 1.68(1.02;2.79); p-trend = 0.048)) than in postmenopausal women ($OR_{fourth vs. first quartile}$ (95% CI): 1.48 (1.07;2.05); p-trend = 0.012), but these differences were not statistically significant (p-int = 0.650). The percentage of preventable BC if all women were in the lowest category of adherence to the Western pattern was estimated at 24% (95% CI: 8%; 40%) for all women, 34% (95% CI: −1%; 68%) for premenopausal women and 20% (95% CI: 4%; 37%) for postmenopausal women. The level of adherence to the Prudent pattern did not seem to be related to BC risk. A greater adherence to the Mediterranean pattern seemed to be protective only among postmenopausal women, with an OR for the top vs the bottom quartile of adherence of 0.72 (95% CI: 0.53;0.98) and a p-value for the heterogeneity of effects in pre- and postmenopausal women close to achieving statistical significance (p-int = 0.075). The PAF% was estimated to be 18% (95%CI: 2%;35%) if all postmenopausal women were in the highest category of adherence to the Mediterranean dietary pattern.

Table 2

Distribution of adherence scores for the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean dietary patterns and other baseline characteristics for breast cancer cases and controls.

	Co n = 1589	Ca n = 1124	p
Western mean ± SD[a]	−0.83 ± 3.29	−0.22 ± 3.23	< 0.001[a]
Prudent mean ± SD[a]	0.39 ± 2.91	0.47 ± 2.92	0.440[a]
Mediterranean mean ± SD[a]	−0.22 ± 2.59	−0.25 ± 2.48	0.783[a]
Energy (kcal/day) mean ± SD[a]	1763.11 ± 508.25	1815.47 ± 526.31	0.009[a]
Alcohol (g/day) median(IQ)[b]	1.62 (0.00;7.62)	1.69 (0.00;7.92)	0.060[b]
BMI (kg/m²) mean ± SD[a]	25.63 ± 4.85	25.64 ± 4.69	0.928[a]
Physical activity (METs) median(IQ)[b]	45.45 (0.00;189.00)	30.00 (0.00;189.00)	0.155[b]
Age (years) mean ± SD[a]	58.82 ± 13.00	56.19 ± 12.35	< 0.001[a]
Menopausal Status n(%[c])			< 0.001[c]
Pre-menopausal	468 (29%)	419 (37%)	
Post-menopausal	1120 (70%)	704 (63%)	
Unknown	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	
Smoking n(%[c])			0.007[c]
Never Smoker	956 (60%)	616 (55%)	
Former Smoker	314 (20%)	225 (20%)	
Current Smoker	317 (20%)	279 (25%)	
Unknown	2 (0%)	4 (0%)	
Education n(%[c])			0.024[c]
No formal Education	265 (17%)	152 (14%)	
Primary School	472 (30%)	376 (33%)	
Secondary School	505 (32%)	376 (33%)	
University or more	347 (22%)	220 (20%)	
Family history of BC n(%[c])			< 0.001[c]
No	1361 (86%)	841 (75%)	
2nd Degree	88 (6%)	119 (11%)	
One of 1st degrees	131 (8%)	143 (13%)	
More than one of 1st degree	9 (1%)	21 (2%)	
Age at first delivery n(%[c])			0.296[c]
25–29 years old	513 (32%)	342 (30%)	
< 20	56 (4%)	45 (4%)	
20–24	392 (25%)	260 (23%)	
> 29	324 (20%)	228 (20%)	
Nulliparous	297 (19%)	239 (21%)	
Unknown	7 (0%)	10 (1%)	

[a] Mean and standard deviation (mean ± sd) were used to describe normally distributed continuous variables and differences between cases and controls were tested two sided Student T-tests for independent samples.

[b] Median and interquartile interval (median(IQ)) were used to describe non-normally distributed continuous variables and differences between cases and controls were tested with non-parametric rank-sum tests.

[c] Categorical variables were described using the number of cases and corresponding percentages (n(%); percentages might not add up 100 because of rounding), and differences between cases and controls were tested with chi-square tests.

Table 3

Adjusted odds ratios for the association between breast cancer incidence and adherence scores for the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean diet patterns, both overall and by menopausal status.

