

Challenges for the 21st Century

# NEW MIGRATION PATTERNS IN THE AMERICAS

Edited by Andreas E. Feldmann, Xóchitl Bada and Stephanie Schütze



# New Migration Patterns in the Americas

Andreas E. Feldmann • Xóchitl Bada  
Stephanie Schütze  
Editors

# New Migration Patterns in the Americas

Challenges for the 21st Century

palgrave  
macmillan

*Editors*

Andreas E. Feldmann  
Latin American and Latino  
Studies Program  
University of Illinois at Chicago  
Chicago, IL, USA

Xóchitl Bada  
Latin American and Latino  
Studies Program  
University of Illinois at Chicago  
Chicago, IL, USA

Stephanie Schütze  
Lateinamerika-Institut  
Freie Universität Berlin  
Berlin, Germany

ISBN 978-3-319-89383-9      ISBN 978-3-319-89384-6 (eBook)  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-89384-6>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018943299

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2019

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Cover image: vijaya\_5712 / Getty Images  
Cover design: Ran Shauli

Printed on acid-free paper

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

*For our children Emilio, Macarena and Martín in the hope they will live a more welcoming and accepting world.*

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book is the product of three years of hard work. We are indebted to a number of people who believed in this challenging intellectual exercise and who with their wisdom and talent contributed to making it happen. The project builds upon the collaborative work by scholars of the Transregional Migration Network (TMN), a research group composed of researchers from the *Programa Interdisciplinario en Estudios Migratorios at the Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas (CIDE-MIG)* in Mexico City, the *Freie Universität Berlin* and the University of Illinois at Chicago who have built long-standing collaborations over the years. As a group, we are convinced of the value of a truly multidisciplinary, collaborative work that complements the expertise of scholars with diverse strengths and methodological approaches. During the conceptualization of the project, we strove to assemble a group of people of multiple nationalities at different stages of their careers, experts on several topics in the realm of migration and committed to a truly constructive way of doing academic work. We are grateful to all our book contributors for their commitment to this project. Our fruitful conversation started during a workshop entitled *New Migration Patterns in the Western Hemisphere: Challenges for the 21st Century* held in Mexico City in August 2016 where these topics were discussed with a broader group of researchers involved in migration studies. Epitomizing the transnational nature of our project, the editors and some of the book's participants continued their exchanges at subsequent meetings in Berlin, Stockholm, Chicago, Mexico City and Lima.

We are grateful to Chancellor's Cluster Initiative and the Underrepresented Minority Faculty Research Funds of the University of Illinois and the *Forum Transregionale Studien* for providing financial support for our meetings in Berlin and Mexico City. In Berlin, we were hosted at the headquarters of the *Forum Transregionale Studien*, whereas in Mexico City our CIDE-MIG colleagues Jorge Durand, Jorge Schiavon and Nuty Cárdenas Alaminos kindly welcomed us, providing an ideal space for our exchange of ideas. We would like to underscore the excellent work of Professor Cárdenas Alaminos, who tirelessly helped to plan every detail of the meeting. We also thank our esteemed colleague María de los Angeles Torres, Principal Investigator of the Cluster Initiative, for her mentorship and sound advice, and Enrique Alvear and Bruce Tyler of UIC for their fantastic and dedicated work for the logistical planning of the conference.

Several additional individuals provided feedback or otherwise helped shape this book in important ways. Jorge Durand deserves special mention for his intellectual leadership. His remarkable generosity, kindness and lucidity have been a source of inspiration all these years. Marianne Braig also deserves acknowledgment for her intellectual leadership and kindness. We are also grateful to the Press' reviewers, whose constructive suggestions and comments helped us a great deal to improve the overall quality of the book. Eduardo Domenech, Adam Goodman, Patrisia Macías Rojas and Brenda Valdés contributed with valuable ideas during the early phase of the project. During our follow-up meeting held in Berlin, Margit Fauser and Thomas Faist furnished insightful ideas that helped us to refine the project.

Several other people provided invaluable help. Nikolai Stieglitz deserves special mention for his excellent work in helping us to prepare the manuscript. Nikolai is an incredibly talented individual and this book owes him a great deal. Lisa Andernach also contributed with copyediting during the last stages of the project.

We are also extremely grateful to Anca Pusca, our editor at Palgrave, and her assistant Katelyn Zingg for their incredibly professional and patient work. We also acknowledge the help by Alina Yurova. We deeply appreciate their commitment in bringing this volume to fruition.

Chicago, IL  
Berlin, Germany  
Spring of 2018

Andreas E. Feldmann, Xóchitl Bada  
and Stephanie Schütze

# CONTENTS

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction: New Mobility Patterns in the Americas</b>  | <b>1</b>  |
|          | Andreas E. Feldmann, Xóchitl Bada, and Stephanie Schütze  |           |
|          | <b>Part I Contemporary Forms of Violence and Migration</b>  | <b>25</b> |
| <b>2</b> | <b>The Interplay of Organized Violence and Forced Migration: A Transnational Perspective</b>                        | <b>27</b> |
|          | Ludger Pries  |           |
| <b>3</b> | <b>How Insecurity Is Transforming Migration Patterns in the North American Corridor: Lessons from Michoacán</b>     | <b>57</b> |
|          | Xóchitl Bada and Andreas E. Feldmann  |           |
| <b>4</b> | <b>The Discourse of “Transit Migration” in Mexico and Its “Blind Spot”: Changing Realities and New Vocabularies</b> | <b>85</b> |
|          | Tanya Basok   |           |



|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>Part II Political and Legal Responses to Changing Migration Patterns</b>  | <b>109</b> |
| <b>5 Open-Door Policy? Reintegration Challenges and Government Responses to Return Migration in Mexico</b>   | <b>111</b> |
| Mónica Jacobo Suárez and Nuty Cárdenas Alaminos  |            |
| <b>6 Carving Out Protection and Redress for Migrants in the Americas Through the Judiciary: The Role of the High Courts</b>                                | <b>141</b> |
| Helena M. Olea Rodríguez   |            |
| <b>Part III Lifestyle and High-Skilled North–South Migration</b>   | <b>169</b> |
| <b>7 Lifestyle Migration and the Marketization of Countries in Latin America</b>   | <b>171</b> |
| Amalia Pallares and Luisa J. Rollins-Castillo  |            |
| <b>8 Beyond the Expat Bubble: Migration and Labor Incorporation of Spanish Skilled Immigrants in Mexico</b>  | <b>201</b> |
| Cristóbal Mendoza  |            |
| <b>Part IV Transatlantic and Transpacific Spaces of Migration</b>  | <b>229</b> |
| <b>9 Economic Crisis and Migrants’ In-Between: Trajectories of Legal Status, Work and (In)Formality from Colombian- and Ecuador-Born Migrants in Spain</b> | <b>231</b> |
| Manuel Assner  |            |
| <b>10 New Patterns of Chinese Migration to the Americas: Mexico City and Lima</b>  | <b>261</b> |
| Ximena Alba Villalever and Felipe Rubio  |            |

|               |   |            |
|---------------|---|------------|
| <b>Part V</b> | <b>New Patterns of South–South Intra-regional Migration</b>   | <b>283</b> |
| <b>11</b>     | <b>From Immigration to Transit Migration: Race and Gender Entanglements in New Migration to Ecuador</b><br>Gioconda Herrera | <b>285</b> |
| <b>12</b>     | <b>New Spaces of Belonging: Soccer Teams of Bolivian Migrants in São Paulo, Brazil</b><br>Julia Haß and Stephanie Schütze   | <b>317</b> |
| <b>13</b>     | <b>Conclusion</b><br>Andreas E. Feldmann, Xóchitl Bada, and Stephanie Schütze   | <b>337</b> |
|               | <b>Index</b>  | <b>347</b> |

## NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

**Ximena Alba Villalever** is Postdoctoral Associate of the International Research Training Group “Between Spaces” at the Freie Universität Berlin. Her research interests concentrate on the correlations between gender, migration, development and globalization. She focuses on migratory processes from China to Latin American countries, which she analyzes through an intersectional perspective. She holds a Ph.D. in anthropology from the International Research Training Group “Between Spaces” in the *Freie Universität Berlin* and an M.A. in migration studies from *Université Paris Diderot*.

**Manuel Assner** is junior researcher at the Latin American Institute and the Department of Business and Economics at the *Freie Universität Berlin*. He investigates irregular migration, development and migration education. He is a member of the Network Migration in Europe e. V. and founder and managing director of Grenzgänger-Forschung & Training. He has coauthored several publications on irregular migration, development and migration education. He holds an M.A. in Latin American Studies from the *Freie Universität Berlin* and is a Ph.D. candidate at the International Research Training Group “Between Spaces.”

**Xóchitl Bada** is Associate Professor of Latin American and Latino Studies at the University of Illinois at Chicago. Her research interests include migrant access to political and social rights, migrant organizing strategies and transnational labor advocacy mobilization in Mexico and the United States. Her research has appeared in the journals

*Population, Space, and Place*, *Latino Studies*, *Journal of Agrarian Change* and *The Latin Americanist*. Her first book *Mexican Hometown Associations in Chicagoacán: From Local to Transnational Civic Engagement* (Rutgers University Press, 2014, translation to Spanish by El Colegio de Michoacán, 2017) demonstrates how and why emergent forms of citizen participation practiced by Mexican hometown associations (HTAs) engage simultaneously with political elites in Mexico and the United States. She is co-editor of *Context Matters: Latino Immigrant Civic Engagement in Nine U.S. Cities*, a series published by the Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars. With Shannon Gleeson (Cornell University), she is co-editing a volume titled *Enforcing Rights Across Borders* (University of Texas Press, forthcoming), a cross-national book project addressing migrant rights advocacy in Mexico, Canada and the United States. With Liliana Rivera (El Colegio de México), she is co-editing the first *Oxford Handbook of Latin American Sociology*.