	All women				Premenopausal[a]		Postmenopausal[a]		
	n = 2713		n = 2532		n = 857		n = 1675		
	Co/Ca	OR[b](95%CI)	Co/Ca	aOR[c](95%CI)	Co/Ca	aOR[c](95%CI)	Co/Ca	aOR[c](95%CI)	p-int
WESTERN									
Quartiles Q1	397/205	1	356/186	1	58/33	1	298/153	1	
Q2	398/258	1.28 (1.02;1.62)	363/247	1.25 (0.97;1.61)	95/79	1.38 (0.81;2.35)	268/168	1.22 (0.92;1.62)	
Q3	396/312	1.59 (1.27;2.00)	378/299	1.40 (1.09;1.81)	127/119	1.53 (0.92;2.55)	251/180	1.37 (1.02;1.84)	
Q4	398/349	1.80 (1.44;2.26)	372/331	1.53 (1.15;2.02)	171/175	1.68 (1.02;2.79)	201/156	1.48 (1.07;2.05)	
p-trend		< 0.001		0.003		0.048		0.012	
1SD-increase		1.24 (1.14;1.34)		1.16 (1.05;1.28)		1.19 (1.02;1.40)		1.14 (1.01;1.29)	0.65
PAF%[d]				24% (8%;40%)		34%(−1%;68%)		20%(4%;37%)	
PRUDENT									
Quartiles Q1	398/281	1	354/257	1	102/87	1	252/170	1	
Q2	397/253	0.90 (0.72;1.13)	366/236	0.87 (0.69;1.11)	117/90	0.95 (0.63;1.43)	249/146	0.84 (0.62;1.12)	
Q3	397/315	1.13 (0.91;1.40)	370/302	1.09 (0.86;1.38)	122/130	1.21 (0.82;1.79)	248/172	1.02 (0.77;1.37)	
Q4	397/275	1.02 (0.81;1.27)	379/268	0.92 (0.70;1.20)	110/99	1.00 (0.65;1.53)	269/169	0.89 (0.65;1.21)	
p-trend		0.441		0.952		0.633		0.806	
1SD-increase		1.04 (0.97;1.13)		1.02 (0.93;1.12)		1.07 (0.92;1.25)		1.00 (0.89;1.12)	0.45
PAF%[d]				5% (−10%;20%)		5%(−19%;29%)		6%(−13%;24%)	
MEDITERRANEAN									
Quartiles Q1	397/280	1	368/260	1	125/90	1	243/170	1	
Q2	398/300	1.06 (0.86;1.32)	364/283	1.05 (0.83;1.32)	116/115	1.36 (0.93;2.00)	248/168	0.91 (0.68;1.21)	
Q3	396/275	0.98 (0.79;1.22)	367/262	0.97 (0.76;1.23)	110/94	1.12 (0.75;1.67)	257/168	0.89 (0.67;1.20)	
Q4	398/269	0.95 (0.76;1.19)	370/258	0.90 (0.69;1.17)	100/107	1.39 (0.92;2.11)	270/151	0.72 (0.53;0.98)	
p-trend		0.527		0.347		0.242		0.044	
1SD-increase		0.98 (0.91;1.07)		0.97 (0.88;1.07)		1.08 (0.93;1.26)		0.92 (0.83;1.03)	0.075
PAF%[d]				8% (−7%;23%)		−14% (−42%;14%)		18% (2%;35%)	

[a] OR for pre and postmenopausal women calculated including in the models (6 models in total) an interaction term between menopausal status and the level of adherence to each of the 3 dietary patterns measured as a categorical (quartiles of adherence) and as a continuous (1-SD increase) variable.

[b] Unadjusted odds ratio of breast cancer associated to the adherence to the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean diet patterns including province of residence as a random effect term.