**Tanya Basok** is Professor in the Department of Sociology and Anthropology at the University of Windsor, Canada. She has investigated topics including violations of human rights in Central America; refugee settlement in Central American countries; Canadian refugee policy and its application; Mexican migrant workers in Canada; and organized labor and grassroots advocacy for migrant rights in Canada and the United States, the rights of female migrants in Latin America and the Caribbean and Central American migrants in Mexico. Her current project focuses on the regularization of migratory status in Mexico, Argentina and Costa Rica. Her recent books include *Rethinking Transit Migration in Mexico: Precarity, Self-Making, and Mobility* (Palgrave Macmillan Press), *Issues in Social Justice: Citizenship and Transnational Struggles* (Oxford University Press) and *Tortillas and Tomatoes: Mexican Transmigrant Harvesters in Canada* (McGill-Queen's University Press). She holds a Ph.D. in sociology from York University in Toronto.

**Nuty Cárdenas Alaminos** is Assistant Professor at the Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas (CIDE) in the Interdisciplinary Program in Migratory Studies. Her research interests include migratory politics and migration and human rights. She has published several articles including “La protección consular de la diáspora mexicana” in the *Revista Mexicana de Política Exterior* and “Twenty-First Century U.S. and Canadian Immigration Policies Compared” in *Voices of Mexico*. She is a Ph.D. candidate in political and social sciences at the *Universidad*

*Nacional Autónoma de México* (UNAM) and holds an M.A. in international cooperation for development at the *Instituto Mora*, Mexico.

**Jorge Durand** is Research Professor at the Department of Studies of Social Movements at the University of Guadalajara and co-director of the Mexican Migration Project since 1987 and the Latin American Migration Project since 1996, cosponsored by the Princeton University and the University of Guadalajara. He is a member of the National System of Researchers (Level III, Emeritus), the Mexican Academy of Sciences, the National Academy of Sciences in the United States and the American Philosophical Society. He has been visiting professor and researcher at CNRS, France, the University of Chicago, the University of Pennsylvania, UCLA, Warsaw University and Princeton. His most important work includes *Return to Aztlan* (Berkeley, California University Press, 1987), *Más Allá de la Línea* (México, CONACULTA, 1994), *Miracles on the Border* (Tucson, Arizona University Press, 1995), *Migrations Mexicaines aux Etats-Unis* (Paris, Editions du CNRS, 1995), *La experiencia migrante* (Guadalajara, University of Guadalajara, 2000), *Beyond Smoke and Mirrors* (New York, Russell Sage Foundation, 2002) and *Clandestinos: Migración México Estados Unidos en los Albores del Siglo XXI* (Editorial Miguel Angel Porrúa and University of Zacatecas, 2003). He obtained a Ph.D. in geography and territorial order at the *Université Toulouse-Le Mirail*, France.

**Andreas E. Feldmann** is Associate Professor in the Latin American and Latino Studies Program and Department of Political Science and Principal Investigator of the Migration Cluster Initiative at the University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC). He specializes in international relations with a focus on Latin America. Among the topics he investigates are human rights, population uprooting, political violence and terrorism and international cooperation and development. His work has appeared in *Politics & Society*, *Forced Migration Review*, *Third World Quarterly*, *Migración y Desarrollo*, *Terrorism and Political Violence*, *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism* and *Latin American Politics and Society*, among others. Feldmann has worked as a consultant of the International Development Research Centre (IDRC), the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace and the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and served as assistant to the Special Rapporteur on Migrant Workers and Members of their Families of the Inter-American Commission

of Human Rights (2000–2006). He previously worked at the *Instituto de Ciencia Política of the Universidad Católica de Chile* (2005–2014) and the Human Rights Program of the University of Chicago (2003–2005). He earned a Ph.D. in political science at the University of Notre Dame.

**Julia Haß** is Ph.D. candidate in social and cultural anthropology at the *Freie Universität Berlin*. Her main research areas are sport/soccer, gender, social inequalities, migrations and negotiations of urban spaces in Latin American cities. She studies the participation of female players and coaches in amateur soccer spaces in Rio de Janeiro. Among other publications, she is the author of “Frauenamateurfußball in Rio de Janeiro – Umkämpfter Sport- und Stadtraum” in *Peripherie: Politik, Ökonomie, Kultur* 36(1), (2016).

**Gioconda Herrera** is Professor and researcher at FLACSO Ecuador in Quito. Her research investigates social inequalities and globalization, especially through the analysis of international migration. Her recent publications have focused on the relationship between gender, migration, social organization of care and transnational families. Currently, she is interested in examining the post-deportation lives of indigenous migrants in Ecuador and looking at impacts on families, communities and subjectivities. Her most recent publications include *Crisis global y migraciones internacionales en Ecuador y Bolivia* (FLACSO Ecuador—Université Catholique de Louvain Belgium, 2018), *El vínculo entre migración y desarrollo a debate* (FLACSO Ecuador—Université Catholique de Louvain, 2014) and “*Lejos de tus pupilas*”: *Familias transnacionales, cuidados y desigualdad social en Ecuador* (FLACSO Ecuador—ONU Mujeres, 2013). She holds a Ph.D. in sociology from Columbia University.

**Mónica Jacobo Suárez** is Associate Professor in the Interdisciplinary Program of Education Policy and Practices (PIPE) at the CIDE. Her areas of expertise include reintegration of return migrant children into Mexico’s education system, language programs for migrants, education policy design, Latin American diaspora in the United States and mixed-method research. Among her recent publications are *Back home without Apostille: Mexican-American students in Mexico* and *With Dual Citizenship Comes Double Exclusion: Mexican-American Children and Their Struggle to Access Rights in Mexico*. She combines her research with active collaboration with immigrant organizations. Over the last few years, she has collaborated

with Mexico's federal government to amend regulations and thus facilitate transnational students' right to education. She holds a Ph.D. in public policy and international development from the University of Pittsburgh's Graduate School of Public and International Affairs.

**Cristóbal Mendoza** is Professor in the Department of Sociology of *Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana*, Iztapalapa, Mexico, and Coordinator of the Bachelor in Human Geography within the same institution. He is a member of the National System of Researchers (Level II). His research interests focus on Mexico-US migration and geography of migrations. He has published in international journals such as *Regional Studies*, *Progress in Human Geography*, *International Migration*, *International Journal of Tourism Research*, *Latin American Research Review*, *Geoforum* and *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*. His most recent book is *La periferia metropolitana: Entre la ciudad prometida y un lugar para habitar la Ciudad de México* (Gedisa, 2015, with Alicia Lindón). He holds a Ph.D. in geography from King's College London and an M.A. in geography from the *Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona* with a concentration on demographic studies.

**Helena M. Olea Rodríguez** is Adjunct Professor in Criminology, Law and Justice and Latin American and Latino Studies at the University of Illinois at Chicago. Her research and teaching interests include human rights, gender studies, immigration, refugee studies and statelessness. She is particularly interested in exploring ways in which non-citizens' rights and gender discrimination cases in domestic and international litigation can enhance the protection of migrants. She was the founder of the immigrants and refugees' law clinic at *Universidad Diego Portales*, Chile. She has worked as an advocate before United Nations treaty bodies and charter-based bodies and litigated before the Inter-American Human Rights System. Her publications includes the book *Derecho y Pueblo Mapuche, Aportes para la Discusión* (Universidad Diego Portales, 2013); book chapters such as *No Justice, No peace: Discussion of a Legal Framework Regarding the Demobilization of Non-state Armed Groups in Colombia* (Cambridge University Press, 2006); and articles including "New Formulas, Old Sins: Human Rights Abuses Against Migrant Workers, Asylum Seekers, and Refugees in the Americas" (*Beyond Law*, 2005). She holds a law degree from *Universidad de los Andes*, Colombia, and an LL.M. in Human Rights Law from the University of Notre Dame.

**Amalia Pallares** is Professor of Latin American and Latino Studies and Professor of Political Science at the University of Illinois at Chicago. She is also Associate Provost and Vice Chancellor for Diversity at UIC. Her books include *Family Activism: Immigrant Struggles and the Politics of Noncitizenship*, *Marcha: Latino Chicago and the Immigrant Rights Movement* (co-edited with Nilda Flores-Gonzalez) and *From Peasant Struggles to Indian Activism: the Ecuadorian Andes in the Twentieth Century*. She teaches courses on social movements, immigrant activism, Latino politics, race and ethnicity in the Americas and qualitative research methodologies. Born in Ecuador, she has also written extensively on Ecuadorian ethnic and regional politics. She holds a Ph.D. in political science from the University of Texas.

**Ludger Pries** is Professor and Chair of Sociology/Organisation, Migration and Participation at the *Ruhr-Universität Bochum*. His fields of expertise are sociology of work, organizations, migration in a comparative perspective, transnationalism and globalization. He has researched and taught in Germany, Spain, Mexico, Brazil and the United States. He also is an associate editor of the journal *Global Networks*, was speaker of the Section Migration and Ethnic Minorities in the German Association for Sociology (DGS) and Deputy President of the Expert Council for Migration and Integration of German Scientific Foundations (SVR); he is member of the directorate of the Institute for International Law of Peace and Armed Conflict/*Ruhr-Universität Bochum*. His more recent publications include *Refugees, Civil Society and the State: European Experiences and Global Challenges* (Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2018) and “Scientists as forced migrants and refugees—what to learn from the 1930s and the 2010s?” (*Social Research: An International Quarterly* 4, 857–872, 2017). Pries holds a Ph.D. in social sciences from the *University Erlangen-Nürnberg*.

**Luisa J. Rollins-Castillo** is Ph.D. candidate in anthropology at the University of Illinois at Chicago. Her dissertation research examines the intersecting historical and contemporary politics of environmental conservation, frontier development and nation-building projects in the Dominican Republic. More broadly, her research and teaching interests include human-environment relations and discourses of nature, environmental justice and migration as they relate to contextual politics of race, ethnicity, gender and labor in Latin America and the Caribbean. Her work has been supported by UIC’s Abraham Lincoln Fellowship, the Chancellor’s Graduate Research Award and a CUNY



Dominican Studies Institute (DSI) Library Research Award. She is also a recipient of the Diversifying Faculty in Illinois (DFI) Fellowship.