[c] Odds ratio of breast cancer associated to the adherence to the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean diet patterns adjusted by menopausal status, age, education, BMI, age at first delivery, family history of breast cancer, physical activity, smoking status, caloric intake and alcohol intake as fixed effects and province of residence as a random effect term.

$$PAF = \frac{PF_{Q1} \cdot (OR_{Q1} - 1) + PF_{Q2} \cdot (OR_{Q2} - 1) + PF_{Q3} \cdot (OR_{Q3} - 1) + F_{Q4} \cdot (OR_{Q4} - 1)}{1 + [PF_{Q1} \cdot (OR_{Q1} - 1) + PF_{Q2} \cdot (OR_{Q2} - 1) + PF_{Q3} \cdot (OR_{Q3} - 1) + F_{Q4} \cdot (OR_{Q4} - 1)]} \cdot 100$$

[d]   PAF = Population Atributable Fraction
    PF = Proportionofpopulationinthespecificexposurecategory
    OR = Odds ratio for the especific exposure category

Finally, the positive association found between a greater adherence to the Western dietary pattern and BC risk was observed only for ER/PR + (OR$_{fourth vs. first quartile}$ (95% CI): 1.45 (1.06; 1.99)) and HER2 + (OR$_{fourth vs. first quartile}$ (95% CI): 1.94 (1.09; 3.45)) tumours, but heterogeneity of effects among tumour subtypes was not significant. The estimation of the PAF% indicates that, assuming a causal relationship between adherence to the Western dietary pattern and BC risk, 20% (95% CI: 2%; 39%) of ER/PR + tumours and 40% (95% CI: 13%; 67%) HER2 + tumours could have been prevented if all participants were in the lowest category of adherence to this dietary pattern (Table 4).

4. Discussion

Our results suggest that adherence to the Western dietary pattern might be positively associated with BC risk, both in pre- and postmenopausal women. On the other hand, adherence to the Mediterranean dietary pattern seems to be protective against postmenopausal BC, while a Prudent diet did not seem to have any effect on BC risk.

The EpiGEICAM study [9] also found an increased risk of BC for women with a high Western pattern score; the risk was even greater in premenopausal women. Recent reviews also claim that this type of diet is associated with increased risk of BC [20,21], especially among premenopausal women [22]. The differences found by menopausal status might be related to the greater adherence to the Western pattern observed in younger women (data not shown: Mean(SD)$_{pre-menopausal}$: 0.65

(3.23) and Mean(SD)$_{postmenopausal}$: −1.17(3.34)). A recent study [23] also reports a positive association between mammographic density, one of the most important risk factors for breast cancer [24], and the Western dietary pattern in a different sample of Spanish women. Regarding the Mediterranean dietary pattern, we only found a potential protective effect among postmenopausal women, while the EpiGEICAM study [9] reported an association for both subgroups, in agreement with most recent reviews [20,21,25]. This might be explained by the fact that two out of three women in the present study were postmenopausal, while the premenopausal group represented 55% of the population in the original study [9]. Finally, the Prudent pattern was not associated with BC risk, as in the previous study [9]. The stronger protective effect of the Mediterranean pattern in TN tumours found in the EpiGEICAM study [9] and suggested by other authors [26,27] was not confirmed here. The estimated ORs were lower for this type of tumour, but far from statistical significance. It should be borne in mind that the number of TN tumours was too small (76 cases) to reach any conclusion. In fact, the distribution of cases by tumour subtype was significantly more homogeneous in the MCC-Spain study (75% ER/PR +, 17% HER + and 8% TN) than in the EpiGEICAM [9] (67% of ER/PR +, 21% HER +, 12% TN), making it more difficult to find heterogeneity of effects.

The reproducibility of the three data-driven patterns found in the EpiGEICAM study [9] was verified using a sample of more than 3500 women who attended breast cancer screening [16]. Interestingly, the identified Western pattern [16] was virtually identical to the original reported [9], while the Mediterranean was not as highly reproducible

Table 4

Adjusted odds ratios for the association between breast cancer incidence and adherence scores for the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean diet patterns by tumour subtype.