**Felipe Rubio** is Research Associate and Lecturer in the Latin American Studies Program at the *Freie Universität Berlin*. He did his postdoctoral fellowship at the *Freie Universität Berlin* and was also a Visiting Fellow in American Studies at the University of Hong Kong. His research investigates how Chinese and Chinese-Peruvian communities contribute to the reshaping of social spaces in Lima, Peru. He also has developed ethnographic studies of Peruvian communities in Madrid, Spain, by exploring their influence on the re-development of social spaces in this city. His most recent publications include “Shifting Spaces: A Peruvian Family’s Negotiations with Translocality(ies)” in the *Canadian Journal of Latin American and Caribbean Studies/Revue canadienne des études latino-américaines et caraïbes* and “Migrant Networks: Peruvian Women (Re)Shaping Social Spaces in Madrid” in *Engendering Transnational Voices: Studies in Family, Work, and Identities*, edited by G. Man and R. Cohen (Waterloo: Wilfrid Laurier University Press). He holds a B.A. in Sociology from the University of Toronto, an M.A. in Society and Politics from Lancaster University and a Ph.D. from the University of Leipzig, Germany.

**Stephanie Schütze** is Associate Professor for Cultural and Social Anthropology with a specialization in gender and migration studies at the *Latinamerika-Institut of Freie Universität Berlin*. Her research interests embrace the areas of migration studies and political transnationalism as well as gender studies in the Americas. She has conducted research on political culture, social movements and migration in diverse contexts and regions including the Mexican states of Guerrero and Michoacán and the Distrito Federal of Mexico City as well as the metropolitan area of Chicago in the United States. Her current research project “Football as a space of belonging: amateur football teams of Latin-American migrants in Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo,” funded by the DFG (German Research Foundation), analyzes the emergence of spaces of belonging in the context of migration and amateur football. Her latest publications are *Constructing Transnational Political Spaces: The Multifaceted Political Activism of Mexican Migrants* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2016); “Gender and belonging: The political engagement of Mexican migrant leaders in Chicago” (*Sociologus* 2: 152–169, 2017) and, together with Julia Haß, “Reihenheft: Ballspiele, Transkulturalität und Gender. Ethnologische und altamerikanistische Perspektiven” (*Berliner Blätter* 76, 2018).



# Beyond the Expat Bubble: Migration and Labor Incorporation of Spanish Skilled Immigrants in Mexico

*Cristóbal Mendoza*

Literature on skilled migration<sup>1</sup> constitutes a small part of migration studies, because qualified migrants are not a relevant fraction of total numbers and are considered socially and statistically “invisible” (Salt 1992; Peixoto 2001). Despite small numbers, though, this group has a great impact on the economy, labor market and society of destination countries (Appleyard 1995; Hall and Appleyard 2011). Skilled migration literature has a clear bias towards labor-related topics, with their main foci being “brain drain” (for recent research, see Smith and Favell 2006; Bhagwati and Hanson 2009; Chiswick 2011) and the migration and mobility of expatriates within transnational corporations (for instance, Salt 1992; Millar and Salt 2008). From a geographical perspective, research has mainly focused on labor incorporation of the highly skilled in developed countries and on the negative impacts of emigration in sending countries. This chapter changes this geographical approach and

---

C. Mendoza (✉)

Departamento de Sociología, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa, Iztapalapa, Mexico City, Mexico  
e-mail: [cmp@xanum.uam.mx](mailto:cmp@xanum.uam.mx)

© The Author(s) 2019

A. E. Feldmann et al. (eds.), *New Migration Patterns in the Americas*, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-89384-6\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-89384-6_8)

201

studies migration from Spain to a developing economy, Mexico. With the adoption of this geographical perspective, emphasis has been placed on the movements and short stays of expatriates (Willis and Yeoh 2000; Beaverstock 2002), rather than on permanent migration.

Southern European economies are characterized by high structural unemployment and large regional differences that have worsened after the 2008 economic crisis. In Spain, 42.9% of those between ages 15 and 29 had no job by 2016's last trimester (INE 2017). Therefore, it is not surprising that the number of emigrants from this Southern European country has recently risen substantially. Official data show the number of emigrants has grown from 1,471,691 in January 2009 to 2,305,030 in January 2016 (INE 2016), a total growth of 833,339 overall and annual increase of approximately 119,000 people. In relative terms, the number of Spaniards living in a foreign country has increased 56.6% for 2009–2016, a 6.4% annual growth rate.

Increasingly globalized Latin American countries, on the other hand, have become important destinations for migrants from Southern Europe. Mexico in particular increasingly attracts a significant number of these migrants. Thus in 2009, Spaniards constituted the second largest foreign population in Mexico, after US citizens (Rodríguez Chávez and Cobo 2012). Official data from Spanish consulates in Mexico also show that the number of Spaniards in Mexico has grown from 69,571 in 2009 to 123,189 in 2016 (INE 2016), a total growth of 53,618 for the period and an impressive relative increase of 77.1%. The annual growth rate for Spanish immigrants is higher in Mexico than the global average (8.2% in Mexico and 6.4% worldwide). However, official data do not fully reveal the scope of this rising trend, since many immigrants decide not to register in consulates. Despite data not being broken down by economic activity, or profession, qualitative studies suggest that a significant part of the foreign population in Mexico is highly skilled immigrants (Mendoza and Ortiz-Guitart 2006; Palma Mora 2006).

In the next section, this chapter presents a theoretical discussion of skilled migration to contextualize the subsequent analysis of immigration in Mexico. Specifically, the chapter studies the migration and mobility patterns of Spaniards who work in private companies, contrasting the labor incorporation of those who came to Mexico through transnational internal labor markets (“expatriates”) with “independent” immigrants whose arrival occurred outside these companies. In doing so, the chapter explores different aspects of labor incorporation and mobility of foreign skilled

immigrants in Mexico-based companies and, from this analysis, helps refute some dominant ideas in the skilled migration literature.

The chapter discussed four aspects that have been marginal in the literature. First, it addresses the role of Mexico as a permanent destination for these professionals in a context of increasing globalization and economic crisis. This section concludes that skilled immigrants can advance their careers in the country to the point that some expatriates (i.e. those whose migration was initially temporary) decide to stay in Mexico. Second, the chapter discusses the role of the Mexican state when understanding processes of labor incorporation of skilled migrants. The literature has generally concluded that developing countries have legislation that favors skilled immigrants. Yet this is not the case for Mexico, which has no specific program for this group. Moreover, the 2012 Migration Act has had a negative impact on immigration, resulting in some young immigrant workers falling into administrative irregularity. Third, the chapter exposes the variety of working and hiring conditions of this group, suggesting a more complex view of the labor incorporation of foreign professionals in Mexico. Here it is concluded that labor incorporation depends on nationality, the age of the interviewee—and, consequently, the point at which they are in their career—year of migration—before/after the economic crisis—and their channel of arrival. Finally, it analyzes work conflicts between immigrant and local workers, a relatively less explored aspect in the literature. These conflicts could be considered minor, but they are of the utmost importance for some professionals who expressed their intention to go back to Spain because they do not feel integrated in Mexico's labor culture.

### SKILLED MIGRATION: BRAIN DRAIN AND MOBILITY WITHIN TRANSNATIONALS

“Brain drain” was the first approach used to analyze skilled migration. The first research, in the 1970s, was highly influenced by Marxist theory and migration was explained following underdevelopment and dependence theories (for instance, Bhagwati and Hamada 1974; Portes 1976). More recently, however, the concept of “brain drain” has progressively been replaced by “brain exchange” and “brain gain,” which reflect increasing mobility globally among the highly skilled (Angel-Urdinola et al. 2008; Solimano 2008) and suggest that migration may encourage human capital improvements in both origin and destination countries (Docquier and

Rapoport 2011; Giannetti et al. 2012). These concepts do not only imply a greater number of international movements but more multidirectional flows in an increasingly integrated world (Docquier and Rapoport 2011; Giannetti et al. 2012), which further suggest that mobility and circularity are co-substantial parts of the lives of some professionals (Ong 1999; Pellegrino 2001).

The second prevailing approach in the literature relates to migration and mobility within transnational corporations. With the clear influence of the world-system theory, research in the late 1980s and early 1990s used a center-periphery framework to comprehend mobility within these corporations. In brief, as transnational corporations expand their activities to developing countries, expatriates were transferred from headquarters located in global cities to subsidiaries in developing countries within the safety net of internal labor markets (e.g. Findlay 1989; Beaverstock 1991). Therefore, skilled migration follows capital to less developed countries, whereas unskilled migration heads for developed economies. According to this view, headquarters' managerial and technical staff are in charge of supervising and coordinating highly specialized tasks in developing countries, assuming that workers in these countries do not have specific expertise.

Following this line of analysis, skilled migration within transnational corporations in Mexico may follow a center-periphery model. In a context of globalization, these companies may expand their activities in the country and decide to re-locate part of their staff as part of their expansion plans. The shortcomings of this argument have become clearer with the rise of the emerging economies, with transnational subsidiaries playing an autonomous role in regional markets (and not strictly dependent on headquarters' decisions). To this regard, Mexico has had open economic policies accompanied by a relatively stable political situation in Latin America. This has made the country attractive to foreign investment. Certainly, Spanish transnationals have increased their business in Mexico since the 1990s (see, for instance, Noceda 2005; Relea 2005; Mendoza and Ortiz-Guitart 2006).

Trying to overcome rigid interpretation schemes, the literature has also approached skilled migration from the perspective of labor trajectories. Therefore, research has mapped trajectories in interconnected branches, national subsidiaries and company headquarters (Koser and Salt 1997), following upward labor mobility logics (Findlay et al. 1996; Iredale 2001; Millar and Salt 2008). Likewise, this literature has made visible other enterprise decisions linked to mobility, such as connections between

migration and more flexible patterns of mobility within companies (e.g. business trips, Beaverstock et al. 2009), and the role of labor markets outside the companies (e.g. outsourcing at destination) in order to reduce travel expenses and expatriate transfers (Beaverstock 1996).