	ER/PR + n = 721			HER2 + n = 171		TN n = 76		
	Co	Ca	aOR[a](95%CI)	Ca	aOR[a](95%CI)	Ca	aOR[a](95%CI)	p-het
WESTERN								
Quartiles Q1	356	133	1.00	27	1.00	13	1.00	
Q2	363	162	1.16 (0.87;1.54)	47	1.78 (1.07;2.97)	18	1.26 (0.59;2.67)	
Q3	378	199	1.29 (0.97;1.72)	45	1.63 (0.95;2.77)	25	1.67 (0.80;3.50)	
Q4	372	227	1.45 (1.06;1.99)	52	1.94 (1.09;3.45)	20	1.23 (0.53;2.86)	
p-trend			0.016		0.057		0.519	
1SD-increase			1.17 (1.04;1.31)		1.16 (0.95;1.42)		1.15 (0.85;1.54)	0.993
PAF%[b]			20% (2%;39%)		40% (13%;67%)		25% (−23%;74%)	
PRUDENT								
Quartiles Q1	354	177	1.00	37	1.00	19	1.00	
Q2	366	155	0.82 (0.62;1.07)	47	1.30 (0.81;2.09)	18	0.83 (0.42;1.65)	
Q3	370	200	1.04 (0.79;1.36)	47	1.31 (0.81;2.11)	20	0.85 (0.43;1.69)	
Q4	379	189	0.95 (0.70;1.29)	40	1.12 (0.65;1.93)	19	0.85 (0.39;1.84)	
p-trend			0.785		0.664		0.709	
1SD-increase			1.02 (0.91;1.14)		1.09 (0.90;1.33)		0.99 (0.75;1.32)	0.771
PAF%[b]			1% (−16%;17%)		6% (−26%;39%)		4% (−42%;49%)	
MEDITERRANEAN								
Quartiles Q1	368	174	1.00	42	1.00	19	1.00	
Q2	364	186	1.00 (0.76;1.30)	50	1.18 (0.75;1.85)	21	0.94 (0.48;1.81)	
Q3	367	182	0.98 (0.74;1.29)	42	0.98 (0.60;1.58)	18	0.73 (0.36;1.48)	
Q4	370	179	0.91 (0.67;1.23)	37	0.86 (0.51;1.47)	18	0.73 (0.34;1.55)	
p-trend			0.536		0.453		0.322	
1SD-increase			0.96 (0.86;1.07)		0.97 (0.80;1.16)		0.91 (0.69;1.19)	0.917
PAF%[b]			6% (−10%;23%)		15% (−16%;47%)		15% (−25%;55%)	

[a] Odds ratio of breast cancer associated to the adherence to the Western, Prudent and Mediterranean diet patterns adjusted by menopausal status, age, education, BMI, age at first delivery, family history of breast cancer, physical activity, smoking status, caloric intake, alcohol intake and province of residence as fixed effects terms.

$$PAF = \frac{PF_{Q1} \cdot (OR_{Q1} - 1) + PF_{Q2} \cdot (OR_{Q2} - 1) + PF_{Q3} \cdot (OR_{Q3} - 1) + F_{Q4} \cdot (OR_{Q4} - 1)}{1 + [PF_{Q1} \cdot (OR_{Q1} - 1) + PF_{Q2} \cdot (OR_{Q2} - 1) + PF_{Q3} \cdot (OR_{Q3} - 1) + F_{Q4} \cdot (OR_{Q4} - 1)]} \cdot 100$$

[b]   PAF = Population Atributable Fraction
   PF = Proportionofpopulationinthespecificexposurecategory
   OR = Odds ratio for the especific exposure category

as the Western pattern. This lower reproducibility of the Mediterranean pattern [16] may explain why its original protective effect is less accentuated in the present study. In fact, a study exploring the applicability of patterns associated with BC in the literature to our context showed more consistent results for patterns labelled as "Western" than for the different versions of Mediterranean/Healthy patterns [17].

Interestingly, it is common to assume that Western/Unhealthy and Mediterranean/Prudent patterns are inversely correlated. However, the fact that both types of pattern are identified in numerous studies using statistical procedures that extract uncorrelated patterns over the same population [20,25] demonstrates that this assumption is not always true. Taking this into account and given the significance and strength of the associations found with the Western pattern, we believe that it is important to focus not only on the exploration of the potential protective effect of the Mediterranean dietary pattern (as most studies do), but also on the harmful effects of diets like the Western, to determine which dietary habits should be recommended and which avoided in order to reduce BC risk.

In spite of the high consistency of the increased risk of BC associated with the Western pattern in our context, results provided by other authors are less consistent [28,29] and some of the most recent reviews conclude that the evidence linking the Western diet with BC is still insufficient [20,25,30]. Regarding the Mediterranean pattern, results, as expected, are more heterogeneous: while some studies report a null finding [28,31], others report a protective effect [20,21,25]. These differences could be due to several reasons. As has been previously shown [17], disparities in the composition and labelling of the patterns explored might represent different dietary habits studied under a common label. Additionally, the fact that most studies on dietary patterns and BC risk are carried out in Western countries with lower variability in people's diets may also explain some null results [5].

Our results should be interpreted in the context of the study's limitations. Recall bias is always a concern in case-control studies, especially when evaluating the effect of self-reported dietary information. Anticipating the existence of this bias, some questions about general dietary habits were included in the questionnaire and used to adjust the responses to the FFQ following the methodology described in Calvert et al. [13]. In order to minimize even more the effect of this possible bias, only cases that responded to the questionnaire within the 6 months following the diagnosis were included. Additionally, the consistency and strength of the associations found make it unlikely that our findings are a result of recall bias. On the other hand, statistical power was limited for the subgroups analyses, especially when evaluating the association with BC risk by tumour subtype.

One of the main strengths of the current research is the recruitment of histologically incident confirmed cases of BC and population controls. The great geographical variability of the recruited participants, who came from 10 provinces around the country, ensured the representation of the different diets within Spain. Furthermore, the actual sample size allowed the evaluation of potential interactions by menopausal status and an exploration of possible differences by tumour subtypes.

Given the lack of agreement between studies regarding the association of the Western and Mediterranean dietary patterns with BC risk in the literature and the consistency of the results found in the current study of BC and the past studies on BC [9] and mammographic density [23] carried out in different samples of adult Spanish women, our study adds valuable evidence regarding the association between these two

dietary patterns and BC risk. If a country like Spain, with a high compliance with the Mediterranean diet and a moderate adherence to the Western diet, can benefit from abandoning the latter in favour of the former, the benefit in non-Mediterranean countries with a higher BC incidence might be even greater.

5. Conclusion

Breast cancer risk could be reduced in the general population by providing dietary recommendations based on decreasing the consumption of high-fat dairy products, red and processed meat, refined grains, sweets, caloric drinks, juices, convenience food and sauces –characteristic of the Western pattern – in favour of an increase in the intake of whole fruits, vegetables, legumes, vegetable oil, nuts and fish, the main components of the Mediterranean diet.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Contributors

Adela Castelló obtained funding to support the research work submitted, participated in the study concept and design, database depuration, analysis and interpretation of data and drafting and critical revision of the manuscript.

Elena Boldo participated in the study concept and design, database depuration and critical revision of the manuscript.

Beatriz Pérez-Gómez participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data, database depuration, interpretation of the data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Virginia Lope participated in the study concept and design, database depuration and critical revision of the manuscript.

Jone M. Altzibar participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Vicente Martín obtained funding to support the research work submitted, and participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Gemma Castaño-Vinyals participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Marcela Guevara participated in the study concept and design and in the critical revision of the manuscript.

Trinidad Dierssen-Sotos participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Adonina Tardón participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Víctor Moreno participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Montserrat Puig-Vives participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Cristóbal Llorens-Ivorra participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Juan Alguacil obtained funding to support the research work submitted, and participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Inés Gómez-Acebo participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Jesús Castilla participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Esther Gracia-Lavedán participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Verónica Dávila-Batista participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Manolis Kogevinas obtained funding to support the research work submitted, and participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Nuria Aragonés participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Pilar Amiano participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data, interpretation of the data and critical revision of the manuscript.

Marina Pollán obtained funding to support the research work submitted, and participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data, interpretation of data and drafting and critical revision of the manuscript.

All authors saw and approved the final version of the submitted work.

Ethical approval

The protocol of MCC-Spain was approved by each of the Ethics Committees of the participating institutions. The specific study reported here was approved by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III Ethics Committee (reference CEI PI 44_2012). All participants were informed about the study objectives and gave written informed consent.

Provenance and peer review

This article has undergone peer review.

References

[1] WHO, Global Health Risks: Mortality and Burden of Disease Attributable to Selected Major Risks. Geneva, World Health Organization, (2009) (Acces Date: 24th of May 2017), http://www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/global_health_risks/en/.