This last aspect is of interest for this chapter because it suggests that movements within the transnationals should be understood in a general context (and not within the strict limits of corporations' internal markets). When observing transnationals as part of a more general picture, we should consider that the technical and managerial staff might opt to exit these corporations at some point in their careers. Transnationals, indeed, may be very demanding in terms of mobility practices, depending on expansion and contraction plans subject to changing economic tides. In fact, recent studies on skilled migration, rather than precise corporations and sectors, have focused on specific groups and nationalities. As an example, Boyd's 2001 study took a group of Asian engineers in Canada, Pellegrino (2001) and Lozano and Gandini (2011) focused on trends of skilled migration in Latin America and Khadria (2001) analyzed a group of Indian professionals in the information technology industries.

Further, the growing internationalization of the economy implies not only that the production of goods and services is increasingly homogenized worldwide, but that education trajectories are also very similar globally (see, e.g. Ackers 2005). Moreover, Khadria (2009) reckons that contemporary migration flows are nowadays dominated by the search for workers globally, unlike 30 years ago, where flows were directed by the excess supply of workers (see also Kuptsch and Pang 2006). In this context, Mexico is categorized as an emerging market in the global economy, which may demand professionals for some industrial branches and services.

Finally, some qualitative studies have recently stressed hitherto unnoticed aspects in the skilled migration literature, for example, the reasons for choosing a specific destination, family ties, personal experiences and assessments of the labor and social culture of destination before migration (e.g. Hardill and MacDonald 2000; Meijering and Van Hoven 2003; Voigt-Graf 2003; Ferro 2004). In effect, reasons for migration depend greatly on immigrants' education and personal circumstances, as well as perceptions and opinions of the countries and labor markets of both the origin and destination countries (Nagel 2005), even if skilled migrants would eventually have lower costs and more incentives than those who are not qualified to undertake a migration (Kennan and Walker 2013).

## METHODOLOGY

This chapter uses 50 semi-structured interviews with highly skilled immigrants from Spain who worked for private companies in Mexico. These are part of more general research on skilled migration in Mexico, for which a total of 106 interviews were made with Spanish degree holders. Out of the 106, a total of 30 interviews were carried out in Mexico City, 26 in Guadalajara, 25 in Monterrey and 25 in Puebla. Although the research did not attempt to achieve a representative sample, efforts were made to collect information from immigrants with different backgrounds. Thus, we interviewed men and women in similar numbers, as well as different types of skilled migrants (e.g. managers and technicians in multinational corporations, academics and businesspeople; Table 8.1).

Due to the lack of reliable official statistics, a snowball sampling technique was used. Participants were assured that the provided information would be treated confidentially, so pseudonyms are used herein. Most

**Table 8.1** Interviewed Spanish highly skilled immigrants, by sex, age, year of arrival and professional profile

|   | <i>Total</i> |          | <i>Companies</i> |          |
|---|--------------|----------|------------------|----------|
|   |              | <i>%</i> | <i>Employees</i> | <i>%</i> |
| <i>Sex</i>                                    |              |          |                  |          |
| Women   | 39           | 36.8     | 20               | 40.0     |
| Men   | 67           | 63.2     | 30               | 60.0     |
| <i>Age</i>                                    |              |          |                  |          |
| 30 years and less                             | 17           | 16.1     | 4                | 8.0      |
| 31–49   | 79           | 74.5     | 43               | 86.0     |
| 50 years and more                             | 10           | 9.4      | 3                | 6.0      |
| <i>Year of arrival</i>                        |              |          |                  |          |
| Before 2008                                   | 31           | 29.2     | 14               | 28.0     |
| 2008–2015                                     | 75           | 70.8     | 36               | 72.0     |
| <i>Professional profile</i>                   |              |          |                  |          |
| Managers and technicians in private companies |              |          |                  |          |
| Expatriates                                   | 20           | 18.9     |                  |          |
| Non-expatriates                               | 30           | 28.3     |                  |          |
| Academics and teachers                        | 25           | 23.6     |                  |          |
| Employers and self-employed workers           | 27           | 25.5     |                  |          |
| Others  | 4            | 3.8      |                  |          |
| Total ( <i>n</i> )                            | 106          | 100.0    | 50               | 100.0    |

Source: Fieldwork (June 2014–September 2015)

interviews were carried out at the workplace, although some were held in the interviewees' homes or in cafeterias, at their request. Fieldwork was carried out from June 2014 to September 2015. The 106 in-depth interviews, which lasted an hour on average, were structured along three lines: work, social integration and geographical issues. Via the interviews, it was possible to discuss reasons for migration, intentions to stay, opinions on Mexico's labor market (e.g. views on workmates, professionalism and discrimination at work), everyday experiences in Mexico (e.g. living standards, everyday spaces) and links with the home country. Data were analyzed through the content analysis method, which includes open coding, creating categories and abstracting.

This chapter specifically focuses on managerial and technical staff of private companies. This group constitutes almost half of the non-representative sample (50 out of 106; 47.2%; Table 8.1). Among those professionals, 20 are expatriates, whose migrations have been within the safety net of transnational internal labor markets. Expatriate migration has been defined as temporary (i.e. three-year maximum), resulting in upward labor mobility for the transferee (Beaverstock 2002; Millar and Salt 2008). The other 30 interviewees obtained their jobs through channels outside transnationals (e.g. personal contacts and professional networks like LinkedIn). Although interviews also discuss social incorporation and everyday practices in the four study cities, this chapter specifically focuses on labor incorporation. Because a labor trajectory approach is adopted, the chapter also uses information from interviewees who had been companies' employees, but, at the time of their interview, were employers or self-employed workers.

### MEXICO: PERMANENT DESTINATION?

Mexico has not traditionally been considered a country of immigration, even if it has been haven for exiles from Latin America (Yankelevich 2002) and Spain (Lida 2002), country of passage for Central Americans on their way north (Carrasco González 2013) and host to deeply rooted immigrant communities since the late nineteenth century (Palma Mora 2006). The main foreign nationality in the country is US nationals, a great part of whom are retired people living in Mexico's northern region (Lardiés-Bosque et al. 2016), tourist areas (Hiernaux 2010) and well-known US "enclaves" such as San Miguel de Allende (Guanajuato) and Ajijic (Jalisco). In contrast with Americans, the other foreign nationalities are mainly composed of working populations, such as the Spaniards (Mendoza and



Ortiz-Guitart 2006) and immigrants from Asian countries. Even if their numbers are relatively low, Asian communities are raising their numbers throughout the country, which has been understood as an indicator of Mexico's attractiveness as an emerging market to Asian investors and traders alike (Alba and Rubio 2018).

In this environment, center-periphery models, used in the 1970s and early 1980s to understand skilled migration, have been weakened by the current process of globalization, which requires more complex explanations. Indeed, these models are of little relevance in today's contemporary world, given the economic role of the so-called new industrialized countries. Certainly, Mexico is an emerging economic that has been characterized by relative political and economic stability, which has made the country attractive for foreign investment. The literature, in fact, has frequently focused on the analysis of mobility patterns towards new emerging economies, but emphasis has been placed on transferees and business trips (and not on permanent migration; e.g. Beaverstock 2002; Millar and Salt 2008; Faulconbridge et al. 2009).

Among our interviewees, Mexico is frequently cited as an emerging economy with ample employment possibilities. With few exceptions, the dominant opinion holds that Mexico's economy has good prospects and offers excellent employment opportunities which allow immigrants move upward in labor markets and climb the social ladder. As an example, the following interviewee, who is an expatriate of a Spanish construction transnational, clearly illustrates the reasons why he returned to Mexico, after moving back to Spain:

It is a construction company ... In 2009, a very interesting project emerged here in Mexico. It was a hospital ... a public-private partnership. We did the investment, the hospital design and, later, the management ... I came here [in 2009] during the design and construction phases ... Then I returned to Spain in 2012 for eight months; I tried to do some projects [there], but they did not work out well ... They proposed that I come back here [to Mexico] and I accepted the transfer. Since then, I have been in Mexico, and I hope to be for a long time. I decided to return because things were not well in Spain. (Pedro, 34, medium-sized city of Ciudad Real, expatriate, manager, four years in Mexico City)

This same interviewee explained later in interview what he meant by "because things were not well in Spain." He indicated that the problem was not the lack of jobs (in the case of engineers, unemployment is low),

but wages (and working conditions in general) have worsened. (“The problem is wages. Employers have thrown them on the ground, so a position that used to pay 10, now pays 5. Colleagues who remain in Spain are now facing these bad working conditions.”) This is why he sees himself living in the near future in Mexico, to the point that he would not mind eventually leaving his current company (“If an opportunity arises at another company in Mexico, I will stay here. Job opportunities are good in Mexico and I think there are here more opportunities than in Spain.”) For Pedro, his very positive assessment of the Mexican labor market (and his career in the country) makes him consider staying in Mexico.

It is not an isolated case. Víctor, in Mexico for seven years, also arrived as an expatriate to organize a Mexican subsidiary. He has far exceeded the three years initially planned, but remains with the same company, as an assistant director. In Víctor’s words:

I joined [the company] as a base consultant in 1995. After being a consultant for a while, I became a manager ... The company expanded its operations in several countries and needed an operations director to deal with it, to implement projects in foreign countries, to advise foreign countries in the implementation of best practices projects. In 2007, the economic crisis exploded and they told me, “We need more added value in foreign markets, could you go to Mexico for a couple of years? The country has a great potential. We need someone to help grow the office.” And I came here as operations director. And I have been here since ... I really like the work. I’m fine. It is a company that is growing ... In Madrid, the company is already very settled and here it is a recent company. The work is much more varied here, there is no routine. I have a lot of fun. I learn a lot every day ... Mexico is a country that offers many things, and it offers me more things now than Spain and even Europe, not only the economy. It is a question of attitude. I see that companies here are willing to do much more than in Spain. (Víctor, 48, Palma de Mallorca, expatriate, manager, seven years in Mexico City)

Víctor values the Mexican market as dynamic and full of opportunities, especially in times of crisis in Spain. He also adds other interesting aspects that are key to understanding why he wants to stay in the country, what he calls the “things” that Mexico offers beyond salary, but instead related to a question of attitude. In his words, “companies here are willing to do much more than in Spain.” That is, they are more open to innovation, which is key in the world of corporate “coaching” in which the company operates. Thus, he finds “the work is more varied, there is no routine.”

This was, in fact, the case of several interviewees who decide to stay in Mexico, even if that meant giving up the contract, and some attendant benefits, that brought them there. However, they were able to negotiate working conditions that are still advantageous compared with skilled “local” people. The following interviewee, Gonzalo points out the abundance of job opportunities in Mexico. Questions of motivation, innovation and learning illuminate why Gonzalo decided to stay in Mexico:

I worked in Spain for two years and a year and a half here for [my previous company]. The results were very good, breaking records. But I got tired of doing the same activity for three and a half years [“ad networking”]. They offered me the video department, but it was the same activity, only a different format. Then I started to listen to offers, and my current company contacted me ... It interested me a lot, because it was big data management, target design, users impact, analysis of impacts. Technologically, it was much more advanced. (Gonzalo, 34, Vitoria, big data technician, three years in Mexico City)

Gonzalo opted to quit the transnational company that had sent him there to stay in Mexico. He chose his current job because this was more interesting from a professional point of view; it was a challenge. In this case, it was not an improvement of working conditions (although he was able to negotiate competitive salary and holidays, the new company did not agree to pay for housing), but the chance of being able to develop other aspects of his career and eventually improve his expertise.

In other cases, the reason for staying in Mexico relates to a new business; an activity that is considered to be more complicated in Spain. This is the case of Josep Lluís, who was sent to Mexico as head of the Spanish subsidiary and currently owns his own company. Josep Lluís describes this process:

The opportunity to buy this company came unexpectedly. The step to entrepreneur was easy. I am a member of the *Club de Empresarios Catalanes* in Mexico. An old fellow wanted to retire and return to Barcelona. He put the business up for sale, he talked to me, he showed me the figures, he asked for a reasonable price, I saw that there was potential, I mortgaged everything and I bought the business. (Josep Lluís, 42, Barcelona, businessman, seven years in Mexico City)

The idea we would like to underline is that not all expatriates prefer to return to Spain as soon as their contracts end, but some remain beyond them, others opt to change companies and others even decide to change professional activity. As we have seen previously, this evidence is not in line with the literature on expatriates in emerging countries, which highlights the temporary patterns of these displacements. Mexico is, in short, an attractive country for many of the interviewees who decide to stay, despite their previous temporary migration plans. The lack of job prospects and difficulty of doing business in Spain and, in general, Mexico's economic stability at the time of interviews make remaining in the country appealing, even if some work benefits might be lost. These aspects suggest that the assumptions of the skilled migration literature are partially wrong. The literature, which is based on static, cross-sectional studies of highly qualified groups, assumes that transnational corporations are the preferred destination for the skilled. Moreover, when studies present a dynamic vision, they mainly focus on mobility within transnational companies, without taking into account exits from companies' internal market. Our fieldwork suggests though that labor incorporation in transnationals and expatriate status can be a mere phase of more complex labor trajectories. In this complexity, the economic situation of both the countries of origin and destination play a relevant role.

### THE ROLE OF THE MEXICAN STATE

State legislation is key in the divide of current international migration flows between the tolerated and even encouraged skilled migration and "undesirable" low-skilled flows (Castles and Miller 2003). As Raghuram (2013) suggests, skilled migration is nowadays the only "acceptable" face of migration. Since human capital is one of the characteristics that best defines a society's capacity for adaptation, countries seek to improve it not only by investing in education but also by attracting immigrants (Kuptsch and Pang 2006).

Many countries establish migration programs aimed at attracting foreign professionals (e.g. the Australian point-tested visas, Khoo et al. 2011). Moreover, the need for foreign direct investment has prompted many developing countries to adopt a less restrictive position on the arrival of foreign qualified personnel (see, for instance, Koser and Salt 1997, who illustrate the cases of Indonesia, Malaysia and China). In the same vein,

Pang (1993) states that Singapore, Malaysia, Taiwan and Thailand also allow foreign professionals to be employed in transnationals. But perhaps the most well-known example of this kind of policy is those Arab countries that have promoted ambitious development plans involving technology transfers and highly skilled migration in order to create and consolidate companies and institutions. Even the poorest countries in Africa see technology investment as a first step for development and, consequently, have promoted short-term visa permits for the high-skilled workers (Errichiello 2012). Yet the majority of visas offered to skilled migrants are temporary (Boyd 2014).

By contrast, Mexico has no specific immigration program to attract highly qualified talent. Furthermore, the current 2012 Mexican Migration Act is more restrictive than its predecessor. For the interviewees, prior to the new law enactment, being reclassified from tourist to immigrant used to be easy in Mexico. Nowadays, work visas have to be processed before entering the country. This does not seem to be a problem for large corporations, since transnationals generally move workers under temporary arrangements. For smaller companies, though, the situation is far more complicated. Interviewees expressed the difficulties they face when dealing with a bureaucracy which some find opaque.

The following interview extracts illustrate the variety of situations faced by immigrants in Mexico when obtaining/renewing their migration permits. The first is an example of a Spanish transnational employee who arrived as an expatriate in 2007, before the legislation change:

The company does the renewal of the migration permit for me ... The person who deals with this in the company tells me, "Go at eight o'clock in the morning to the offices of the Institute of Migration." [He will be] queuing from 6:30 AM to do many procedures that I do not really want to know. And I arrive at 8:00 AM, I step into a room with the person in charge, I sign and that's it. Bureaucracy here is a real nuisance. (Victor, 48, Palma de Mallorca, expatriate, manager, seven years in Mexico City)

Since the enactment of the new law, however, the situation has been more complex. Interviewees observe that the Institute of Migration does not really have guidelines for issuing work permits, so obtaining a permit is highly dependent on bureaucrats' arbitrary decisions. Furthermore, the bureaucracy is inefficient and procedures long and wearisome to the point that some interviewees work irregularly in the country and/or decide to

renew their tourist permit every six months. In other words, they are legally tourists with no right to work in Mexico and would eventually be expelled if caught. Yet, according to our interviewees, this is likely not to happen: foreign skilled workers are practically invisible to migration officials, unlike highly visible Central Americans on their way north, who are an easy target for police and migration enforcement alike as the chapter of Tanya Basok in this volume clearly shows. Furthermore, companies must apply for a permit to hire foreigners. Since companies and employees alike have to apply for permits, the whole process of contracting a foreign worker may last several months or even years. This is the case of Alberto, an expatriate sent by his company to Mexico. Ironically, he says in the interview that irregularity is not as bad as it seems, because he and his wife renew their tourist permit on holidays. In his own words:

We are contracted by a Spanish company; our contract is Spanish. Initially, they started to do the paperwork [for him and his wife], but it's not as simple as it seems. The company must be registered with the Institute of Migration for at least one year. Currently, we have to leave every six months. But sometimes we have to go to the United States for work or on holidays. Until now, it has not been a problem. We are tourists in the country. (Alberto, 36, Barcelona, expatriate, industrial technician, two years in Puebla)

Juan Antonio is a similar case, choosing to enter as a tourist. The company for which he worked, as in the previous example, was not registered with the Institute of Migration and therefore could not contract foreigners. Furthermore, he had to leave the country, because his work visa was denied. After trying to get a work visa in Spain, he decided to go back to Mexico as a tourist and try to apply for a work visa again. This interviewee also details the procedures he had to carry out both in Mexico and in Spain:

I had a student permit and I applied for a change in immigration category, from student to worker. It took me about ten months to have an answer to the application. It was negative, and they told me that I had to leave the country in a month. Meanwhile, my company applied for both the employer's certificate, so they could hire foreigners, and consequently for my resident permit ... Migration also denied the company's registration, because it seems that it did not fulfil Migration's requirements. And they told me again to leave the country. I finally left. I spent a month and a half in Spain. I put

in a new work permit application, this time in the Mexican Consulate in Spain. They told me that I would have a reply in ten days maximum. I waited for four months. I wrote to them, I called them so many times. No answer. Then, I made up my mind and I decided to come back as a tourist. I obtained a 180-day tourist permit, and the company has resumed the employer registration process. This began in August last year and they finally approved it yesterday. Now I can start again with my work permit. (Juan Antonio, 33, Seville, expatriate, manager, one and half years in Guadalajara)

The above cases are Spanish expatriates working in medium-sized companies. Despite favorable working conditions, two of the three interviewees have languished in an inscrutable, arbitrary bureaucracy, according to them. The situation is even more complex, however, for those who migrate outside transnational channels. In these cases, interviewees trade irony and resignation for descriptions of the process tinged with helplessness and disappointment. Mariana, who also entered as a tourist, explained that she obtained a job relatively quickly, but getting a work permit was a nightmare. The following extract portrays the vicissitudes experienced by some interviewees. In Mariana's words:

I entered Mexico as a tourist and a month later I found work, and the company started to do my papers. The company was already registered with the Institute of Migration. The company presented my passport, the job offer, and Migration authorized an interview at the Consulate to apply for a work permit ... I should have done the interview in the Mexican consulate in Spain, before entering ... Fortunately, Migration is flexible and the interview can be done in any country ... I asked for an appointment at the consulate of Mexico in Guatemala and I went, I gave all the papers, and they told me to return in eight days ... And the interview lasted two minutes, standing ... It is a very intimidating unpleasant interview. After this, they issued me a provisional 30-day visa, so I could apply for a work permit ... The company has not paid me all these months that I have been working. The majority of companies I know are like that, since they cannot justify the payment ... Their cynical response is: "You do volunteer work for us these months, while we process your work permit." (Mariana, 28, town of Murcia, University technician, six months in Mexico City)

The previous interview extracts show that immigration policies in Mexico (and their enforcement) are complicated, particularly since the law change. They also point at immigrants' legal vulnerability, which

may be of special relevance for the youngest non-expatriate skilled workers. The literature has also mentioned some aspects related to skilled migrants' vulnerability, stemming from temporary migration arrangements (but not coming from the lack of resident permits). The precarious working conditions of many professionals, working in unfavorable conditions on temporary visas, call to mind Alarcón's "high-tech braceros" (2000), an allusion to the Mexican temporary worker program implemented by the United States in the 1950s and 1960s to fill vacancies in agriculture. Khoo et al. (2011) suggest that the policy of temporary visas has put a halt to immigration in Australia. These studies, however, focus on immigration to developed countries. Theoretically, developing economies would seek to attract highly qualified people under favorable migration schemes, as occurs in Southeast Asia (Pang 1993) and in Persian Gulf countries (Errichiello 2012). In the case of Mexico, rather, the lack of a specific policy for high-skilled migrants puts some professionals at risk of legal vulnerability and irregular work.