[2] M. Arnold, H.E. Karim-Kos, J.W. Coebergh, G. Byrnes, A. Antilla, J. Ferlay, A.G. Renehan, D. Forman, I. Soerjomataram, Recent trends in incidence of five common cancers in 26 European countries since 1988: Analysis of the European Cancer Observatory, Eur. J. Cancer (Oxf., Engl. : 1990) 51 (9) (2015) 1164–1187.

[3] P. Anand, A.B. Kunnumakkara, C. Sundaram, K.B. Harikumar, S.T. Tharakan, O.S. Lai, B. Sung, B.B. Aggarwal, Cancer is a preventable disease that requires major lifestyle changes, Pharm. Res. 25 (9) (2008) 2097–2116.

[4] S.A. Eccles, E.O. Aboagye, S. Ali, A.S. Anderson, J. Armes, F. Berditchevski, J.P. Blaydes, K. Brennan, N.J. Brown, H.E. Bryant, N.J. Bundred, J.M. Burchell, A.M. Campbell, J.S. Carroll, R.B. Clarke, C.E. Coles, G.J. Cook, A. Cox, N.J. Curtin, L.V. Dekker, I. Dos Santos Silva, S.W. Duffy, D.F. Easton, D.M. Eccles, D.R. Edwards, J. Edwards, D. Evans, D.F. Fenlon, J.M. Flanagan, C. Foster, W.M. Gallagher, M. Garcia-Closas, J.M. Gee, A.J. Gescher, V. Goh, A.M. Groves, A.J. Harvey, M. Harvie, B.T. Hennessy, S. Hiscox, I. Holen, S.J. Howell, A. Howell, G. Hubbard, N. Hulbert-Williams, M.S. Hunter, B. Jasani, L.J. Jones, T.J. Key, C.C. Kirwan, A. Kong, I.H. Kunkler, S.P. Langdon, M.O. Leach, D.J. Mann, J.F. Marshall, L. Martin, S.G. Martin, J.E. Macdougall, D.W. Miles, W.R. Miller, J.R. Morris, S.M. Moss, P. Mullan, R. Natrajan, J.P. O'Connor, R. O'Connor, C. Palmieri, P.D. Pharoah, E.A. Rakha, E. Reed, S.P. Robinson, E. Sahai, J.M. Saxton, P. Schmid, M.J. Smalley, V. Speirs, J. Stingl, C.H. Streuli, A.N. Tutt, G. Velikova, R.A. Walker, C.J. Watson, K.J. Williams, L.S. Young, A.M. Thompson, Critical research gaps and translational priorities for the successful prevention and treatment of breast cancer, Breast Cancer Res. 15 (5) (2013) R92.

[5] I. Romieu, Diet and breast cancer, Salud Publica Mex. 53 (5) (2011) 430–439.

[6] WCRF/AICR, World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. Continuous Update Project Report. Diet, nutrition, physical activity, and the prevention of breast cancer. 2017. www.aicr.org/assets/docs/pdf/reports/Second_Expert_Report.pdf. Access Date: 24th of May 2017.

[7] F.B. Hu, Dietary pattern analysis: a new direction in nutritional epidemiology, Curr. Opin. Lipidol. 13 (1) (2002) 3–9.

[8] H. Barkoukis, Importance of understanding food consumption patterns, J. Am. Diet. Assoc. 107 (2) (2007) 234–236.

[9] A. Castello, M. Pollan, B. Buijsse, A. Ruiz, A.M. Casas, J.M. Baena-Canada, V. Lope, S. Antolin, M. Ramos, M. Munoz, A. Lluch, A. de Juan-Ferre, C. Jara, M.A. Jimeno, P. Rosado, E. Diaz, V. Guillem, E. Carrasco, B. Perez-Gomez, J. Vioque, H. Boeing, M. Martin, Spanish Mediterranean diet and other dietary patterns and breast cancer risk: case-control EpiGEICAM study, Br. J. Cancer 111 (7) (2014) 9.

[10] G. Castano-Vinyals, N. Aragones, B. Perez-Gomez, V. Martin, J. Llorca, V. Moreno, J.M. Altzibar, E. Ardanaz, S. de Sanjose, J.J. Jimenez-Moleon, A. Tardon, J. Alguacil, R. Peiro, R. Marcos-Gragera, C. Navarro, M. Pollan, M. Kogevinas, Population-based multicase-control study in common tumors in Spain (MCC-Spain): rationale and study design, Gaceta Sanit./S.E.S.P.A.S 29 (4) (2015) 308–315.