In short, changes in immigration laws have also had an impact on incorporation in Mexican labor markets. The new 2012 Migration Act establishes tougher controls on new arrivals. Before it, and according to our interviewees, tourists could easily be reclassified as immigrants once in the country. After 2012, new arrivals are required to ask for a (work) visa before their entry into Mexico, if they want to stay in the country for more than 90 days. In many cases, interviewees do not have a clear idea of the legal requirements or their long-term plans in Mexico are not clear upon arrival. Of importance for this chapter, and different from other developing countries (see, for instance, Koser and Salt 1997 for an appraisal of migration policies in Indonesia, Malaysia and China), Mexico has no specific program nor any quotas for attracting highly skilled migrants. Even so, large transnational corporations seem to have no problem obtaining work permits for their foreign employees, according to interviewed expatriates. The situation is more complex for small to medium Mexican enterprises which face difficulties demonstrating the lack of qualified Mexican workers for positions. In any case, immigration laws (and ineffective bureaucracy) are not a deterrent to migration, but complicate labor incorporation in Mexico to the point that several immigrants were working irregularly in Mexico at the time of their interview.



## UPWARD LABOR MOBILITY? WAGES AND LABOR CONDITIONS

Positive opinions of the Mexican labor market abound among expatriates, older people and those who migrated before the 2008 economic crisis. Specifically, expatriates value most their migration to Mexico, which is associated with job promotion, better wages and work benefits, such as housing, children's schooling and company cars (for more on expatriates' careers and benefits, see Koser and Salt 1997; Iredale 2001; Harvey and Beaverstock 2016). Certainly, positive feelings about the Mexican labor market is the main reason why some interviewees decide to stay in the country and even give up their status as expatriates. However, among those who arrived after 2008, including some expatriates, we observe that immigration into Mexico seems to be the result of push factors at origin, like bad labor conditions and the lack of job promotion in Spain. Emigration is a voluntary step, but, at the same time, a necessary one to pursue upward labor mobility within the company. If not, their professional futures in Europe would be gloomier. Pedro, an engineer from a transnational corporation, is frankly satisfied with his decision to migrate to Mexico and he acknowledges that his former colleagues back in Spain are paid less than before, with some of them being even unemployed.

In our fieldwork, we found examples of precarious work due to legal migration irregularities, but also low wages, unsatisfactory working conditions and irregular outsourcing. At first, it is surprising that interviewees complain of low wages, since salary is the main reason for migration from a neoclassical point of view. When deciding to migrate, interviewees actually value the possibility of advancing their careers—difficult in Spain, where many occupied jobs below their education level or were unemployed. Yet the wages of non-expatriates vary greatly, being particularly low for some young professionals outside Mexico City. That was the case for Christian and Estrella, both living in Puebla, who said that their wages were clearly insufficient. In their own words:

As a waiter in Spain, I got 17,000 pesos [900 dollars] and here as a journalist, I get 3,000 pesos. You can imagine how I consider my situation here. There are many labor options here, but wages are excessively low. (Christian, 28, Reus, Tarragona, journalist, three years in Puebla)

When I saw people living in foreign countries, I used to think, “How interesting, you learn new things.” However, when you are actually in a foreign country, things are very different. Mexico is very far away from Spain and you live uncertain if you will eventually return one day ... Wages are not high either. I must save a lot to be able to travel. Saving all year allows me to visit my family for 15 days annually. (Estrella, 34, Badajoz, environmental educator, three years in Puebla)

Apart from wages, labor conditions are also viewed poorly by some interviewees. Here, they mention aspects such as the small number of holidays (according to the Federal Labor Law, employees are allowed six days of paid leave after the first year of work and two more are added annually until the fifth working year), the low quality of public healthcare and the poorly funded retirement schemes. As an example, Laura has a very poor opinion of her job benefits. Beside poor working conditions, she thinks that the lack of benefits (or their low quality) is a good reason to leave Mexico in the near future. In her own words:

In terms of opportunities, I see more in Mexico, at least, in journalism, compared to Spain today. Professional opportunities and working conditions are different things. Mexican working conditions, at least, in my sector, are not good in terms of holidays, work benefits, social security, all that. At that level, I compare it with Spain and, despite all the setbacks, the two countries are far away one from the other. (Laura, 31, Madrid, journalist, three years in Mexico City)

Beyond working conditions and wages, it may be surprising that some interviewees face irregular outsourcing (i.e. despite being de facto company employees, they work as contractors). These practices are not always involuntary, given that some of the interviewees prefer the higher wages over lost some labor rights, such as health insurance. These practices are seen in all kind of companies, not only in small local firms. The latter may be more susceptible to experiencing destabilizing turnover and consequently be “forced” to use this type of flexible arrangement. Yet large companies, even transnationals, eagerly outsource. The next example illustrates the case of Mario, who resigned from his job for a position in a Spanish bank. In his new job, he found himself facing unacceptable working conditions:

I was in [a Spanish bank] three weeks ... They promised me that they would hire me with all the benefits, and then I got an outsourcing contract without the mandated benefits. I told them I was not interested. They told me, “You have already left your previous job, you are here, the salary is good after all” ... If I had been told that it was outsourcing, I would not have accepted it from the beginning. There are many companies like that, it’s cheaper for them. Companies pay you the same wage, but they save a lot of money, the benefits. You don’t have health insurance, you don’t have any right ... For me it’s clear. When the day I sell myself short by accepting all these kind of things comes, I return home. I am not in another country to be worse off than I would be in my country ... I would not accept jobs like this, without holidays (...) I would go back to work with my family. (Mario, 28, medium-sized town of Girona, financial analyst, five years in Mexico City)

“When the day I sell myself short by accepting all these kind of things comes; I return home.” This last interviewee shows that not all highly skilled migrants enjoy satisfying salaries, working conditions and contracts. But in addition to these “objective” conditions, highly skilled immigrants might also face very different (and even hostile) work environments from those of their countries of origin.

### LABOR RELATIONS: VALUES IN CONFLICT

The literature on skilled migration has recently taken up some hitherto underappreciated aspects of migration patterns, such as reasons for choosing a specific country, personal experiences, family and opinions on the labor and social culture of the destination place (e.g. Meijering and Van Hoven 2003; Voigt-Graf 2003; Harvey and Beaverstock 2016). Difficulties obtaining permanent residence in destination countries (Alarcón 2000; Khoo et al. 2011), unfavorable working conditions (Dean and Wilson 2009) and adaptation problems (Tseng 2011) among skilled workers have also attracted increased attention.

Labor relations and eventual conflicts between natives and skilled migrants, on the contrary, have not been widely addressed in the migration literature, although it has been done from the business management perspective (Groysberg et al. 2008; Dries 2013). In those studies, the emphasis has been on the adaptation and adjustment processes of expatriates in order to optimize their stays in the destination countries. In economic geography, meanwhile, emphasis has been placed on the knowledge economy and information flows within companies and, from another per-

spective, between global cities, but mostly ignoring cultural barriers (e.g. Faulconbridge et al. 2009; Hall and Appleyard 2011).

In our interviews, we found remarkably negative opinions on Mexican labor culture, particularly among expatriates. Among non-expatriates, perhaps due to the fact that they see career development opportunities in the country, there is greater acceptance of Mexican labor culture. Negative opinions may be of such intensity that some interviewees consider leaving Mexico simply because they could not adapt to it. This is the case of Joan, from Tarragona, managing commercial director of a Belgian transnational. His specific job is to coordinate and supervise customs activities for the Mexican subsidiary. Joan is in charge of looking for new clients and he thinks that the lack of professionalism among his Mexican colleagues makes business especially burdensome. In his words:

My salary has improved a lot, but the work environment is much worse than in Spain. Working conditions are very precarious. There is no commitment with work, with business. I try to do my job, but it's really hard. My job requires great responsibility, and this is very hard for a newcomer like me who doesn't know the Mexican labour culture very well. (Joan, 28, Tarragona, expatriate, managing commercial director of a Belgian company in Mexico, three years in Mexico City)

He was pondering another move, leaving Mexico because he could not adapt to its labor culture. His story shows how labor trajectories may change depending on perceptions and opinions of both origin and destination countries. Oriol, for example, who decided to try out an international experience within the French food corporation for which he works, is also critical of some aspects of Mexican labor culture, particularly responsibility, commitment and working times, such that he sees the company (and their workers) as short-termist. In his words:

The work environment is very different from that of Spain. It's good ... People work differently. The sense of responsibility though is very low. The commitment to the company ethos is also very low. And people, in general terms, I would say they focus on the short term ... People are never on time, never, at work. (Oriol, 46, expatriate, Barcelona, human resources director of a French corporation)

Other interviewees do not complain of lack of professionalism in the workplace, at least not in the same strong terms as Oriol. They believe that

highly skilled Mexican employees who have studied at (private) universities are efficient professionals, committed to their company's ethos. However, they say that less skilled—and worse remunerated—workers have a lower level of commitment to the company.