[11] V. Lope, E. Garcia-Esquinas, B. Perez-Gomez, J.M. Altzibar, E. Gracia-Lavedan, M. Ederra, A.J. Molina de la Torre, L.L. FJ, A. Tardon, V. Moreno, J. Bayo, D. Salas-Trejo, R. Marcos-Gragera, J. Pumarega, T. Dierssen-Sotos, J.P. Lera, M.A. de Miguel Medina, I. Tusquets, P. Amiano, E. Boldo, M. Kogevinas, N. Aragones, G. Castano-Vinyals, M. Pollan, Perinatal and childhood factors and risk of breast cancer sub-types in adulthood, Cancer Epidemiol. 40 (2016) 22–30.

[12] R. Garcia-Closas, M. Garcia-Closas, M. Kogevinas, N. Malats, D. Silverman, C. Serra, A. Tardon, A. Carrato, G. Castano-Vinyals, M. Dosemeci, L. Moore, N. Rothman, R. Sinha, Food, nutrient and heterocyclic amine intake and the risk of bladder cancer, Eur. J. Cancer (Oxf., Engl.: 1990 43 (11) (2007) 1731–1740.

[13] C. Calvert, J. Cade, J.H. Barrett, A. Woodhouse, Using cross-check questions to address the problem of mis-reporting of specific food groups on food frequency questionnaires. UKWCS Steering Group. United Kingdom Women's Cohort Study Steering Group, Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 51 (10) (1997) 708–712.

[14] A. Goldhirsch, W.C. Wood, A.S. Coates, R.D. Gelber, B. Thurlimann, H.J. Senn, M. Panel, Strategies for subtypes–dealing with the diversity of breast cancer: highlights of the St Gallen International Expert Consensus on the Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer, Ann. Oncol. 22 (8) (2011) 1736–1747.

[15] A.C. Wolff, M.E. Hammond, D.G. Hicks, M. Dowsett, L.M. McShane, K.H. Allison, D.C. Allred, J.M. Bartlett, M. Bilous, P. Fitzgibbons, W. Hanna, R.B. Jenkins, P.B. Mangu, S. Paik, E.A. Perez, M.F. Press, P.A. Spears, G.H. Vance, G. Viale, D.F. Hayes, American Society of Clinical Oncology, College of American, Recommendations for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer: american Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists clinical practice guideline update, J. Clin. Oncol. 31 (31) (2013) 3997–4013.

[16] A. Castello, V. Lope, J. Vioque, C. Santamarina, C. Pedraz-Pingarron, S. Abad, M. Ederra, D. Salas-Trejo, C. Vidal, C. Sanchez-Contador, N. Aragones, B. Perez-Gomez, M. Pollan, Reproducibility of data-driven dietary patterns in two groups of adult Spanish women from different studies, Br. J. Nutr. (2016) 1–9.

[17] A. Castello, B. Buijsse, M. Martin, A. Ruiz, A.M. Casas, J.M. Baena-Canada, R. Pastor-Barriuso, S. Antolin, M. Ramos, M. Munoz, A. Lluch, A. de Juan-Ferre, C. Jara, V. Lope, M.A. Jimeno, E. Arriola-Arellano, E. Diaz, V. Guillem, E. Carrasco, B. Perez-Gomez, J. Vioque, M. Pollan, G. researchers, Evaluating the applicability of data-driven dietary patterns to independent samples with a focus on measurement tools for pattern similarity, J. Acad. Nutr. Diet. (2016).

[18] B. Ainsworth, W. Haskell, M. Whitt, M. Irwin, A. Swartz, S. Strath, W. O'Brien, D.J. Bassett, K. Schmitz, P. Emplaincourt, D.J. Jacobs, A. Leon, The Compendium of Physical Activities Tracking Guide, 2011, https://sites.google.com/site/compendiumofphysicalactivities/.

[19] J.A. Hanley, A heuristic approach to the formulas for population attributable fraction, J. Epidemiol. Community Health 55 (7) (2001) 508–514.