Communication problems, difficulties in relationships, hierarchy, excessive working hours and socialization outside work are aspects that have not been widely investigated in the literature, being considered “minor” compared to wages and work benefits. Our fieldwork suggests that these issues should be considered relevant aspects of the migration process, and particularly of labor market incorporation patterns. Indeed, they may be key to understanding the desire to stay with a company and even in a country. In this sense, Antonio, who works for a Mexican company as an editor and proof-reader, reports chafing under some work procedures at his first job:

I worked as an English proof-reader [in a news agency] I felt free ... I am a bit work-obsessed, I worked very fast, and then I would go for drinks and come back to the office. I would prepare almost everything for the next day. I had my three-day agenda ready in advance, except the unexpected obviously. And that's it. I was very good ... The conflict came up when they wanted me to be more present, to warm up the damned chair ... Congratulations even arrived ... because now captions, cables were understandable. And they made the mistake of showing it to me. And when they went on with “No, you need to be here for your working hours”, I said, “Let's see, I mean, I've been working like this for two years, they congratulate me, and you want me to change my work routine?” Things went increasingly wrong, and I said to myself, “Enough is enough. Enough of this Latin American ‘plunge’ that leaves nothing but anger.” (Antonio, Toledo, 39, editor and proof-reader, 13 discontinuous years in Mexico City)

This same interviewee decided to return to Europe and later go back to Mexico, once again joining a publishing house. In the following excerpt, he notes aspects of alleged employment discrimination for being a foreigner. Antonio, like other interviewees, also points out (negative) job characteristics, like excessive hierarchy and power:

They told me I used a very formal style, and a girl told me, “No, this is not the Mexican way.” She told me that my writing style was from another country. I was told it several times, when I used some expressions, a cer-

tain formal tone. And they said, “No, it’s just that the way it is.” And I said to them, “Hey, let’s see, I know the way people speak here, eh” ... It was a bit of racism too ... It is not pleasant at all. You know, as a foreign white man, you obtain many perks in Mexico, many, many, many. But, as soon as people have power, they let you know it. I’m not here to put up with people’s sense of inferiority. Finally, I thought, “You know what, keep your small portion of power. I’m not going to take it away from you.” It’s tiring. (Antonio, Toledo, 39, editor and proof-reader, 13 discontinuous years in Mexico City)

Criticism and negative opinions of labor relations may seem irrelevant, compared to the advantages that expatriates enjoy in transnationals, although we have already seen that work situations are diverse and complex. Gandini (2018) identifies that dismissal, founded on the perception that highly skilled immigrants are “privileged” compared to other workers, as a reason why their labor improvement demands are so often discounted. She believes that qualified immigrants are, in this sense, deprived of “rights,” suggesting an interesting line of debate that, again, has not been extensively pursued in the skilled migration literature.

## CONCLUSIONS

From extensive fieldwork, this chapter explores aspects of skilled migration to which the literature has paid little attention. Giving voice to a highly qualified group of private employees, the chapter shows that the incorporation of skilled migrants in Mexico is diverse, facing various labor and contract conditions and even confronting legal migration issues, to the point that some interviewees are in an irregular administrative situation in the country. Differences among immigrants lie in the interviewee’s age, year of arrival (before/after the migration crisis in 2008, before/after the implementation of the 2012 Migration Act) and channel of arrival in Mexico (within or without transnational companies). These three elements (age, moment of migration and expatriate status) are decisive for understanding labor incorporations and trajectories in Mexico and point towards a great diversity in its population of skilled immigrants. Therefore, there is no single, homogeneous non-problematic path to labor incorporation for skilled immigrants in Mexico; the path is shaped by various factors.

Interviewees agree, though, in their positive evaluations of the Mexican labor market and its ample work choices and great flexibility. Indeed, some expatriates who arrived under temporary contracts decide to stay in the country afterwards. Work prospects are specially valued by young professionals who “escaped” the adverse working conditions back in Spain. Interestingly, this group is more receptive to Mexican labor culture than those transferred within transnational companies, who, at least theoretically, share a professional ethos with the local staff of these corporations. In any case, and although this aspect has not been widely discussed in the chapter, the interviews point out that work experiences in Mexico are influenced by the immigrants’ degree of social and cultural integration, so their labor decisions often depend on factors exogenous to their workplace experience.

Arising from the analysis of the Spanish interviewees, the chapter also shed light on skilled migration in Mexico, not often considered a receiving country for foreign professionals in the literature. Therefore, observing the multiple reality of this group in Mexico, the chapter fills a gap in the literature which has excessively focused on developed countries using rigid perspectives and interpretation schemes. Immigration into to Mexico seems to respond to a two-sided dynamic of “push,” generated by the economic crisis, and “pull,” due to the specific demands of some sectors of activity and companies in the receiving country.

This chapter shows a typology of qualified personnel of companies that would overcome the strict division between expatriates and “independent” professionals. This typology relates to labor trajectories prior to Mexico and reasons for migration. On the one hand, there are “pioneer” professionals, whose job consists in opening new market niches/subsidiaries in Mexico, responding sometimes to decisions made at company headquarters. For them, migration decisions come down to “pull” factors. On the other, there are those for whom immigration to Mexico is an opportunity to develop their careers, something sorely lacking in Spain. Here, both “push” and “pull” factors have to be considered when understanding migration decisions. There is a third, distinct group: those who chose immigration to Mexico for personal reasons. For these, labor decisions depend on love and family. Although the emphasis here has been made on work, it is evident that couple and family creation/breakup and children can be key factors in the decision to migrate and remain in a foreign country (see, for instance, Ortiz-Guitart 2018).

## NOTE

1. The definition of “skilled migrant” is controversial in the literature. Some authors reckon that “qualification” must be defined in terms of years of formal education, but others argue that the key aspect is labor incorporation. Taking this perspective, Meier (2015) believes that many migrants who are considered highly qualified due to their formal education in reality fail to obtain a professional position in accordance with it. However, the literature generally agrees that a “skilled migrant” is one who has a university degree (Eich-Krohm 2013). It also assumes that people with higher education can pursue more sophisticated jobs than other workers (Fratesi 2014).

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ackers, L. (2005). Moving people and knowledge: Scientific mobility in the European Union. *International Migration*, 43(5), 99–131. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2435.2005.00343.x>.
- Alarcón, R. (2000). Skilled immigrants and cerebreros: Foreign-born engineers and scientists in the high-technology industry of Silicon Valley. In N. Foner, R. G. Rumbaut, & S. Gold (Eds.), *Immigration research for a new century* (pp. 301–321). New York: Russell Sage.
- Alba, X., & Rubio, F. (2018). New patterns of Chinese migration to the Americas, the cases of Mexico City and Lima. In A. E. Feldmann, X. Bada, & S. Schütze (Eds.), *New migration patterns in the Americas: Challenges for the 21st century*. New York: Palgrave.
- Angel-Urdinola, D. F., Takeno, T., & Wodon, Q. (2008). Student migration to the United States and brain circulation: Issues, empirical results, and programmes in Latin America. In A. Solimano (Ed.), *The international mobility of talent: Types, causes, and development impact* (pp. 145–167). Oxford: Oxford University.
- Appleyard, R. (1995). Emigration dynamics in developing countries. *International Migration*, 33(3/4), 293–314. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2435.1995.tb00031.x>.
- Beaverstock, J. V. (1991). Skilled international migration: An analysis of the geography of international secondments within large accountancy firms. *Environment and Planning A*, 23(8), 1133–1146. <https://doi.org/10.1068/a231133>.
- Beaverstock, J. V. (1996). Subcontracting the accountant!: Professional labour markets, migration and organizational networks in the global accountancy industry. *Environment and Planning A*, 28(2), 303–326. <https://doi.org/10.1068/a280303>.



- Beaverstock, J. V. (2002). Transnational elites in global cities: British expatriates in Singapore's financial district. *Geoforum*, 33(4), 525–538. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7185\(02\)00036-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7185(02)00036-2).
- Beaverstock, J. V., Derudder, B., Faulconbridge, J., & Witlox, F. (2009). *Business travel in the global economy*. London: Ashgate.
- Bhagwati, J., & Hamada, K. (1974). The brain drain, international integration of markets for professionals and unemployment: A theoretical analysis. *Journal of Development Economics*, 1(1), 19–42.
- Bhagwati, J., & Hanson, G. (2009). *Skilled immigration today: Prospects, problems, and policies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Boyd, M. (2001). Asian immigrant engineers in Canada. In W. Cornelius, T. J. Espenshade, & I. Salehyan (Eds.), *The international migration of the highly skilled: Demand, supply, and development consequences in sending and receiving countries* (pp. 85–112). La Jolla, San Diego: Center for Comparative Immigration Studies, University of California.
- Boyd, M. (2014). Recruiting high skill labour in North America: Policies, outcomes and futures. *International Migration*, 52(3), 41–54. <https://doi.org/10.1111/imig.12139>.
- Carrasco González, G. (2013). La migración centroamericana en su tránsito por México hacia los Estados Unidos. *Alegatos*, 83(1), 170–194.
- Castles, S., & Miller, M. J. (2003). *The age of migration*. London: Macmillan.
- Chiswick, B. R. (2011). *High-skilled immigration in a global labor market*. Washington, DC: The American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research.
- Dean, J. A., & Wilson, K. (2009). Education? It is irrelevant to my job now. It makes me very depressed...?: Exploring the health impacts of under/unemployment among highly skilled recent immigrants in Canada. *Ethnicity & Health*, 14(2), 185–204. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13557850802227049>.
- Docquier, F., & Rapoport, H. (2011). *Globalization, brain drain and development*. Resource document. Institut de Recherches Economiques et Sociales de la Université Catholique de Louvain. Retrieved November 16, 2016, from <http://www.biu.ac.il/soc/ec/wp/2011-18.pdf>.
- Dries, N. (2013). The psychology of talent management: A review and research agenda. *Human Resource Management Review*, 23(4), 272–285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2013.05.001>.
- Eich-Kroh, A. (2013). Twenty-first century trends in highly skilled migration. In S. J. Gold & S. J. Nawyn (Eds.), *The routledge international handbook of migration studies* (pp. 153–165). London: Routledge.
- Errichiello, G. (2012). Foreign workforce in the Arab Gulf States (1930–1950): Migration patterns and nationality clause. *International Migration Review*, 46(2), 389–413. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-7379.2012.00891.x>.
- Faulconbridge, J., Beaverstock, J. V., Derudder, B., & Witlox, F. (2009). Corporate ecologies of business travel: Working towards a research agenda. *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 16(3), 295–308. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0969776409104694>.