[20] V. Edefonti, G. Randi, C. La Vecchia, M. Ferraroni, A. Decarli, Dietary patterns and breast cancer: a review with focus on methodological issues, Nutr. Rev. 67 (6) (2009) 297–314.

[21] R.E. Rossi, M. Pericleous, D. Mandair, T. Whyand, M.E. Caplin, The role of dietary factors in prevention and progression of breast cancer, Anticancer Res. 34 (12) (2014) 6861–6875.

[22] M.A. Murtaugh, C. Sweeney, A.R. Giuliano, J.S. Herrick, L. Hines, T. Byers, K.B. Baumgartner, M.L. Slattery, Diet patterns and breast cancer risk in Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women: the Four-Corners Breast Cancer Study, Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 87 (4) (2008) 978–984.

[23] A. Castello, N. Ascunce, D. Salas-Trejo, C. Vidal, C. Sanchez-Contador, C. Santamarina, C. Pedraz-Pingarron, M.P. Moreno, B. Perez-Gomez, V. Lope, N. Aragones, J. Vioque, M. Pollan, Association between western and mediterranean dietary patterns and mammographic density, Obstet. Gynecol. 128 (3) (2016) 574–581.

[24] M. Pollan, N. Ascunce, M. Ederra, A. Murillo, N. Erdozain, J. Ales-Martinez, R. Pastor-Barriuso, Mammographic density and risk of breast cancer according to tumor characteristics and mode of detection: a Spanish population-based case-control study, Breast Cancer Res. 15 (1) (2013) R9.

[25] S.F. Brennan, M.M. Cantwell, C.R. Cardwell, L.S. Velentzis, J.V. Woodside, Dietary patterns and breast cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 91 (5) (2010) 1294–1302.

[26] G. Buckland, N. Travier, V. Cottet, C.A. Gonzalez, L. Lujan-Barroso, A. Agudo, A. Trichopoulou, P. Lagiou, D. Trichopoulos, P.H. Peeters, A. May, H.B. Bueno-de-Mesquita, F.J. Bvan Duijnhoven, T.J. Key, N. Allen, K.T. Khaw, N. Wareham, I. Romieu, V. McCormack, M. Boutron-Ruault, F. Clavel-Chapelon, S. Panico, C. Agnoli, D. Palli, R. Tumino, P. Vineis, P. Amiano, A. Barricarte, L. Rodriguez, M.J. Sanchez, M.D. Chirlaque, R. Kaaks, B. Teucher, H. Boeing, M.M. Bergmann, K. Overvad, C.C. Dahm, A. Tjonneland, A. Olsen, J. Manjer, E. Wirfalt, G. Hallmans, I. Johansson, E. Lund, A. Hjartaker, G. Skeie, A.C. Vergnaud, T. Norat, D. Romaguera, E. Riboli, Adherence to the mediterranean diet and risk of breast cancer in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition cohort study, Int. J. Cancer 132 (12) (2013) 2918–2927.

[27] H.D. Woo, K.S. Park, J. Ro, J. Kim, Differential influence of dietary soy intake on the risk of breast cancer recurrence related to HER2 status, Nutr. Cancer 64 (2) (2012) 198–205.

[28] C. Catsburg, R.S. Kim, V.A. Kirsh, C.L. Soskolne, N. Kreiger, T.E. Rohan, Dietary patterns and breast cancer risk: a study in 2 cohorts, Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 101 (4) (2015) 817–823.

[29] U. Chandran, S.E. McCann, G. Zirpoli, Z. Gong, Y. Lin, C.C. Hong, G. Ciupak, K. Pawlish, C.B. Ambrosone, E.V. Bandera, Intake of energy-dense foods, fast foods, sugary drinks, and breast cancer risk in African American and European American women, Nutr. Cancer 66 (7) (2014) 1187–1199.

[30] N. Mourouti, M.D. Kontogianni, C. Papavagelis, D.B. Panagiotakos, Diet and breast cancer: a systematic review, Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr. 66 (1) (2015) 1–42.

[31] Y. Li, N. Roswall, S. Sandin, P. Strom, H.O. Adami, E. Weiderpass, Adherence to a healthy Nordic food index and breast cancer risk: results from a Swedish cohort study, Cancer Causes Control 26 (6) (2015) 893–902.