- Ferro, A. (2004). Romanians abroad: A snapshot of highly skilled migration. *Higher Education in Europe*, 29(3), 381–391. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03772042000331660>.
- Findlay, A. M. (1989). Skilled international migration: A research agenda. *Area*, 21(1), 3–11.
- Findlay, A. M., Li, F. L. N., Jowett, A. J., & Skeldon, R. (1996). Skilled international migration and the global city: A study of expatriates in Hong Kong. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 21(1), 49–61. <https://doi.org/10.2307/622923>.
- Fratesi, U. (2014). Editorial. The mobility of high-skilled workers: Causes and consequences. *Regional Studies*, 48(10), 1587–1591. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2014.955689>.
- Gandini, L. (2018). Migración de alta educación, desarrollo y... derechos humanos ¿la incógnita de la ecuación? *Iztapalapa: Revista de Ciencias Sociales y Humanidades*, 84(1), 75–103. <https://doi.org/10.28928/revistaiztapalapa/842018/atc3/gandinil>.
- Giannetti, M., Liao, G., & Yu, X. (2012). *The brain gain of corporate boards: A natural experiment from China*. Resource document. Centre for Economic Policy, Western University. Retrieved January 7, 2017, from [http://www.ivey.uwo.ca/cmsmedia/163791/gly\\_the\\_brain\\_gain\\_of\\_corporate\\_boards\\_april\\_21\\_2012.pdf](http://www.ivey.uwo.ca/cmsmedia/163791/gly_the_brain_gain_of_corporate_boards_april_21_2012.pdf).
- Groysberg, B., Lee, L. E., & Nanda, A. (2008). Can they take it with them? The portability of star knowledge worker's performance. *Management Science*, 54(7), 1213–1230. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1070.0809>.
- Hall, S., & Appleyard, L. (2011). Trans-local academic credentials and the (re) production of financial elites. *Globalisation, Societies and Education*, 9(2), 247–264. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14767724.2011.577177>.
- Hardill, I., & MacDonald, S. (2000). Skilled international migration: The experience of nurses in the UK. *Regional Studies*, 34(7), 681–692. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343400050178465>.
- Harvey, W. S., & Beaverstock, J. V. (2016). Diverging experiences of work and social networks abroad: Highly-skilled British migrants in Singapore, Vancouver and Boston. In M. van Riemsdijk & Q. Wang (Eds.), *Rethinking international skilled migration: A place-based and spatial perspective* (pp. 268–292). London: Routledge.
- Hiernaux, D. (2010). *Las segundas residencias en México: Un balance*. Mexico City: Universidad del Caribe, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México and Plaza y Janés.
- INE. (2016). *Estadística del Padrón de Españoles Residentes en el Extranjero (PERE)*. Resource document. Instituto Nacional de Estadística. Retrieved November 11, 2016, from <http://www.ine.es/jaxi/menu.do?L=0&type=pcaxis&path=%2Ft20%2Fp85001&file=inebase>.

- INE. (2017). *Encuesta de Población Activa. Trimestre 4/2016*. Resource document. Instituto Nacional de Estadística. Retrieved March 5, 2017, from [http://www.ine.es/dyngs/INEbase/es/operacion.htm?c=Estadistica\\_C&cid=1254736176918&mnu=resultados&idp=1254735976595#](http://www.ine.es/dyngs/INEbase/es/operacion.htm?c=Estadistica_C&cid=1254736176918&mnu=resultados&idp=1254735976595#).
- Iredale, R. (2001). The migration of professionals: Theories and typologies. *International Migration*, 39(5), 7–26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2435.00169>.
- Kennan, J., & Walker, J. R. (2013). Modeling individual migration decisions. In A. F. Constant & K. F. Zimmermann (Eds.), *International handbook on the economics of migration* (pp. 39–54). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Khadria, B. (2001). Shifting paradigms of globalization: The twenty-first century transition towards generics in skilled migration from India. *International Migration*, 39(5), 45–71. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2435.00171>.
- Khadria, B. (2009). Adversary analysis and the quest for global development: Optimizing the dynamic conflict of interest in transnational migration. *Social Analysis: The International Journal of Social and Cultural Practice*, 53(3), 106–122. <https://doi.org/10.3167/sa.2009.530306>.
- Khoo, S. E., Graeme, H., & McDonald, P. (2011). Skilled migration from Europe to Australia. *Population, Space and Place*, 17(5), 550–566. <https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.651>.
- Koser, K., & Salt, J. (1997). The geography of highly skilled international migration. *International Journal of Population Geography*, 3(4), 285–303. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-1220\(199712\)3:4<285::AID-IJPG72>3.0.CO;2-W](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1220(199712)3:4<285::AID-IJPG72>3.0.CO;2-W).
- Kuptsch, C., & Pang, E. F. (2006). *Competing for global talent*. Resource document. International Labour. Retrieved January 23, 2017, from [http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/@dgreports/@dcomm/@publ/documents/publication/wcms\\_publ\\_9290147768\\_en.pdf](http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/@dgreports/@dcomm/@publ/documents/publication/wcms_publ_9290147768_en.pdf).
- Lardiés-Bosque, R., Guillén, J. C., & Montes-de-Oca, V. (2016). Retirement migration and transnationalism in northern Mexico. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 42(5), 816–833. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2015.10866>.
- Lida, C. (2002). Enfoques comparativos sobre los exilios en México: España y Argentina en el siglo XX. In P. Yankelevich (Ed.), *México, país refugio: La experiencia de los exilios en el siglo XX* (pp. 205–217). Mexico City: Plaza y Valdés, Conaculta and Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia.
- Lozano, F., & Gandini, L. (2011). Migración calificada y desarrollo humano en América Latina y el Caribe. *Revista Mexicana de Sociología*, 73(4), 675–713.
- Meier, L. (2015). Introduction: Local lives, work and social identities of migrant professionals in the city. In L. Meier (Ed.), *Migrant professionals in the city: Local encounters, identities and inequalities* (pp. 1–20). London: Routledge.

- Meijering, L., & Van Hoven, B. (2003). Imagining difference: The experiences of ‘transnational’ Indian IT professionals in Germany. *Area*, 35(2), 174–182. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-4762.00253>.
- Mendoza, C., & Ortiz-Guitart, A. (2006). Hacer las Américas: Migrantes españoles de alta calificación en la Ciudad de México. *Documents d’Anàlisi Geogràfica*, 47, 93–114.
- Millar, J., & Salt, J. (2008). Portfolios of mobility: The movement of expertise in transnational corporations in two sectors – Aerospace and extractive industries. *Global Networks*, 8(1), 25–50. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0374.2008.00184.x>.
- Nagel, C. R. (2005). Skilled migration in global cities from ‘other’ perspectives. *Geoforum*, 36(2), 197–210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2003.06.003>.
- Noceda, M. Á. (2005, October 23). De los abarrotos al éxito. *El País, Suplemento Empresas*, p. 8.
- Ong, A. (1999). *Flexible citizenship: The cultural logics of transnationality*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Ortiz-Guitart, A. (2018). Emociones, amor y experiencias migratorias de españoles e italianos calificados en México. *Iztapalapa: Revista de Ciencias Sociales y Humanidades*, 84(1), 129–156. <https://doi.org/10.28928/revistaiztapalapa/842018/atc5/ortizguitarta>.
- Palma Mora, M. (2006). *De tierras extrañas: Un estudio sobre la inmigración en México 1950–1990*. Mexico City: Instituto Nacional de Migración y DGE Ediciones.
- Pang, E. F. (1993). Labour migration to the newly-industrialising economies of South Korea, Taiwan, Hong Kong and Singapore. *International Migration*, 31(2–3), 300–313. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2435.1993.tb00705.x>.
- Peixoto, J. (2001). The international mobility of highly skilled workers in transnational corporations: The macro and micro factors of the organizational migration of cadres. *International Migration Review*, 35(4), 1030–1053. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-7379.2001.tb00051.x>.
- Pellegrino, A. (2001). Trends in Latin America skilled migration: “Brain drain” or “brain exchange”? *International Migration*, 39(5), 111–132. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2435.00174>.
- Portes, A. (1976). Determinants of the brain drain. *International Migration Review*, 10(4), 489–508. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2545081>.
- Raghuram, P. (2013). Theorising the spaces of student migration. *Population, Space and Place*, 19(2), 138–154. <https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.1747>.
- Relea, F. (2005, October 23). Una pica al sur del río Bravo. *El País, Suplemento Empresas*, p. 5–6.
- Rodríguez Chávez, E., & Cobo, S. (2012). *Extranjeros residentes en México: Una aproximación cuantitativa con base a los registros administrativos del INM*.

- Resource document. Centro de Estudios Migratorios. Instituto Nacional de Migración. Retrieved February 10, 2017, from [http://www.politicamigratoria.gob.mx/work/models/SEGOB/CEM/PDF/Estadisticas/Poblacion\\_Extranjera/ExtranjerosResMex.pdf](http://www.politicamigratoria.gob.mx/work/models/SEGOB/CEM/PDF/Estadisticas/Poblacion_Extranjera/ExtranjerosResMex.pdf).
- Salt, J. (1992). Migration processes among the highly skilled in Europe. *International Migration Review*, 26(2), 484–505. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2547068>.
- Smith, M. P., & Favell, A. (2006). *The human face of global capital: International highly skilled migration in Europe, North America and the Asia-Pacific*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers.
- Solimano, A. (2008). *The international mobility of talent: Types, causes, and development impact*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Tseng, Y. F. (2011). Shanghai rush: Skilled migrants in a fantasy city. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 37(5), 765–784. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2011.559717>.
- Voigt-Graf, C. (2003). Fijian teachers on the move: Causes, implications and policies. *Asia Pacific Viewpoint*, 44(2), 163–175. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8373.00191>.
- Willis, K., & Yeoh, B. S. (2000). Gender and transnational household strategies: Singaporean migration in China. *Regional Studies*, 34(3), 253–264. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343400050015096>.
- Yankelevich, P. (Ed.). (2002). *México, país refugio: La experiencia de los exilios en el siglo XX*. Mexico City: Plaza y Valdés, Conaculta & Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia.